

Council on General Affairs and Policy – March 2020

Document	Preliminary Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Document <input type="checkbox"/>	Prel. Doc. 10 of December 2019
Title	Report on the planning for a first meeting of the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the HCCH 2000 Adults Convention	
Author	Permanent Bureau	
Agenda item	Item IV-1-d	
Mandate(s)	C&R No 34 of CGAP 2019	
Objective	To present a summary of States' responses to the July 2019 Questionnaire to assess the need to convene a possible meeting of the Special Commission in 2022 to review the practical operation of the <i>Convention of 13 January 2000 on the International Protection of Adults</i> (Prel. Doc. 1 of July 2019)	
Action to be taken	For Approval <input type="checkbox"/> For Decision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Information <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Annexes	Annex I: Compilation of States' responses to Prel. Doc. of July 2019	
Related documents	Prel. Doc. of July 2019, Questionnaire to assess the need to convene a possible meeting of the Special Commission in 2022 to review the practical operation of the <i>Convention of 13 January 2000 on the International Protection of Adults</i>	

I. Introduction

1. At its March 2019, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) “mandated the Permanent Bureau to prepare for a first meeting of the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Adults Convention in the first half of 2022. A short questionnaire to help identify the topics to be discussed at the meeting will be circulated by September 2019. The Permanent Bureau will report to Council at its 2020 meeting. The Permanent Bureau is invited to explore possible side-events to support implementation of the 2000 Adults Convention”.¹

2. A short questionnaire was circulated in July 2019.² By 13 December 2019, 27 Member States³ had responded to the Questionnaire.⁴ All 27 Member States have indicated an interest in attending a meeting of the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Convention to take place in the first half of 2022. As to the interest to attend a half-day information session prior the meeting of the Special Commission for new States Parties, States interested in becoming a Party to the 2000 Convention or States that have not yet attended a meeting of a Special Commission to review the practical operation of a Convention, 19 Member States⁵ have indicated an interest while seven Member States⁶ are not interested.

II. Analysis of the responses received

A. Interest to discuss specific topics in relation to the 2000 Convention

1. High interest:

- Scope of application of the 2000 Convention
- Jurisdiction issues
- Applicable law issues
- Recognition and enforcement and cross border transportability of measures of protection
- Central Authority cooperation
- Delivery of certificates under Article 38
- Protection and / or supportive measures that can be taken in Contracting Parties

2. Medium / high interest:

- National measures and rules adopted in Contracting Parties to assist with the implementation of the 2000 Convention
- Complementarity of the 2000 Convention and the United Nations Convention of 13 December 2006 on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006 UNCRPD)

¹ Conclusion and Recommendation No 34 of CGAP 2019.

² “Questionnaire to assess the need to convene a possible meeting of the Special Commission in 2022 to review the practical operation of the *Convention of 13 January 2000 on the International Protection of Adults*”, Prel. Doc. No 1 of July 2019 for the attention of the First Meeting of the Special Commission of 2022 to review the practical operation of the 2000 Adults Convention.

³ Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine and the United Kingdom.

⁴ A compilation of the responses received to the Questionnaire by 6 December 2019 can be consulted in Annex I to this document.

⁵ Belarus, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia and Ukraine.

⁶ Austria, Czech Republic, Estonia, The Netherlands, Slovakia, Switzerland and the United Kingdom.

3. Medium interest:

- Use of existing model forms

B. Interest to discuss possible future implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention

1. High interest:

- Identifying and promoting good practices under the 2000 Convention
- Beginning work on the preparation of a practical handbook on the operation of the 2000 Convention

2. Medium / high interest:

- Developing an implementation checklist
- Collecting information on possible legislative reforms that are to be considered by Contracting Parties when implementing the 2000 Convention
- Developing a Country Profile or a similar tool, to be published on the HCCH website

3. Medium interest:

- Developing standard forms and models of certificates
- Collecting statistical data

4. Low interest:

- Collecting information on the development and the use of electronic registries to verify the authenticity and integrity of powers of representation and their coming into effect
- Developing tools necessary to organise direct judicial communications in matters falling within the scope of the 2000 Convention
- Developing promotional tools that the PB could use to facilitate the implementation of the 2000 Convention

C. Discussion of other possible topics States have identified

3. Canada has indicated an interest to discuss the interpretation and application of the provisions of the Convention dealing with sharing of information and the protection of personal data (Arts 39-40) and the interplay of these provisions with domestic access to information and privacy laws. France has indicated an interest to discuss the coverage by the 2000 Convention of advance health directives. Finally, Switzerland has indicated an interest to discuss applicable law issues concerning powers of representation granted by an adult.

D. Making contact with UN Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

4. The Permanent Bureau (PB) will make contact with the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities to discuss the complementarity of the 2000 Convention and the 2006 UNCRDP with a view, resource allowing, to develop a short paper on the issue

III. Next step – CGAP approvals

A. Interest to discuss specific and other topics in relation to the 2000 Convention

5. In the light of the interest indicated above for the specific topics to be discussed, the PB seeks the approval of CGAP to:

- a. contact the Member States that have responded to the questionnaire to identify more specifically the issues they would like to discuss under each topic identified as a high interest and other possible topics for discussion, and
- b. obtain from Member States examples of national measures and rules adopted in Contracting Parties to assist with the implementation of the 2000 Convention.

B. Interest to discuss possible future implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention

6. The PB seeks the approval of CGAP to contact the Member States Parties to the 2000 Convention to identify and collect good practices under the 2000 Convention.

7. The PB seeks the approval of CGAP to begin, with the assistance of a Working Group, work on the development of a practical handbook on the operation of the 2000 Convention which would:

- a. provide advice on the factors to be considered in the process of implementing the Convention into national law which would include an implementation checklist and examples of possible legislative reforms;
- b. assist in explaining the practical application of the Convention with reference to practical examples and good practices; and,
- c. make reference to and incorporate text from the Explanatory Report drawn by Professor Paul Lagarde under the 2000 Convention.

8. Resources allowing, the PB seeks the approval of CGAP to develop a Country Profile or a similar tool for the 2000 Convention, to be published on the HCCH website.

9. Resources allowing, the PB seeks the approval of CGAP to collect examples of powers of representation and advance health directives and to gather information on existing electronic registries used in this area for a possible discussion of these issues at the Special Commission.

ANNEX (ES)

**COMPILATION OF RESPONSES OF STATES TO
THE QUESTIONNAIRE TO ASSESS THE NEED TO CONVENE A POSSIBLE
MEETING OF THE SPECIAL COMMISSION IN 2022 TO REVIEW THE PRACTICAL OPERATION
OF THE CONVENTION OF 13 JANUARY 2000 ON THE INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF ADULTS**

1. Interest for specific topics to be addressed by the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Convention

1.1. Discussion of specific topics in relation to the 2000 Convention

	Interest to discuss specific topics in relation to the 2000 Convention	No	Yes	Priority Level		
				Low	Med.	High
1.1.1.	Scope of application of the 2000 Convention	5 ¹	22 ²	3 ³	9 ⁴	11 ⁵
1.1.2.	Jurisdiction issues	3 ⁶	24 ⁷	2 ⁸	10 ⁹	12 ¹⁰
1.1.3.	Applicable law issues	4 ¹¹	23 ¹²	1 ¹³	10 ¹⁴	12 ¹⁵
1.1.4.	Recognition and enforcement and cross border transportability of measures of protection	1 ¹⁶	26 ¹⁷	4 ¹⁸	8 ¹⁹	14 ²⁰

¹ Belgium, Croatia, The Netherlands, Panama, Slovakia.

² Belarus, Austria, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Portugal, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

³ Austria, Luxembourg, United Kingdom.

⁴ Cyprus, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Israel, Latvia, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland.

⁵ Belarus, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Greece, Hungary, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Sweden, Ukraine.

⁶ Austria, Estonia, Portugal.

⁷ Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁸ Belgium, Latvia.

⁹ Belarus, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Israel, The Netherlands, Panama, Sweden, Switzerland.

¹⁰ Canada, Croatia, France, Greece, Hungary, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Slovakia, Ukraine, United Kingdom. Austria, Belgium, Estonia, Portugal.

¹¹ Belarus, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

¹² Latvia.

¹³ Belarus, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Israel, The Netherlands, Panama, Sweden, United Kingdom.

¹⁴ Canada, Croatia, France, Greece, Hungary, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine.

¹⁵ Estonia.

¹⁶ Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

¹⁷ Belgium, Germany, Latvia, Mexico.

¹⁸ Belarus, Croatia, Czech Republic, Finland, The Netherlands, Sweden, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

¹⁹ Austria, Canada, Cyprus, France, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland.

1.1.5.	Central Authority cooperation (enforcement of measures, communication of information, placement in another Contracting Party, etc.)	1 ²¹	26 ²²	3 ²³	8 ²⁴	15 ²⁵
1.1.6.	Delivery of certificates for protection measures and confirmed powers of representation as provided for in Art. 38	2 ²⁶	25 ²⁷	4 ²⁸	9 ²⁹	12 ³⁰
1.1.7.	Use of existing model forms	3 ³¹	24 ³²	6 ³³	13 ³⁴	5 ³⁵
1.1.8.	Protection and / or supportive measures that can be taken in Contracting Parties	4 ³⁶	23 ³⁷	3 ³⁸	6 ³⁹	14 ⁴⁰
1.1.9.	National measures and rules adopted in Contracting Parties to assist with the implementation of the 2000 Convention	5 ⁴¹	22 ⁴²	2 ⁴³	11 ⁴⁴	9 ⁴⁵

²¹ The Netherlands.

²² Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

²³ Croatia, Panama, Sweden.

²⁴ Belarus, Belgium, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Israel, Slovakia, Ukraine.

²⁵ Austria, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, France, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Portugal, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

²⁶ Austria, The Netherlands.

²⁷ Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

²⁸ Croatia, Estonia, Finland, United Kingdom.

²⁹ Belarus, Czech Republic, Germany, Israel, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Ukraine.

³⁰ Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, France, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Switzerland.

³¹ Austria, Estonia, Panama.

³² Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

³³ Canada, Finland, Latvia, Norway, Sweden, United Kingdom.

³⁴ Belarus, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, The Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine.

³⁵ Belgium, France, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico.

³⁶ Belgium, Canada, Israel, The Netherlands.

³⁷ Austria, Belarus, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

³⁸ Estonia, Panama, Portugal.

³⁹ Austria, Croatia, Cyprus, Finland, Sweden, Switzerland.

⁴⁰ Belarus, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Slovakia, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁴¹ Austria, Belgium, Croatia, Israel, The Netherlands.

⁴² Belarus, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁴³ Finland, Sweden.

⁴⁴ Cyprus, Czech Republic, Germany, Latvia, Luxembourg, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁴⁵ Belarus, Canada, Estonia, France, Greece, Hungary, Malta, Slovakia, Ukraine.

1.1.10.	Complementarity of the 2000 Convention and the United Nations Convention of 13 December 2006 on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006 UNCRPD)	3 ⁴⁶	24 ⁴⁷	5 ⁴⁸	10 ⁴⁹	9 ⁵⁰
---------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------

1.2. Discussion of possible future implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention

	Interest to discuss possible future implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention	No	Yes	Priority Level		
				Low	Med.	High
1.2.1.	Developing an implementation checklist to assist future and existing Contracting Parties to identify the steps and legislative norms that need to be taken / adopted in order for the 2000 Convention to be operational within a national legal framework	3 ⁵¹	25 ⁵²	5 ⁵³	10 ⁵⁴	10 ⁵⁵
1.2.2.	Collecting information on possible legislative reforms that are to be considered by Contracting Parties when implementing the 2000 Convention, and sharing experiences with reforming their legislative national frameworks, including making available “support [...] in exercising [...] legal capacity”	5 ⁵⁶	22 ⁵⁷	2 ⁵⁸	10 ⁵⁹	10 ⁶⁰
1.2.3.	Developing a Country Profile or a similar tool, to be published on the HCCH website, with information about the nature and the substantive and formal requirements of the	5 ⁶¹	22 ⁶²	2 ⁶³	10 ⁶⁴	10 ⁶⁵

⁴⁶ Austria, Belgium, Canada.

⁴⁷ Belarus, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, The Netherlands, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁴⁸ Finland, Germany, Israel, Panama, Portugal.

⁴⁹ Belarus, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Luxembourg, The Netherlands, Slovakia, Sweden, Switzerland.

⁵⁰ Croatia, France, Greece, Latvia, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁵¹ Croatia, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁵² Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Israel, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁵³ Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Estonia, Latvia.

⁵⁴ Germany, Greece, Israel, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁵⁵ Belarus, Canada, Cyprus, Finland, France, Hungary, Israel, Mexico, Panama, Ukraine.

⁵⁶ Austria, Belgium, Canada, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁵⁷ Belarus, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁵⁸ Croatia, Czech Republic.

⁵⁹ Estonia, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Luxembourg, Malta, Norway, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁶⁰ Belarus, Cyprus, France, Israel, Latvia, Mexico, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Ukraine.

⁶¹ Estonia, The Netherlands, Slovakia, Sweden, United Kingdom.

⁶² Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Switzerland, Ukraine.

⁶³ Luxembourg, Norway.

⁶⁴ Austria, Belarus, Canada, Croatia, Germany, Greece, Latvia, Malta, Portugal, Slovakia.

⁶⁵ Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Hungary, Panama, Mexico, Switzerland, Ukraine.

	measures available under national law, the conditions of their coming into effect, the competent authorities making decisions concerning such measures and the services available in relation to the scope of the 2000 Convention					
1.2.4.	Identifying and promoting good practices under the 2000 Convention	6 ⁶⁶	20 ⁶⁷	2 ⁶⁸	7 ⁶⁹	13 ⁷⁰
1.2.5.	Beginning work on the preparation of a practical handbook on the operation of the 2000 Convention which would: a) provide advice on the factors to be considered in the process of implementing the Convention into national law which would include an implementation checklist and examples of possible legislative reforms, and b) assist in explaining the practical application of the Convention with reference to practical examples and good practices	3 ⁷¹	24 ⁷²	2 ⁷³	10 ⁷⁴	13 ⁷⁵
1.2.6.	Developing standard forms and models of certificates for the application of the 2000 Convention to facilitate the recognition and enforcement of protective measures and confirmed powers of representation in States other than the State of origin	6 ⁷⁶	21 ⁷⁷	1 ⁷⁸	14 ⁷⁹	7 ⁸⁰
1.2.7.	Collecting information on the development and the use of electronic registries to verify	9 ⁸¹	17 ⁸²	6 ⁸³	6 ⁸⁴	6 ⁸⁵

⁶⁶ Canada, Croatia, Estonia, Greece, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁶⁷ Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁶⁸ Luxembourg, United Kingdom.

⁶⁹ Austria, Belarus, Finland, Germany, Hungary, Latvia, Portugal.

⁷⁰ Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, France, Greece, Israel, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine.

⁷¹ Greece, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁷² Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁷³ Austria, Finland.

⁷⁴ Belgium, Czech Republic, Estonia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Malta, Portugal, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁷⁵ Belarus, Canada, Croatia, Cyprus, France, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Ukraine.

⁷⁶ Austria, Canada, Croatia, Greece, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁷⁷ Belarus, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁷⁸ United Kingdom.

⁷⁹ Belarus, Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Israel, Malta, Latvia, Luxembourg, Norway, Switzerland, Ukraine.

⁸⁰ Cyprus, Estonia, France, Mexico, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia.

⁸¹ Austria, Canada, Croatia, Estonia, Finland, Greece, The Netherlands, Portugal, Sweden.

⁸² Belarus, Belgium, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁸³ Belarus, Germany, Luxembourg, Norway, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁸⁴ Belgium, Czech Republic, Greece, Hungary, Malta, Slovakia.

⁸⁵ France, Latvia, Mexico, Israel, Panama, Ukraine.

	the authenticity and integrity of powers of representation and their coming into effect					
1.2.8.	Developing tools necessary to organise direct judicial communications in matters falling within the scope of the 2000 Convention (<i>e.g.</i> , the creation of a judicial network)	6 ⁸⁶	20 ⁸⁷	7 ⁸⁸	7 ⁸⁹	8 ⁹⁰
1.2.9.	Collecting statistical data	7 ⁹¹	20 ⁹²	7 ⁹³	11 ⁹⁴	3 ⁹⁵
1.2.10.	Developing promotional tools that the PB could use to facilitate the implementation of the 2000 Convention within the civil society, especially non-governmental organisations and practitioners providing assistance to vulnerable adults	8 ⁹⁶	19 ⁹⁷	9 ⁹⁸	6 ⁹⁹	4 ¹⁰⁰

⁸⁶ Canada, Croatia, Finland, Greece, The Netherlands, Sweden.

⁸⁷ Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁸⁸ Austria, Belarus, Estonia, Latvia, Norway, Slovakia, United Kingdom.

⁸⁹ Belgium, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Malta, Portugal, Switzerland.

⁹⁰ Cyprus, Czech Republic, France, Israel, Luxembourg, Mexico, Panama, Ukraine.

⁹¹ Croatia, Estonia, Greece, Israel, The Netherlands, Slovakia, Sweden.

⁹² Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Norway, Panama, Portugal, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁹³ Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Finland, Greece, Latvia, Portugal.

⁹⁴ Belarus, Belgium, France, Germany, Malta, Norway, Panama, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁹⁵ Cyprus, Hungary, Mexico, Panama.

⁹⁶ Austria, Belgium, Canada, Croatia, Greece, The Netherlands, Norway, Sweden.

⁹⁷ Belarus, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Mexico, Panama, Portugal, Slovakia, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom.

⁹⁸ Belarus, Estonia, Finland, France, Israel, Latvia, Portugal, Switzerland, United Kingdom.

⁹⁹ Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Panama, Slovakia.

¹⁰⁰ Cyprus, Malta, Mexico, Ukraine.