

**QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF
THE 1980 CONVENTION**

Wherever your replies to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, **please provide a copy of the referenced documentation** in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit:¹	HONDURAS
<i>For follow-up purposes</i>	
Name of contact person:	Francisco Adalid Urbina Hernández
Name of Authority / Office:	Directorate of Children, Youth and Family
Telephone number:	504 2239-3131
E-mail address:	convenciondelahayadinaf@gmail.cocm

PART I: RECENT DEVELOPMENTS²

1. Recent developments in your State

1.1 Since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the legislation or procedural rules applicable in cases of international child abduction. Where possible, please state the reason for the development in the legislation / rules, and, where possible, the results achieved in practice (e.g., reducing the time required to decide cases).

- No
 Yes, please specify:

The Republic of Honduras on December 30, 2016 approved "AMBER" Early Warning Act to Locate Missing or Abducted Children and Adolescents by Decree No. 119-2015.

On June 22, 2016 approved by Decree 97-2015 The Convention relative to the competition, the applicable law, the recognition. Implementation and cooperation on parental responsibility for measures to protect children. Pending the Deposit of the adhesion instrument.

1.2 Please provide a brief summary of any significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission by the relevant authorities³ in your State including in the context of the 20 November 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child and relevant regional instruments.

Honduras approved the Childhood and Adolescence Code.

1.3 Please provide a brief summary of any other significant developments in your State since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission relating to international child abduction.

Please insert text here

2. Issues of compliance

2.1 Are there any States Parties to the 1980 Convention with whom you are having particular challenges in achieving successful co-operation? Please specify the challenges you have encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic.

- No
 Yes, please specify:

¹ The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

² This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction and international child protection which have occurred in your State since the Sixth Meeting of the Special Commission to review the operation of the *Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction* and the *Hague Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children* (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (hereinafter "the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission"). However, if there are important matters which you consider should be raised from *prior* to the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, please provide such information here.

³ The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of States Parties such "authorities" will be courts (*i.e.*, judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

Honduras has been having some communication issues with Brazil, Nicaragua and Guatemala Central Authorities that appear not to have a systematic process, and with outgoing cases to the United States of America and Spain, which procedure are usually not expeditious

2.2 Are you aware of situations / circumstances in which there has been avoidance / evasion of the 1980 Convention?

- No
 Yes, please specify:
 Please insert text here

PART II: THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

3. The role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention⁴

In general

3.1 Have any challenges arisen in practice in achieving effective communication or co-operation with other Central Authorities?

- No
 Yes, please specify:
 The lack of response to the emails and phone calls made to other Central Authorities, such as Brazil, Spain, Guatemala, Nicaragua.

3.2 Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in **Article 7** of the 1980 Convention, raised any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in States Parties with whom you have co-operated?

- No
 Yes, please specify:
 The localization process in the United States, Nicaragua and Mexico Central Authorities delays the process of restitution

3.3 Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any of the 1980 Convention provisions? If so, please specify.

- No
 Yes, please specify:
 Please insert text here

Legal aid and representation

3.4 Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (**Art. 7(2)-(g)**) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States you have dealt with?

- No
 Yes, please specify:
 In Honduras legal representation is assumed free of charge by the Public Defense. In outgoing cases to the United States, the referral of a list of lawyers for legal assistance takes more time than it should

3.5 Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States you have dealt with, regarding the obtaining of legal aid, advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents?⁵

- No
 Yes, please specify:

⁴ See also Section 5 below on "Ensuring the safe return of children" which involves the role and functions of Central Authorities.

⁵ See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the "Conclusions and Recommendations of the Fifth Meeting of the Special Commission to review the operation of the *Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction* and the practical implementation of the *Hague Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children* (30 October – 9 November 2006) (hereinafter referred to as the "[Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission](#)") and paragraphs 32 to 34 of the [Conclusions and Recommendations of the Special Commission to review the operation of the Hague Convention of 19 October 1980 on Jurisdiction, Applicable law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children \(1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012\)](#) (hereinafter the "C&R of the 2011/2012 Special Commission") (available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings").

In some cases due to cultural and language differences, economic issues and different time zones.

Locating the child

3.6 Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with locating children in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?

No

Yes, please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:

As requesting State, we have found difficulties to obtain the actual and exact domicile of the child.

3.7 Has your Central Authority worked with any external agencies to discover the whereabouts of a child wrongfully removed to or retained within your State (e.g., the police, Interpol, private location services)?

No

Yes, please share any good practice on this matter:

Our State works in collaboration with INTERPOL, the Police Department, Local Authorities, Education Secretary, National Migration Institute.

Information exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities

3.8 Has your Central Authority shared its expertise with another Central Authority or benefited from another Central Authority sharing its expertise with your Central Authority, in accordance with the Guide to Good Practice – Part I on Central Authority Practice?⁶

No

Yes, please specify:

The State of Honduras on December 15, 2015 received technical assistance from the Central Authorities of Uruguay and the United States of America.

Maintains monthly communications on a permanent basis with the Central Authority of the United States of America, with whom a twinning agreement is planned for July 2017.

Advisory services have also been received from the Central Authority of Costa Rica and Mexico.

3.9 Has your Central Authority organised or participated in any other networking initiatives between Central Authorities such as regional meetings via conference call or videoconference?

No

Yes, please specify:

The State of Honduras has participated on a Regional Meeting for Central Authorities, which took place in Mexico on September 2016. Also on the Second Interamerican Meeting of Central Authorities and International Hague Network Judges on International Child Abduction which took place in Panamá on March 29-31, 2017.

Statistics⁷

3.10 If your Central Authority does not submit statistics through the web-based INCASTAT database, please explain why.

Please insert text here

Prompt handling of cases

3.11 Does your Central Authority have mechanisms in place to ensure the prompt handling of cases?

No

Yes, please specify:

In 2016, Honduras created The Guide to Attend Cases of Restitution of Rights of Children in Situations of Abduction and / or Unlawful Retention by one of their Parents at Administrative Level.

⁶ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice". See, in particular, Chapter 6.5 on twinning arrangements.

⁷ See paras 1.1.16 to 1.1.21 of the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission (*supra*, note 5).

3.12 If your Central Authority is experiencing delays in handling cases please specify the main reasons for these delays:

The main cause is the lack of specialization of the courts for processing the cases.

4. **Court proceedings & promptness**

4.1 Has your State limited the number of judicial or administrative authorities who can hear return applications under the 1980 Convention (*i.e.*, concentration of jurisdiction)?⁸

Yes

No, please indicate if such arrangements are being contemplated:

It has been designated in the Children's Courts or those that act as, this difficults the application of the Convention in Honduras.

4.2 Does your State have mechanisms in place to handle return decisions within six weeks (*e.g.*, production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?

No

Yes, please explain:

Procedures for international restitution are an unabbreviated summary process

4.3 If your response to the previous question is No, does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (*e.g.*, procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?

No, please explain:

Please insert text here

Yes, please explain:

Please insert text here

4.4 If your State is experiencing delays in handling return decisions please specify the main reasons for these delays:

It usually delays when it reaches the stage of appeal, in the second instance.

4.5 Do your courts regularly order immediate protective measures when initiating the return procedure, so as to prevent a new removal and minimize the harm to the child (*e.g.*, prohibit removal of the child from the jurisdiction, retain documentation, grant provisional access rights to the left-behind parent)?

No, please explain:

Please insert text here

Yes, please explain:

The child is forbidden to leave the country, if it's considered necessary it is order to retain the personal documents of the abductor and the children and adolescents and can also apply special protection measures immediately when required.

4.6 Do your courts make use of direct judicial communications to ensure prompt proceedings?

Yes

No, please explain:

To the date it has not been required.

4.7 If your State has not designated a sitting judge to the International Hague Network of Judges does your State intend to do so in the near future?

Yes

No, please explain:

Please insert text here

4.8 Please comment upon any cases (whether your State was the requesting or requested State), in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?

Please insert text here

⁸ See, *The Judges' Newsletter* on International Child Protection – [Vol. XX / Summer-Autumn 2013](#) the special focus of which was "Concentration of jurisdiction under the *Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the civil aspects of International Child Abduction* and other international child protection instruments".

5. **Ensuring the safe return of children**⁹

*Methods for ensuring the safe return of children*¹⁰

5.1 What measures has your Central Authority taken to ensure that the recommendations of the 2006 and 2011 / 2012 Special Commission meetings¹¹ regarding the safe return of children are implemented?

It is required that the final decision specifies all matter concerning with the return, the execution, financing, specialized escort and other details.

5.2 In particular, in a case where the safety of a child is in issue and where a return order has been made in your State, how does your Central Authority ensure that the appropriate child protection bodies in the *requesting* State are alerted so that they may act to protect the welfare of a child upon return (until the appropriate court in the requesting State has been effectively seised)?

The Central Authority, DINAF, is also responsible for guiding the protection of the rights of children and this is why the acting is immediately

5.3 Where there are concerns in the requested State regarding possible risks for a child following a return, what conditions or requirements can the relevant authority in your State put in place to minimise or eliminate those concerns?

Our Central Authority receives and does a follow up to child that has returned for the time deemed necessary.

Use of the 1996 Convention to ensure a safe return

5.4 If your State is not Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention in providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (**Arts 7 and 11**), in providing for their recognition by operation of law (**Art. 23**), and in communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (**Art. 34**)?

- No
 Yes, please explain:
[Please insert text here](#)

Protection of primary carer

5.5 Are you aware of cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State? Please explain and provide case examples where possible.

It has happened once, the case was presented before the Court and the Appeal Resolution is still pending. Protection measures has been applied concerning the personal information of the mother and child to avoid possible persecution, harrasment or other threats

5.6 In particular, would your authorities consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State as a mean to secure the safe return of the child? Please explain and provide case examples where possible.

[Please insert text here](#)

Post-return information

5.7 In cases where measures are put in place in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those

⁹ See **Art. 7(2) h)** of the 1980 Convention.

¹⁰ Where relevant, please make reference to the use of undertakings, mirror orders and safe harbour orders and other such measures in your State.

¹¹ See the [Conclusions and Recommendations](#) of the Special Commission of 2006 (*supra*. note 5) at paras 1.1.12 and 1.8.1 to 1.8.2 and 1.8.4 to 1.8.5 and the Appendix to the Conclusions and Recommendations and the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission (*supra*. note 5).at paras 39-43.

measures upon the child's return? Would you support a recommendation that States Parties should cooperate to provide each other with follow-up information on such matters, insofar as is possible?

In coordination with the Central Authority we assure the safe and effective return of the child.

Honduras does support the recommendation that States Parties should provide each other with follow-up information.

5.8 If your State is not Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention in providing a jurisdictional basis for requesting a report on the situation of the child upon return to the State of habitual residence (**Art. 32-(a)**)?

No

Yes, please explain:

The deposit of the adhesion instrument is pending.

6. **Voluntary agreements and mediation**

6.1 How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is it considering taking, appropriate steps under **Article 7-(c)** to secure the voluntary return of the child or to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:

To date the State of Honduras, through the Central Authority's operational staff, has been able to initiate a simple mediation process, which has resulted in the signing of 2 Conciliatory agreement and 2 voluntary returns.

6.2 In what ways have you used the "Guide to Good Practice on Mediation"¹² for the purpose of implementing the 1980 Convention in your State? Please explain:

Please insert text here

¹² Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

6.3 Has your State considered or is it in the process of considering the establishment of a Central Contact Point for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children, or has this task been entrusted to the Central Authority?¹³

No, please explain:

Yes, please explain:
Please insert text here

7. **Preventive measures**

7.1 Has your State taken steps to advance the development of a travel form under the auspices of the International Civil Aviation Organisation?¹⁴

No

Yes, please describe:
Please insert text here

7.2 Regardless of whether the International Civil Aviation Organisation adds the development of a travel form to its work programme, would your State support the development of a non-mandatory model travel form under the auspices of the Hague Conference?

Yes

No, please explain:
The idea has not been socialized, nor the National Legislation that regulates Childs Authorization to travel has not been modified.

8. **The Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention**

8.1 In what ways have you used the Parts of the Guide to Good Practice¹⁵ to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State?

a. Part I on Central Authority Practice. Please explain:

The Guide to Attend Cases of Restitution of Rights of Children in Situations of Abduction and / or Unlawful Retention by one of their Parents at Administrative Level., based on Part I

b. Part II on Implementing Measures. Please explain:

Please insert text here

c. Part III on Preventive Measures. Please explain:

National legislation on the Abduction of Children is being revised.
"Amber" Early Warning Act was approved.

d. Part IV on Enforcement. Please explain:

Different training processes were carried out nation wide by the Central Authority, with judges and justice operators.

8.2 How have you ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to, the Guide to Good Practice?

It has been socialized through meeting and different training process.

8.3 Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

Please insert text here

9. **Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention**

9.1 Has the 1980 Convention given rise to (a) any publicity (positive or negative) in your State, or (b) any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent?

¹³ As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". par. 114-117. See also Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission (*supra*. note 5) at par. 61.

¹⁴ See the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission (*supra*. note 5) at par. 92.

¹⁵ All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

No

Yes, please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any:

[Please insert text here](#)

9.2 By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public about the 1980 Convention?

[Through the Central Authority 's web page.](#)

**PART IV: TRANSFRONTIER ACCESS / CONTACT AND
INTERNATIONAL FAMILY RELOCATION**

10. Transfrontier access / contact¹⁶

10.1 Since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central Authority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier contact / access?

- No
 Yes, please explain:
[Please insert text here](#)

10.2 Please indicate any important developments in your State, since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, in the interpretation of **Article 21** of the 1980 Convention.

[Please insert text here](#)

10.3 What problems have you experienced, if any, as regards co-operation with other States in respect of:

- a. the granting or maintaining of access rights;
[Please insert text here](#)
- b. the effective exercise of rights of access; and
[Please insert text here](#)
- c. the restriction or termination of access rights.
[Please insert text here](#)

Please provide case examples where possible.
[Please insert text here](#)

10.4 In what ways have you used the "General Principles and Guide to Good Practice on Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children"¹⁷ to assist in transfrontier contact / access cases in your State? Can you suggest any further principles of good practice?

[Please insert text here](#)

11. International family relocation¹⁸

11.1 Since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable to international family relocation? Where possible, please explain these developments in the legislation, procedural rules or case law:

[Please insert text here](#)

¹⁶ See the [Conclusions and Recommendations](#) of the 2006 Special Commission (*supra*. note 5) at paras 1.7.1 to 1.7.3.

¹⁷ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

¹⁸ See the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission meeting at paras 1.7.4 to 1.7.5:

"1.7.4 The Special Commission concludes that parents, before they move with their children from one country to another, should be encouraged not to take unilateral action by unlawfully removing a child but to make appropriate arrangements for access and contact preferably by agreement, particularly where one parent intends to remain behind after the move.

1.7.5 The Special Commission encourages all attempts to seek to resolve differences among the legal systems so as to arrive as far as possible at a common approach and common standards as regards relocation."

PART V: NON-CONVENTION CASES AND NON-CONVENTION STATES**12. Non-Convention cases and non-Convention States**

- 12.1 Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a State Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States? Please explain:

[Please insert text here](#)

- 12.2 Are there any States which are not Parties to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the Hague Conference that you would like to see invited to the Special Commission meeting in 2017?

[Please insert text here](#)

The "Malta Process"¹⁹

- 12.2 In relation to the "Malta Process":

- a. Do you have any comment to make on the "Principles for the Establishment of Mediation Structures in the context of the Malta Process" and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum?²⁰

[Please insert text here](#)

- b. Have any steps been taken towards the implementation of the Malta Principles in your State and the designation of a Central Contact Point, in order to better address cross-border family disputes over children involving States that are not a Party to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions?

No

Yes, please explain:

[Please insert text here](#)

- c. What is your view as to the future of the "Malta Process"?

[Please insert text here](#)

**PART VI: TRAINING AND EDUCATION AND
THE TOOLS, SERVICES AND SUPPORT PROVIDED
BY THE PERMANENT BUREAU****13. Training and education**

- 13.1 Can you give details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had?

[The Central Authority has organized training for the institutions specifically involved in the focal points at the nation wide, to the higher educators of the Police University of Honduras and other actors.](#)

14. The tools, services and support provided by the Permanent Bureau

In general

- 14.1 Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the Permanent Bureau to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 and 1996 Conventions, including:

- a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section.

¹⁹ The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain States Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

²⁰ The Principles and Explanatory Memorandum were circulated to all Hague Conference Member States and all States participating in the Malta Process in November 2010. They are available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

It is important to know the functioning of other Central Authorities.

- b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at < www.incadat.com >).
[Please insert text here](#)
- c. *The Judges' Newsletter* on International Child Protection - the publication of the Hague Conference on Private International Law which is available online for free;²¹
[Please insert text here](#)
- d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the Hague Conference website (< www.hcch.net >);
[It's a tool used by the Central Authority to the compliance of the Convention.](#)
- e. INCASTAT (the database for the electronic collection and analysis of statistics on the 1980 Convention);²²
[Allows to know the statistics and management of the Central Authorities and](#)

Judges.

- f. Providing technical assistance and training to States Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 and 1996 Conventions.²³ Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the Permanent Bureau or, alternatively, may involve the Permanent Bureau organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;
[Honduras is not a State Party yet, nevertheless a process has been initiated.](#)
- g. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the Convention(s), including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s);²⁴
[Honduras is pending the adhesion of three other Conventions](#)
- h. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining their contact details updated on the HCCH website;
[To the date Honduras Central Authority contact details has been updated.](#)
- i. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges
[Honduras is planing to assign four Hague Network Judges to enforce the compliance of the Convention.](#)

Other

14.2 What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:

- a. To improve the monitoring of the operation of the Conventions;
[Improve processing times and increase the active participation of Central Authorities, judges and other actors in the ongoing review of the implementation of Conventions](#)
- b. To assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and
[Please insert text here](#)
- c. To evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred?
[Please insert text here](#)

PART VII: PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SPECIAL COMMISSION AND ANY OTHER MATTERS
--

²¹ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

²² Further information is available via the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "INCASTAT".

²³ Such technical assistance may be provided to judges, Central Authority personnel and / or other professionals involved with the practical operation of the Convention(s).

²⁴ Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the Permanent Bureau or, alternatively, may involve the Permanent Bureau organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences.

15. Views on priorities and recommendations for the Special Commission

15.1 Which matters does your State think ought to be accorded particular priority on the agenda for the Special Commission? Please provide a brief explanation supporting your response.

Review of the application of exceptions particularly the Serious Risk exception.

15.2 States are invited to make proposals concerning any particular recommendations they think ought to be made by the Special Commission.

The work done to improve the application of the exceptions should be recognized, but the importance of monitoring compliance with the Good Practices Guidelines is recognized.

16. Any other matters

16.1 States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

It is important to strengthen the relation of the 1980 Convention with the Convention on the Rights of the Child of November 20, 1989 and the importance of analyzing the Convention from a more psychosocial and less legal perspective, revising other aspects relating to national times and procedures.