#### **COUNTRY PROFILE**

#### TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY VIDEO-LINK UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 18 MARCH 1970 ON THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE ABROAD IN CIVIL OR COMMERCIAL MATTERS

#### **STATE NAME:** The Republic of Turkey

#### PROFILE UPDATED ON (DATE): 27.12.2021

#### PART I: STATE

1. Contact details The contact details provided in this section will be published on the Haque Conference website CHAPTER I (LETTERS OF REQUEST) As with any other Letter of Request under Chapter I of the Evidence Convention, the requesting authority should contact the Central Authority(ies) of the requested State when seeking to obtain evidence by means of a Letter of Request, whether using video-link or not. a) Are the contact details of the Central  $\square$ Yes. Authority(ies) designated by Your STATE up- $\square$ No. Please provide the contact details to-date on the Evidence Section of the Hague on a separate Word or PDF document Conference website? for uploading on the Evidence Section of the Hague Conference website. b) Would YOUR STATE be in favour of specifying a Yes person or department within the Central If YOUR STATE has already done so, Authority(ies) who would assist in please specify the contact details: processing Letters of Request where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide  $\square$ No. technical assistance)? Please explain why: The video-links are not carried out by the Central Authority. A request for the use of video-link will be forwarded to the competent court in Turkey, depending on where the witness is located. Most of the judicial departments normally have a technical office to assist. Comments: c) What arrangements are there for ensuring After the competent court accepts executing that there is a contact person with whom the the request for the use of video-link, it will requesting authority can liaise and who is arrange the hearing and test connection date, and send a filled "Registration Form of Video available on the day of the hearing to operate Conferencing" to the requesting authority the video-link facilities (e.q. is there a through Turkish Central Authority. The

booking system)?

Registration Form includes information of contact person.

# CHAPTER II (TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS)

Permission by a designated authority may be required to apply certain provisions under Chapter II. To know if such a permission is required for a particular State, see the practical information chart (accessible from the <u>Authorities</u> page) AND / OR the declarations (accessible from the <u>Status Table</u> page) of the relevant State available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.

If permission is not required, applicants should contact the diplomatic and consular mission (Arts 15/16) or the commissioner (Art. 17) to explore whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

If permission is required, applicants should contact the authority that was designated to grant permission AND the relevant diplomatic and consular mission or commissioner, to explore, where necessary, whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

d) Would YOUR STATE be in favour of specifying an entity or authority, in addition to the relevant authority / diplomatic or consular agent / commissioner, that would assist in processing applications where the use of video-links has expressly been requested ( <i>e.g.</i> , to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	<ul> <li>Yes. If Your STATE has already done so, please specify the contact details:</li> <li>No. Please explain why:</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
e) What arrangements are there for ensuring that there is a contact person with whom the Court of Origin can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities ( <i>e.g.</i> is there a booking system)?	

#### Legal basis a) Does Your Yes. Please specify: STATE, in the application of $\square$ No. Article 27 (i.e. Please specify: Turkey does not allow internal law or foreign courts to directly take evidence in practice), allow for a foreign Turkey, even by video-link. Court to directly take Comments: evidence by video-link? b) Please indicate In the Turkish legislation, there are no the legal basis specific rules on the use of video-link in or applicable taking of evidence in the cross-border protocols (i.e., civil matters. relevant laws, regulations, practice, etc.) for the use of Article 149 of the Turkish Code of Civil Procedure Act No:6100 states that : video-links in (1) The Court may, with the consent of the parties, allow the parties or their the taking of representatives to participate in hearings and carry out procedural evidence in proceedings through the transmission of audio and video at the same YOUR STATE, either under time. the Convention (2) With the consent of the parties, the court may allow the witness, expert, or independent specialist or one of the parties to be present elsewhere during the of the hearing. Hearing is transmitted to the courtroom at the same time as Convention audio and video. (see, *e.q.* See link: Art. 27 (b) and https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/mevzuat?MevzuatNo=6100&MevzuatTur=1 (c)): &MevzuatTertip=5 Besides that "The Regulation on Holding Trials Through Audio and Video Please also Transmission in Civil Procedure" contains details on the matter. See attach a copy of, or provide a link link : to, the relevant https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/mevzuat?MevzuatNo=38760&MevzuatTur= provisions, where 7&MevzuatTertip=5 possible in English or French. c) Does Your Yes. STATE have any Please attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, agreements where possible in English or French: with other $\boxtimes$ No. Contracting States that Comments: derogate from the Convention when taking evidence by video-link (see Art. 28 and Art. 32)?

#### PART II: RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND COURT SYSTEM

Court system	
d) Please indicate which courts permit, or have the facilities for, the taking of evidence by video-link. If possible, indicate where relevant information on videoconferenci ng facilities in courts can be found online:	<ul> <li>All courts.</li> <li>All courts of a specific type / level. Please specify:</li> <li>Only specific courts. Please specify which courts, or provide a link to/attach a full list:</li> <li>None.</li> </ul> Comments: Almost all courts have videoconferencing facilities.

### PART III: TECHNICAL AND SECURITY ASPECTS (APPLICABLE TO BOTH CHAPTERS)

	1
a) Does Your STATE use licensed software (which ensures support for technical and security matters) for the taking of evidence by video- link?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>b) What are the specifications of the video-link technology in use in YOUR STATE, including, if any, the minimum standards or mechanisms used to secure the communications and any recordings made?</li> <li>States are encouraged to provide as much information as possible when responding to this question. As such, it may be useful to consider liaising with the relevant IT experts.</li> </ul>	Codec ( <i>i.e.</i> , manufacturer, model, transmission speed, bandwidth): different brand and model products are used. Video and audio standards ( <i>e.g.</i> Standard Definition, High Definition, etc.): SD, HD, FHD Type of network ( <i>e.g.</i> , ISDN, IP, etc.): Point to point, internet Type of encryption for signals in secure transmissions: H.264 and if necessary VPN connection is established. Split screen capability: Yes Document cameras: No, but presentation and content sharing can be done. Multipoint connections: Yes Additional specifications or capabilities: Protocols or other practices: SIP, H323, H264, ETC <i>Comments</i> :
c) Can evidence be taken via commercial providers ( <i>e.g.</i> , Skype <sup>™</sup> )?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>☑ No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>
d) Does Your STATE have a procedure for testing connections and the quality of transmissions before the hearing?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify: The courts normally test the connection before the hearing.The connection test date is placed on the Registration Form mentioned at the answer 1 -c.</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>

Impersons present is required.     Impersons present is required.	comments:	e) Does YOUR STATE have any requirements as to the hearing room, <i>e.g.</i> , should be located in a court, should have a camera view of the whole room or a view of all the parties, etc.?	
--	-----------	---	--

# PART IV: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER BOTH CHAPTERS – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Restrictions	
a) Must a court order directing the use of video- links first be obtained from the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II)?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>☑ No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>: Letter rogatory issued by the requesting</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>b) Are there any restrictions on what type/s of evidence can be taken by video-link or how it is to be taken?</li> </ul>	authority is needed.         Yes.         Please specify: According to Turkish Code of Civil Procedure Act, statement of
	<ul><li>witnesses, experts or parties can be taken by video-link.</li><li>No.</li></ul>
	Comments:
c) Are there any specific restrictions on how evidence gathered via video-link can be handled and distributed, or do the usual rules for evidence obtained in person apply?	<ul> <li>Yes, there are specific restrictions. Please specify:</li> <li>No, the normal rules for evidence apply.</li> </ul>
	Comments:
d) Are there any restrictions on the type of person who may be examined by video-link?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify: witnesses, experts or parties.</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>
	Comments:
e) Is it necessary to seek the consent of the parties to use video-link to take evidence?	Yes. Please specify the conditions under which parties may refuse the use of video-link:
	🖾 No.
	Comments:
<ul> <li>f) Are there any restrictions on the location where the person should be examined (<i>e.g.</i> in a courtroom, on the premises of an Embassy or diplomatic mission)?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Please specify: Courtroom</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>
	Comments:

g) Can a witness / expert be compelled to use video-links to give evidence?	<ul> <li>Yes. If so, please specify what coercive measures may be used:         No. Please explain:         </li> <li><i>Comments</i>: There is no specific provision in the Turkish Code of Civil Procedure concerning compelling a witness /expert to use vide- links to give evidence. However, the general provisions regarding compelling witnessess might be applied.     </li> </ul>
	withessess might be applied.
<ul> <li>h) Please briefly outline the procedure/s, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for actually notifying or summoning the witness / expert to give evidence by video-link, including any references to relevant laws, regulations or practice.</li> </ul>	Chapter I: The witness/expert is notified to attend the hearing on the date of hearing by service of invitation letter. Chapter II:
Please also include, where applicable, the differences between notifying or summoning a willing witness / expert and notifying or summoning a witness / expert that is to be compelled.	Comments:
<ul> <li>i) The law of which State governs the use of privileges?</li> <li>Please tick all that apply.</li> <li>See Articles 11 and 21(e) of the Convention</li> </ul>	Chapter I: The law of the Requesting State. The law of the Requested State. The law of another State. Please specify:
	Chapter II: <ul> <li>The law of the State of Origin.</li> <li>The law of the State of Execution.</li> <li>The law of another State.</li> <li>Please specify:</li> </ul> Comments:

# PART V: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER I</u> (LETTERS OF REQUEST) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Legal obstacles	
<ul> <li>a) Does YOUR STATE consider that there are legal obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention?</li> <li>The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (see C&amp;R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&amp;R No 20 of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>
2014 SC).	
Direct and indirect taking of evidence	
b) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does YOUR STATE allow for the direct taking of evidence by judicial personnel of the <i>requesting</i> State ( <i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes.</li> <li>☑ No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>
c) Under which provisions of Chapter I of the Convention is indirect taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	<ul> <li>Art. 9(1) - The judicial authority of the requested State obtains evidence (<i>e.g.</i>, a witness / expert examination) which is located in a (distant) location within its own State.</li> <li>Art. 9(2) - As a special method or procedure. Please also outline whether any specific conditions must be satisfied:</li> <li>See also questions on presence.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
<ul> <li>d) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in YOUR STATE when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter I (<i>e.g.</i> protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Article 263 of the Turkish Code of Civil Procedure:</li> <li>(1) If the witness does not speak Turkish, s/he shall be heard through a translator.</li> <li>(2) If the witness is deaf and mute and is literate, the questions shall be asked to him/her in writing and s/he shall give the answers in his /her own writing; if s/he cannot read or write, the judge shall hear him/her with the help of an expert who knows sign language.</li> </ul>
Presence	
e) Are the rules for the presence of the parties and their representatives when physically in a	Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to

### PART V - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER I)

single location the same for when evidence is taken via video-link?	actively participate:
See Article 7 of the Convention	□ No.
	Comments:
f) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does YOUR STATE allow for the cross-examination of a witness / expert by video-link by the representatives located in the <i>requesting</i> State ( <i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	<ul> <li>✓ Yes.</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Comments:</li> <li>According to article 152 of Code of Turkish Civil Procedure (act no 6100), The representatives of the parties attending the hearing may direct questions to witnesses, experts and other persons invited to the hearing in accordance with the discipline of the hearing. Parties may ask questions through the judge. When the question posed is challenged, the judge decides whether the question should be addressed.</li> </ul>
g) Does Your STATE allow for the presence of the judicial personnel of the requesting State via video-link? See Article 8 of the Convention Please note that a declaration may be made under this provision.	<ul> <li>Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate: No, the hearing is conducted under the supervision and control of the Turkish judge.</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>

# PART VI: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER II</u> (BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The questions in this Part are only for States application of Chapter II	that have not wholly excluded the	
Please note that Chapter II may be subject to a reservation in whole or in part under Article 33. Check the reservations that Your STATE has made under this Chapter in the status table, available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.		
Legal obstacles and legal framework		
a) Does YOUR STATE consider there to be any legal obstacles to the taking of evidence by video-link under Chapter II of the Convention?	<ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments:	
b) Under which provisions of Chapter II of the Convention is taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your STATE?	<ul> <li>Art. 15</li> <li>Art. 16</li> <li>Art. 17</li> </ul>	
	Comments:	
c) Is prior permission from YOUR STATE required when taking evidence under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of YOUR STATE?	Yes. Please outline the procedure for seeking such permission, including any specific conditions that must be satisfied:	
	□ No.	
	Comments:	
d) Please indicate who administers the oath or affirmation and how perjury and contempt are dealt with when evidence is taken under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory	Administration of the oath or affirmation:	
of Your State.	Dealing with perjury and contempt:	
Direct and indirect taking of evidence		
e) Diplomatic and consular agents are usually located in the State where the witness / expert resides. It may be, however, that a witness / expert is located in a neighbouring country or in a place distant from the Embassy or Consulate. In these circumstances, does YOUR STATE consider it possible to use video-link to obtain evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>	

### PART VI - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
f) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in YOUR STATE when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II ( <i>e.g.</i> protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	
Presence	
<ul> <li>g) Under the law of YOUR STATE, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by diplomatic and consular agents?</li> <li>Please tick all that apply.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The parties.</li> <li>The parties' representatives.</li> <li>Judicial personnel.</li> <li>Someone else. Please specify:</li> </ul>
	Comments:
<ul> <li>h) Under the law of YOUR STATE, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by commissioners?</li> <li>Please tick all that apply.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The parties.</li> <li>The parties' representatives.</li> <li>Judicial personnel.</li> <li>Someone else. Please specify:</li> </ul>
	Comments:
Applicable law	Comments:
<ul> <li>Applicable law</li> <li>i) The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II?</li> </ul>	Comments:  The law of the State of Origin The law of the State of Execution It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:
<ul> <li>i) The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The law of the State of Origin</li> <li>The law of the State of Execution</li> <li>It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>i) The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The law of the State of Origin</li> <li>The law of the State of Execution</li> <li>It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:</li> </ul>

## PART VII PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

COMMON TO BOTH CHAPTERS	
Notice	
<ul> <li>a) What does YOUR STATE consider to be the minimum amount of time required between the request and the actual hearing in order to</li> </ul>	Chapter I: 4 mounts
make the arrangements to take evidence by video-link?	Chapter II:
Interpretation services	
b) Who is responsible, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for the use of interpretation services and who arranges these services in YOUR STATE when video-link is used?	Chapter I: mostly the requesting court Chapter II:
c) Are professional accredited interpreters required in YOUR STATE, and where can relevant contact details be found?	<ul> <li>☐ Yes.</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>☑ No.</li> </ul>
	Comments: The court uses an accredited interpreter if possible.
d) Under the law of YOUR STATE, is interpretation to be simultaneous or consecutive when a witness / expert is examined via video-link?	Both is possible.
e) Where may the interpreter be located when a witness / expert is examined via video-link? <i>Please check all that apply.</i>	<ul> <li>In the room with the witness / expert.</li> <li>In the room with those conducting the examination.</li> <li>Elsewhere in the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II).</li> <li>Elsewhere in the requested State (Chapter I) / State of Execution (Chapter II).</li> <li>In a third State.</li> <li>Other.</li> </ul>
	Please specify: <i>Comments</i> : There is no legal provision in Turkish law
	regarding this matter.
Reporting and recording	
f) Is a written report of the video-link hearing or testimony prepared?	<ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Please specify by whom: the requested court</li> <li>Please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the report: Article 153 of the Turkish Code of Civil Procedure Act No:6100 states that :         <ol> <li>No photographs can be taken during the trial, and no audio or video recordings can be made in any way. However,</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>shootings and recordings can be made by the court in cases necessitated by the trial. All kinds of documents and minutes in the case file containing the shootings and recordings made in this way and the subjects related to personal rights cannot be published anywhere without the express permission of the court and the relevant persons.</li> <li>(2) The provision of Article 151 shall apply to the person who violates this prohibition during the hearing.</li> <li>(3) The provisions of Article 286 of the Turkish Penal Code shall also apply to the person who violates the ban on recording</li> </ul>
	and publishing.
	Comments:
g) Are facilities and equipment made available in order to record the hearing or testimony?	<ul> <li>Yes, with audio and video.</li> <li>Yes, only with video.</li> <li>Yes, only with audio.</li> <li>No, but the recording of hearings/testimonies is permitted.</li> <li>If a recording is produced, please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the recording: see f)</li> <li>The Regulation on Holding Trials Through Audio and Video Transmission in Civil Procedure duplicates the provisions above.</li> <li>No, because the recording of hearings/testimonies is not permitted under internal law.</li> </ul>
Decuments and autility	
Documents and exhibits	Desument company are not available therefore
h) What arrangements are to be made for showing or referring to documents or exhibits when taking evidence by video-link?	Document cameras are not available therefore, the documents should be enclosed with the request letter by the requesting court.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER I		
Practical obstacles		
<ul> <li>i) Does YOUR STATE consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>☑ No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>	
Identification of all relevant actors		
j) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in YOUR STATE when video-link is used under Chapter I?	The same procedures apply as when the parties, the witness/expert an all relevant actors are physically present in Court. In accordance with the procedures of The Code of Civil Procedure, the court staff checks ID card of the person.	
Standard Forms		
<ul> <li>k) Do the authorities of YOUR STATE use a standardised request form under Chapter I that makes specific reference to the use of video-links?</li> <li>The use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I.</li> <li>While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form.</li> <li>1) Does YOUR STATE require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the requesting State in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness / expert examination by video-link under Chapter I? (e.g. contact details for IT support, technical</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify: The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link.</li> <li>No standardised form is used.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> <li>Yes. Please specify: A "registration form" including contact details for IT support and techical specification is sent to the requesting authority.</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	
specifications, etc)	Comments:	
Costs		
m) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter I in YOUR STATE?	Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs:	
	⊠ No.	
	<i>Comments</i> : Usually, the Turkish judicial authority which executes the request of the taking evidence bears the relevants costs, but could request any cost according to Article 14 of the Convention.	

n) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter I in Your STATE? See Art. 14(2) of the Evidence Convention	<ul> <li>The moving party (requesting the use of video-link).</li> <li>The requesting authority (in the requesting State).</li> <li>The requested authority (in the requested State).</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>o) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Payment in cash</li> <li>Payment by (credit) card</li> <li>Electronic/wire transfer</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>
p) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter I in YOUR STATE when video-link is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?	The requesting authority pays for the interpretation services. Usually after executing the taking evidence, the court requests the costs reimbursed and can be paid via electronic/wire transfer or payment in cash.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER II		
Only for States that have not excluded in whole the application of Chapter II		
Practical obstacles		
q) Does YOUR STATE consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>	
Identification of all relevant actors		
r) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your STATE when video-link is used under Chapter II?		
Standard Forms		
<ul> <li>s) Do the authorities of YOUR STATE use a standardised request form under Chapter II that makes specific reference to the use of video-links?</li> <li>Although the use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I, it may also be used, with the necessary amendments when applying for permission to take evidence under Chapter II.</li> <li>While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link.</li> <li>No standardised form is used.</li> </ul> Comments:	
be included in item 13 of the Form. Assistance and facilities		
<ul> <li>t) Are the Embassies and Consulates of Your STATE (acting as the State of Execution) able to assist applicants in arranging a video-link?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify how, <i>e.g.</i>, via a booking system:</li> <li>No. Please specify who else would assist, if anyone:</li> </ul>	
	Comments:	
u) Is it possible to hold a video-link session requested under the Convention at the premises of the Embassies or Consulates of YOUR STATE abroad?	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> </ul> Comments:	
v) Does Your STATE require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the State of Origin in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness or expert examination by video-link under Chapter II? ( <i>e.g.</i> the use of interpreters, stenographers, or recording devices)	<ul> <li>Yes. Please specify:</li> <li>No.</li> <li><i>Comments</i>:</li> </ul>	

### PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

Costs		
w) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter II in YOUR STATE?	<ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs:</li> </ul>	
	□ No.	
	Comments:	
x) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter II in Your State?	<ul> <li>The moving party (requesting the use of video-link).</li> <li>The State of Origin</li> <li>The Diplomatic mission or Consulate in the State of Execution.</li> <li>The commissioner</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li> </ul>	
y) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?	<ul> <li>Payment in cash</li> <li>Payment by (credit) card</li> <li>Electronic/wire transfer</li> <li>Other. Please specify:</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul>	
z) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter II in YOUR STATE when video- link is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?		