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CONFERENCE CELEBRATING THE 15TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE INTERNATIONAL HAGUE NETWORK OF JUDGES (17-19 JULY 2013)

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From 17 to 19 July 2013, judges from Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Cayman Islands, China (Hong Kong SAR), Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Denmark, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Finland, France, Germany, Hungary, Ireland, Israel, Kenya, Malta, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Rwanda, Singapore, Slovakia, Spain, Switzerland, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay, United Kingdom (England and Wales, Northern Ireland), United States of America, Venezuela and experts from the Commonwealth Secretariat, IberRed and the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference on Private International Law, met at Cumberland Lodge, United Kingdom, to discuss the International Hague Network of Judges (“IHNJ”) and Direct Judicial Communications (“DJC”) in international family law matters.

WHEREAS the conference recognises:

- the extraordinary contribution and the instrumental role of Lord Justice Thorpe in the establishment and remarkable growth of the IHNJ and DJC, as well as his tireless efforts in the service of international family justice; and
- the significant work of Mrs Justice Belinda van Heerden in international family justice, in particular in the South African region. Participants at the conference send their best wishes to her for a full and speedy recovery.

The conference reached the following Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. The conference welcomes:
   a. the growth of the IHNJ which now includes 82 judges from 55 States; and
   b. the official publication of the *Emerging Guidance and General Principles for Judicial Communications* and encourages its wide dissemination both within the judiciary and the legal profession more broadly.

2. The conference emphasises the proven value of the IHNJ and DJC in international child abduction cases.

3. The conference recognises that there are a broad range of topics within international family law, including international child protection and relocation, to which the IHNJ and DJC can make a contribution and can have a valuable role to play.

4. The conference encourages all States which have not yet designated judges to the IHNJ, whether or not Parties to the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (hereinafter, the “1980 Hague Convention”), to do so forthwith.

5. The conference recognises the need for current Members of the IHNJ and the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference to work together to encourage and bring about new designations to the IHNJ.
6. The conference encourages Members of the IHNJ from States Parties to the 1980 Hague Convention to partner with a judge from a State Party which has not yet designated a judge to the IHNJ (in particular, those with which they may have special ties) in order to work with the judge in the latter State to bring about a designation to the IHNJ.

7. The conference reiterates that judges designated to the IHNJ must be sitting judges with appropriate authority and experience in international family law matters.

**The value of annual reports and statistics**

8. The conference notes the value of Annual Reports on the use of DJC and the activities of Members of the IHNJ and encourages Members of the IHNJ, where appropriate and where resources permit, to produce such reports.

9. The conference notes the value of statistics, including for raising awareness of the use of DJC, and encourages Members to keep statistics, for example on the number and nature of the requests for assistance which they receive. The conference invites Members of the IHNJ to provide their statistics on DJC to the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference on a regular basis.

**Promotion of DJC and the IHNJ, including judicial education**

10. The conference welcomes the development of the *Information Document on DJC in specific cases within the context of the IHNJ* and, following circulation of the document to Members of the IHNJ for comments, looks forward to its wide dissemination.

11. The conference recognises the potential for judicial education bodies in every State to promote the use of DJC and to raise awareness and educate judges generally concerning the modern Hague Children’s Conventions¹ and the IHNJ with a view to developing expertise and building mutual trust and confidence.

12. The conference encourages States which have designated a Member to the IHNJ, on the occasion of the appointment of every new family and child law judge to the bench, to include information concerning the use of DJC and the IHNJ in any information pack provided in order to inform new judges of the existence of these tools and of their benefit.

13. The conference notes the existence and value of DJC in international family law matters and the IHNJ, as well as the name of the designated judge to the IHNJ in the State (where applicable) and his / her role and functions, should be brought to the attention of:

   a. every Chief Judge (Head of Bench);
   b. all the members of the specialised family and child law judiciary;
   c. all relevant legal practitioner bodies / institutions (e.g., bar associations); and
   d. if appropriate, other relevant family law organisations within the State.

   In this regard, a good practice is to make available information concerning the IHNJ and DJC, as well as the name of the designated judge to the IHNJ, through relevant websites.

14. The conference notes the value of the benchbooks / guidelines / protocols on DJC which have already been developed in some States and encourages States which have not yet done so to consider the development of such tools consistent with the *Emerging Guidance and General Principles for Judicial Communications* developed by the Hague Conference. States which have already developed these tools are invited to:

---

a. promote their wide dissemination within the judiciary in their State; and
b. share these tools with all Members of the IHNJ.

15. The conference welcomes the future development of a specialised section of the Hague Conference website on DJC and the IHNJ.

16. Members of the IHNJ may consider, where possible and appropriate, engaging with the media in their State to raise awareness generally regarding DJC in international family law matters.

**Legal basis for DJC and the appointment of judges to the IHNJ**

17. The conference welcomes the Briefing Note: Legal Basis for DJC within the context of the IHNJ and, following circulation of the Note to all Members of the IHNJ for comments, looks forward to its wide dissemination.

18. Where there is concern in any State as to the proper legal basis for DJC under domestic law or procedure, the conference invites States to take steps to ensure the necessary legal basis exists.

19. The conference notes the many different non-legislative bases for the use of DJC which were reported by Members of the IHNJ (e.g., the common law legal tradition, the general legal and constitutional order, the consent of the parties, guidelines emanating from national judicial councils, court regulatory decisions, the procedural / administrative nature of DJC, reliance on implied obligations under the Hague Children’s Conventions) and invites other States to consider these practices with a view to utilising them in their own jurisdiction as possible legal bases for DJC.

**Resources for Members of the IHNJ**

20. The conference reiterates that the authorities appointing a judge to the IHNJ must be reminded of the importance of providing the appointee with the proper environment and resources to fulfil his / her role and functions adequately.

21. The conference notes that some judges designated to the IHNJ are being supported in their role by an Office dealing with judicial co-operation in international family law matters. Where possible and appropriate, other States may consider establishing such an Office.

**Networks**

22. The conference affirms the importance of continuing to develop and foster relationships with other networks (e.g., IberRed, the European Judicial Network) and invites these networks to observe appropriate safeguards in relation to DJC such as those set out in the Emerging Guidance and General Principles for Judicial Communications.

23. The conference recognises the value of national (informal and formal) and regional judicial networks and encourages Members of the IHNJ to work towards forging such networks.

**Reporting case law and experience with DJC**

24. The conference recognises the benefit of:
   
   a. reporting case law on DJC for inclusion in INCADAT (the International Child Abduction Database <www.incadat.com>); and
   

25. The conference recommends that the next volume of The Judges’ Newsletter be a Special Issue on the 15th Anniversary of the IHNJ.
26. The conference recommends that Members of the IHNJ inform the Permanent Bureau of the Hague Conference of upcoming significant international and regional conferences on international family law and the subsequent ‘Conclusions and Recommendations’ for inclusion in *The Judges’ Newsletter* and in the forthcoming specialised section of the website of the Hague Conference.

**International family relocation**

27. The conference welcomes recent research across a number of jurisdictions on the topic of international family relocation and recognises the value of further research and international dialogue on this topic.

**Modern technologies and secured communications**

28. The conference notes the successful use of videoconferencing in international family cases reported by many Members of the IHNJ.

29. The conference encourages Members of the IHNJ to play an important role in raising awareness among the judiciary in their State concerning the value of videoconferencing in international family cases and the potential value of DJC in facilitating the use of this technology.

30. The IHNJ is open to considering the further use of new tools and technologies in order to facilitate and improve DJC and the efficacy of the IHNJ: for example, platforms for secured means of communication such as Iber@ (developed by IberRed).

31. The conference recommends that, in relation to each State, it be established:

   a. the extent to which DJC in relation to a cross-border case concerning a child, or records of such communications, are accessible to the public; and

   b. if accessible to the public, by what means, if any, a requesting judge could secure limitation of access to such communications.

**Future meetings of Members of the IHNJ**

32. The conference acknowledges the value of this meeting and its successful outcome and notes the desirability of convening regular meetings of Members of the IHNJ (for example, in connection with Special Commission meetings to review the Hague Children’s Conventions). The next meeting of the IHNJ must take place before the next Special Commission meeting on the practical operation of the 1980 and 1996 Hague Conventions.

**Regional Offices of the Hague Conference**

33. The conference recognises the accomplishments of the Latin American Regional Office of the Hague Conference, welcomes the creation of the new Asia Pacific Regional Office and strongly supports the future establishment of an African Regional Office.
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