# Questionnaire concerning the Practical Operation of the 1980 Child Abduction Convention

Wherever responses to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit:1	The Macao Special Administrative Region (Macao SAR) of the People's Republic of China
For follow-up purposes	
Name of contact person:	
Name of Authority / Office:	
Telephone number:	
E-mail address:	
Date:	

### PART I - PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

# Recent developments in your State<sup>2</sup>

1.	Since the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the <b>legislation</b> or <b>procedural rules</b> applicable in cases of international child abduction? Where possible, please state the reason for the development and the results achieved in practice.
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>In 2022, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR elaborated a process guidance for international child abduction cases regarding access applications, based on the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention. It is worth recalling that in 2015 a process guidance for international child abduction cases regarding child return applications was establihsed based on the said Guide.</li> </ul>

- 2. Following the Covid-19 pandemic,<sup>3</sup> have there been any **improvements** that have remained in your State in the following areas, in particular in relation to the **use of information technology**, as a result of newly adopted procedures or practices applicable to child abduction cases? In each case, please describe the tools, guidelines or protocols put in place.
  - a) Methods for accepting and processing return and access applications and their accompanying documentation;

The Central Authority of the Macao SAR generally accepts applications and accompanying documentation transmitted by electronic means, but submission in paper version is recommended for authenticity verification. As for the courts in the Macao SAR, according to Law 5/2022 on Electronic Submission of Litigation Documents and Payments, litigating parties and their legal representatives who meet legal requirements and regulations may choose to submit documents and pay litigation fees by electronic means.

The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction which have occurred in your State since the Seventh Meeting of the Special Commission (SC) to review the operation of the 1980 Abduction Convention and the 1996 Child Protection Convention (held from 10 to 17 October 2017) ("2017 SC").

This question aims to gather information about good practices that were developed in those exceptional circumstances and that will continue to be applied regardless of the pandemic.

Law 5/2022 on Electronic Submission of Litigation Documents and Payments is available in Chinese and Portuguese respectively on https://bo.io.gov.mo/bo/i/2022/25/lei05\_cn.asp and https://bo.io.gov.mo/bo/i/2022/25/lei05.asp#5.

- b) Participation of the parties and the child (e.g., appearance in court proceedings, mediation); There have been no changes.
- c) Promoting mediation and other forms of amicable resolution; Currently, there is no mediation regime specified for cases of international child abduction. Therefore, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR provides assistance and services related to family matters, based on the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention.
- d) Making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access, including while pending return proceedings;

There have been no changes.

- e) Obtaining evidence by electronic means; There have been no changes.
- f) Ensuring the safe return of the child; There have been no changes.
- g) Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities; There have been no changes.
- h) Providing information and guidance for parties involved in child abduction cases; There have been no changes.
- i) Other, please specify. N/A
- 3. Please provide the three most significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2017 SC by the relevant authorities<sup>4</sup> in your State.

Case Name	Court Name	Court Level	Brief summary of the ruling
Case regarding the exercise of parental authority (No. FM1-17-0064-MPS)	The Family and Minors Court in the Court of First Instance	Court of First Instance	During the judicial proceeding, the Court was notified that the father in the case had filed a child return proceeding in Portugal. Therefore, the Court decided to suspend the proceeding in accordance with Article 16 of the 1980 Convention and the agreement between the two parties in dispute.  In 2017, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR determined that the child concerned was not to be returned on the grounds that the mother in the case had been taking care of her son and that she possessed authorisation

The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of Contracting Parties such "authorities" will be courts (i.e., judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

Case regarding changes in the exercise of parental authority (No. FM1-17-0141-MPS)	The Family and Minors Court in the Court of First Instance	Court of first Instance	documents to take her son out of the region.  The mother in the case did not return to the Macao SAR after taking the minor to Russia. Having considered that the parental authority of the minor was temporarily handed over to the mother at the time, the Court held that the circumstance did not constitute a wrongful removal of a child under Article 3 of the 1980 Convention.  The Court finally ruled the change of parental authority, which should be exercised by the father in the case individually. After the verdict was final, the father filed a child return application to the Court. The Court stated that since it was not the Central Authority of the Macao SAR under the 1980 Convention and had no authority to handle the application, the father should apply to the Social Welfare Bureau in accordance with Article 8 of the Convention. In 2018, the Social Welfare Bureau received the father's application for the child return and transmitted such request to the Central Authority of Russia. However, the Central Authority of Russia replied to the Social Welfare Bureau that it was unable to locate or contact the child.
N/A (There were only two cases related to the 1980 Convention decided by the Court since the 2017 SC)	Please insert text here	Please insert text here	Please insert text here

4. Please provide a brief summary of **any other significant developments** in your State since the 2017 SC.

Since the 2017 SC, there were no significant developments, given that there were only a few cases related to the 1980 Convention in the Macao SAR.

# Issues of compliance

5.	Has your State faced any particular <b>challenges with other Contracting Parties</b> to the 1980 Convention in achieving successful cooperation? Please specify the challenges that were encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic.
	No     Yes     Yes     No     No

Please insert text here

Please specify the challenges encountered:

6.	Are you aware of situations or circumstances in which there has been <b>avoidance or improper application</b> of the 1980 Convention as a whole or any of its provisions in particular?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
Addr	essing delays and ensuring expeditious procedures
7.	The 2017 SC encouraged States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / other alternative dispute resolution - "ADR" phases) <sup>5</sup> in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention. Please indicate any identified sources of delay at the following phases:
	Central Authority
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
	Judicial proceedings
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
	Enforcement
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
	Mediation / ADR
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Procedure not yet revised</li> </ul>

See C&R No 4 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission acknowledges that some States have made progress in reducing delays and encourages States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / ADR phases) in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention."

If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays:

Please insert text here

# **Court proceedings and promptness**

8.	Does your State have mechanisms in place to deal with return decisions within six weeks (e.g., production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?
	No  Yes  Please specify:  Please insert text here
9.	If the response to question 8 above is "No", does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g., procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?
	<ul> <li>No         Please specify:         Please insert text here</li> <li>         Yes         Please specify:         Yes. The Central Authority of the Macao SAR will continuously update and elaborate its process guidance to set appropriate procedural deadlines.     </li> </ul>
10.	Do the courts in your State make use of direct judicial communications $^{\rm 6}$ to ensure prompt proceedings?
	No  Yes  Please specify: Please insert text here
11.	If your State has not designated a judge to the International Hague Network of Judges (IHNJ) does your State intend to do so in the near future?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Two judges of the Macao SAR were designated to the International Hague Network of Judges.</li> </ul>
12.	Please comment upon any cases ( where your State was the requested State) in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?
	There was no such case.

For reference, see "Direct Judicial Communications - Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges".

# The role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention

ш		
	ECHCIC	

13.	any p	any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in <b>Article 7</b> of the 1980 Convention, raised particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your has cooperated?
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
14.		your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of <b>any of the O Convention provisions</b> ? If so, please specify.
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
Legal	aid a	nd representation
15.	advid delay	the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal are and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (Art. 7(2)(g)) result in a proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the ested States that were dealt with?
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
16.	of the	ou aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any e requested States your Central Authority has dealt with, regarding the <b>obtaining of legal aid,</b> the and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents?
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
Locat	ing th	e child
17.		your Central Authority encountered any <b>challenges with locating children</b> in cases involving the Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?
		No Yes Please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:

See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the C&R of the Fifth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of the 1980 Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention (30 October – 9 November 2006) (2006 SC C&R) and paras 32 to 34 of the C&R of the Sixth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of 1980 and 1996 Conventions (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (2012 SC C&R), available on the HCCH website at <a href="www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings".

#### Please insert text here

#### Voluntary agreements and bringing about an amicable resolution of the issues

18. How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is considering taking, appropriate steps under **Article 7(c)** to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:

When the Central Authority of the Macao SAR receives a request for the return of the child, its professional social workers will, according to the internal process guidance, get into contact with the persons concerned and attempt to achieve the voluntary return of the child.

19. In the case that your Central Authority offers mediation services, or other alternative dispute resolution methods to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues, has your Central Authority reviewed these procedures in the light of the framework of international child abduction cases (e.g., by providing trained, specialised mediators, including with cross-cultural competence and necessary language skills<sup>8</sup>)?

#### Please specify:

As mentioned above, there is no mediation or other alternative dispute resolution regime specified for cases of international child abduction due to the considerably low number of cases in the Macao SAR. However, its Central Authority continuously provides assistance and services in cases related to various family issues, including child abduction cases under the 1980 Convention.

20. Should the services mentioned in the question above not yet be provided, does your Central Authority intend to provide them in the future?

#### Please provide comments:

Having considered that there were only a few cases related to the 1980 Convention in the Macao SAR, currently, the establishment of a specified regime of mediation or alternative dispute resolution for child abduction cases is not considered a priority. However, the relevant authorities of the Macao SAR will regularly review internal regulations or procedures for improvement.

21. Has your State considered, or is it in the process of considering, the establishment of a central service for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children?<sup>9</sup>

$\boxtimes$	No
	Please explain:
	Currently, this is not considered a priority because there were only a few cases related
	to the 1980 Convention in the Macao SAR.
	Yes
_	Please explain:
	Please insert text here

For reference, please see the recommendation in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, item 3.2, paras 98-105, "Specific training for mediation in international child abduction cases", available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.netunder">www.hcch.netunder</a> "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". paras 114-117. See also 2011 / 2012 SC C&R at para. 61.

Ensu	ring the safe return of children <sup>10</sup>
22.	How does the competent authority in your State obtain information about the protective measures available in the requesting State when necessary to ensure the safe return of the child?
	Please explain: In general, the competent authority of the Macao SAR directly requests the Central Authority of the Macao SAR to gather and provide the relevant information of the requesting State, or uses the HCCH website to search for information when necessary.
23.	If requested as a safe return measure (e.g., in accordance with the 1996 Convention), would your Central Authority be in a position to provide, either directly or through intermediaries, a report or the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return?
	Yes Please specify: The 1996 Convention is not applicable to the Macao SAR. Nevertheless, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR may take proper measures in response to the special circumstances of the case, and maintain close liaision and cooperation with the Central Authority of the State that returned the child to ensure the fulfilment of any specific needs of the child following a return and to safeguard his/her safety. So far, such practice has been effective.
Infor	mation exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities
24.	Has your Central Authority shared experiences with other Central Authority(ies), for example by organising or participating in any networking initiatives such as regional meetings of Centra Authorities, either in person or online? 11
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
Case	management and collection of statistical data on applications made under the Convention
25.	Has your Central Authority developed any protocols or internal guidelines for the processing of incoming and outgoing cases?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify and share the relevant instruments whenever possible:</li> <li>As mentioned above, in 2015 and 2022, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR elaborated process guidances for cases of international child abduction regarding applications for the return of the child and access, respectively, based on the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention.</li> </ul>
26.	Does your Central Authority operate a case management system for processing and tracking incoming and outgoing cases?

No

<sup>10</sup> See Art. 7(2)(h) of the 1980 Convention.

See, in particular, Chapter 6.5, on twinning arrangements, of the Guide to Good Practice – Part I – Central Authority Practice, available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> (see path indicated in note 8). 11

Positio	n Services provided
31.	In the case of access / contact applications under <b>Article 21</b> , which of the following <b>services</b> are <b>provided by your Central Authority</b> ?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
30.	Has your State had any challenges, or have questions arisen, in making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under <b>Article 21</b> when the application was <i>not</i> linked to an international child abduction situation? $^{14}$
	No ☐ Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
29.	Has your Central Authority encountered any problems as regards cooperation with other States in making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>In 2022, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR elaborated a process guidance for international child abduction cases regarding access applications, based on the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention.</li> </ul>
28.	Since the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central Authority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier access / contact?
Tran	sfrontier access / contact13
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>In case this information is publicly made available, please share the links to the statistical reports:</li> </ul>
27.	Does your State collect statistical data on the number of applications made per year under the 1980 Convention (e.g., number of incoming and / or outgoing cases)? $^{12}$
	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Please specify:</li><li>All international child abduction cases were recorded.</li></ul>

In the Country Profile for the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, question No 23(e), States are asked to inform whether statistics related to applications under the Convention are publicly available. Please note that, at its meeting of 2021, according to Conclusion & Decision (C&D) No 19, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) mandated the discontinuance of INCASTAT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See C&R Nos 18-20 of the 2017 SC.

According to C&R No 18 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission agrees that an application to make arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 can be presented to Central Authorities, independently of being linked or not, to an international child abduction situation."

A request of assistance to	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ 1. Assistance in obtaining information on the operation of the 1980
organise or secure	Convention
effective exercise of	2. Assistance in obtaining information on the relevant laws and procedures in
rights of access in another Contracting Party	the requested State  3. Establishment of contact with the Central Authority and / or the competent
(as requesting State)	authorities in the requested State to find out the kind of assistance such
(do requesting state)	authorities could provide
	$\boxtimes$ 4. Transmission of the request to the Central Authority or to the competent
	authorities in the requested State
	5. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access
	6. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice
	7. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services, where
	needed in the requested State
	8. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations for assistance
	□ 23 Istance     □ 3. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application     □ 3. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application.
	10. Other, please specify:
	The Macao SAR Government will provide appropriate assistance or
	arrangement on a case-by-case basis when necessary.
A request of assistance to	
organise or secure	relevant laws and procedures in your State
effective exercise of rights of access <b>in your</b>	2. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of
State (as requested	rights of access
State)	☐ 3. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ 4. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services
	available in your State
	for assistance  ightharpoonup 6. Regular updates on the progress of the application
	7. Other, please specify:
	The Macao SAR Government will provide appropriate assistance or arrangement
	on a case-by-case basis when necessary. To be more specific, for services (3),
	(4) and (5) mentioned above, the Central Authority of the Macao SAR provides
	relevant information (e.g. list of practising lawyers) to the applicant on a case-
	by-case basis.
32. Should your State	also be a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, are you aware of any use
	visions of the 1996 Convention, including those under Chapter V, in lieu of or in
	application under Article 21 of the 1980 Convention?
_	
□ No	
Yes Please speci	for
	ny. Invention is not applicable to the Macao SAR.
1110 1000 00	The state of the applicable to the made of the

# **Special topics**

# Obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction case

33. When obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction proceeding in your State's jurisdiction, what are the elements normally observed and reported by the person hearing the child (e.g., expert, judge, guardian ad litem? (E.g., the views of the child on the procedures, the views of the child on the subject of return, the maturity of the child, any perceived parental influence on the child's statements)?

#### Please explain:

The judges handle the case and take appropriate measures in strict accordance with the law. Before making a judicial order of returning the child, the judges may order evidence measures deemed necessary to be carried out according to Article 112 of the Social Protection Regime of Decree-law 65/99/M, including hearing statements of all parties involved in the case and requesting a report from the Social Welfare Bureau. In the end, the judges will comprehensively consider all evidence and the content of the report to make a decision that is in the best interests of the child.

The Social Protection Regime of Decree-law 65/99/M is available in Chinese and Portuguese respectively on https://bo.io.gov.mo/bo/i/99/43/declei65\_cn.asp and https://bo.io.gov.mo/bo/i/99/43/declei65.asp#65.

34.	Are there are any procedures, guidelines or principles available in your State to guide the person (e.g, expert, judge, guardian ad litem) in seeking the views of the child in a child abduction case?
	No Yes Please specify: The judges handle the case and take appropriate measures in strict accordance with the law. Before making a judicial order of returning the child, the judges may order evidence measures deemed necessary to be carried out according to Article 112 of the Social Protection Regime of Decree-law 65/99/M, including hearing statements of all parties involved in the case and requesting a report from the Social Welfare Bureau. In the end, the judges will comprehensively consider all evidence and the content of the report to make a decision that is in the best interests of the child.
Artic	le 15
35.	As requesting State (outgoing applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State received requests for Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	<ul> <li>□ Do not know</li> <li>☑ Never</li> <li>□ Rarely</li> <li>□ Sometimes</li> <li>□ Very often</li> <li>□ Always</li> </ul>
36.	As requested State (incoming applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State requested Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	<ul> <li>□ Do not know</li> <li>☑ Never</li> <li>□ Rarely</li> <li>□ Sometimes</li> <li>□ Very often</li> <li>□ Always</li> </ul>
37.	Please indicate any good practices your State has developed to provide as complete as possible information in the return applications as required under Article 8 with a view to speed up

#### Please indicate:

proceedings?

It is deemed that the use of the model form and the form for return applications established by the Central Authority of the Macao SAR with the necessary document check list is helpful in speeding up proceedings.

Considering C&R No 7 of the 2017 SC,15 what information do you suggest adding to the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention, either as requested State or requesting State in relation to Article 15? Please insert your suggestions: No comment. Relationship with other international instruments on human rights Has your State faced any challenges, or have questions arisen, in processing international child abduction cases where there was a parallel refugee claim lodged by the taking parent? No Yes If possible, please share any relevant case law or materials that are relevant to this type of situation in your State or, alternatively, a summary of the situation in your State: Please insert text here Do not know Has the concept of the best interest of the child generated discussions in your State in relation to child abduction proceedings? If it is the case, please comment on any relevant challenges in relation to such discussions. No Yes Please provide comments: Please insert text here Use of the 1996 Convention<sup>16</sup> If your State is not Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention (please comment where applicable below): (a) providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (Arts 7 and 11) No comment. (b) providing for the recognition of urgent protective measures by operation of law (Art. 23)

- (c) providing for the advance recognition of urgent protective measures (Art. 24) No comment.
- (d) communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (Art. 34) No comment.
- (e) making use of other relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) No comment.

No comment.

See C&R No 7: "The Special Commission recommends amending the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention to include more detailed information on the Article 15 procedure. It is further recommended that an Information Document on the use of Article 15 be considered with, if necessary, the assistance of a small Working Group."

For this part of the Questionnaire, the Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention can provide helpful guidance, available on the HCCH website at under "Child Protection Section".

42.	If your State <u>is</u> a Party to the 1996 Convention, does your State make use of the relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) to provide, if requested, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? <sup>17</sup>
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>The 1996 Convention is not applicable to the Macao SAR.</li> </ul>
Prim	ary carer and protective measures
43.	Are you aware of any cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, coercive control, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State?
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: There were no such cases.
44.	Would the authorities of your State consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State if they were requested as a means to secure the safe return of the child?
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: It is not considered currently because there were no such cases.
45.	In cases where the return order was issued together with a protective measure to be implemented upon return, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such protective measures?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please explain and distinguish between such measures being recognised and enforced under the 1996 Convention:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
46.	In cases where the return order was issued together with an undertaking given by either party to the competent authority of the requested State, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such undertakings?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
47.	If your State is a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, is Article 23 of that Convention being used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention?

See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain degrees of assistance (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply), both to individuals within their own State and to foreign Central Authorities on behalf of an individual residing abroad. Requests for assistance may encompass such matters as: securing rights of access; the return of children (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply); the protection of runaway children; reporting on the situation of a child residing abroad; post-return reports for children returned to their habitual residence; the recognition or non-recognition of a measure taken abroad (advanced recognition); and, the enforceability of a foreign measure of protection." (Emphasis added.)

50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?

⊠ No □ Yes

Please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any:

Please insert text here

51. By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public and raise awareness about the 1980 Convention?

## Please explain:

The Central Authority of the Macao SAR has created a page on its official website to disseminate information with regard to the 1980 Convention, including application procedures and relevant internal laws.

See the C&R of the 2006 SC at paras 1.7.4-1.7.5, C&R No 84 of the 2012 SC, and C&R No 21 of the 2017 SC, the latter of which says: "The Special Commission recalls the importance of securing effective access to procedures to the parties in international family relocation cases. In this regard, the Special Commission notes that: i) mediation services may assist the parties to solve these cases or prepare for outcomes; ii) the Washington Declaration of 25 March 2010 on Cross-border Family Relocation may be of interest to competent authorities, in particular in the absence of domestic rules on this matter. The Special Commission recommends joining the 1996 Convention."

# PART II - TRAINING, EDUCATION AND POST-CONVENTION SERVICES

#### Training and education

52. Please provide below details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had:

Please provide details:

No comment.

#### The tools, services and support provided by the PB

- 53. Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the PB to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including:
  - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section, including the addition and / or revision of its questions.

The information contained in the Country Profiles is useful with a reference value. The revised version is comprehensive.

- b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at <a href="www.incadat.com">www.incadat.com</a>). No comment,
- c. *The Judges' Newsletter* on International Child Protection the HCCH publication which is available online for free;<sup>20</sup>

No comment.

- d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website (<a href="www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a>); It is suggested that the content of the "Child Abduction Section" be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.
- e. Providing technical assistance and training to Contracting Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB (including through its Regional Offices) organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;

No comment.

f. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s);<sup>21</sup>

No comment.

Available on the HCCH website at under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions and participating in such conferences.

g. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

It is deemed that maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website is helpful and important, which facilitates the communcations between Central Authorities.

h. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

No comment.

 Responding to specific questions raised by Central Authorities, Hague Network Judges or other operators regarding the practical operation or interpretation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions.

It is deemed that the practice is useful and may facilitate the good operation of the 1980 Convention.

#### **Guides to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention**

- 54. For any of the Guides to Good Practice<sup>22</sup> which you may have used to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State please provide comments below:
  - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice.

Information contained in this part is useful with a reference value. It is suggested that the information be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

b. Part II on Implementing Measures.

Information contained in this part is useful with a reference value. It is suggested that the information be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

c. Part III on Preventive Measures.

Information contained in this part is useful with a reference value. It is suggested that the information be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

d. Part IV on Enforcement.

Information contained in this part is useful with a reference value. It is suggested that the information be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

e. Part V on Mediation

Information contained in this part, especially the Chinese translation, is useful with a reference value.

f. Part VI on Article 13(1)(b)

All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

Information contained in this part is useful with a reference value. It is noted that this part is provided in various languages but not in Chinese, so it is suggested to include its Chinese version.

- g. Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children General Principles and Guide to Good Practice It is useful with a reference value. It is suggested that the information be provided in various languages, including Chinese, so as to facilitate the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.
- 55. How has your Central Authority ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to the Guides to Good Practice?

The Central Authority of the Macao SAR shares such information to the relevant authorities, including the Procuratorate, the courts and the police, etc..

56. Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

No comment.

57. In what ways have you used the *Practitioner's Tool: Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Agreements Reached in the Course of Family Matters Involving Children*<sup>23</sup> to assist in improving the practical operation of the 1980 Convention in your State?

No comment.

#### Other

- 58. What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
  - a. to improve the monitoring of the operation of the 1980 Convention; No comment.
  - b. to assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and No comment.
  - c. to evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred? No comment.

The *Practitioner's Tool* is available at the HCCH website at <u>www.hcch.net</u> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

#### **PART III - NON-CONVENTION STATES**

59. Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a Contracting Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States?

Please explain:

No comment.

60. Are there any States which are not Party to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the HCCH that you would like to see invited to the SC meeting in 2023?

Please indicate:

No comment.

#### The "Malta Process"24

61. Do you have any suggestions of activities and projects that could be discussed in the context of the "Malta Process" and, in particular, in the event of a possible Fifth Malta Conference?

Please explain:

No comment.

The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain Contracting Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

# PART IV - PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2023 SC AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

# Views on priorities and recommendations for the SC

62. Are there any particular issues that your State would like the SC meeting to discuss in relation to the 1980 Convention?

Please specify and list in order of priority if possible: No comment.

63. Are there any proposals your State would like to make concerning any particular recommendation to be made by the SC?

Please specify: No comment.

# **Bilateral meetings**

64. Should your State be interested in having bilateral meetings during the SC meeting, please indicate, for the PB's planning purposes, an estimate of how many States with which it intends to meet:

Please insert number: No comment.

# **Any other matters**

65. States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise at the 2023 SC meeting concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

Please provide comments: No comment.