#### Questionnaire concerning the Practical Operation of the 1980 Child Abduction Convention

Wherever responses to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit:1	Italy
	italy
For follow-up purposes	
Name of contact person:	
Name of Authority / Office:	
Telephone number:	
E-mail address:	
Date:	

#### PART I - PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

#### Recent developments in your State<sup>2</sup>

Please insert text here

1.	Since the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the <b>legislation</b> or <b>procedural rules</b> applicable in cases of international child abduction? Where possible, please state the reason for the development and the results achieved in practice.
	No Yes Please specify:

- 2. Following the Covid-19 pandemic,<sup>3</sup> have there been any **improvements** that have remained in your State in the following areas, in particular in relation to the **use of information technology**, as a result of newly adopted procedures or practices applicable to child abduction cases? In each case, please describe the tools, guidelines or protocols put in place.
  - a) Methods for accepting and processing return and access applications and their accompanying documentation;

No.

- Participation of the parties and the child (e.g., appearance in court proceedings, mediation);
   Yes: parties and their attorneys are allowed to appear at hearings before the Courts in videoconference
- c) Promoting mediation and other forms of amicable resolution; Mediation too may take place by videoconference
- d) Making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access, including while pending return proceedings;

The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction which have occurred in your State since the Seventh Meeting of the Special Commission (SC) to review the operation of the 1980 Abduction Convention and the 1996 Child Protection Convention (held from 10 to 17 October 2017) ("2017 SC").

This question aims to gather information about good practices that were developed in those exceptional circumstances and that will continue to be applied regardless of the pandemic.

No

e) Obtaining evidence by electronic means;

f) Ensuring the safe return of the child; No

g) Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities;

- Providing information and guidance for parties involved in child abduction cases;
   No
- i) Other, please specify.NO
- 3. Please provide the three most significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2017 SC by the relevant authorities<sup>4</sup> in your State.

Case Name	Court Name	Court Level	Brief summary of the ruling
decision 36150/2022	Supreme Court of Cassation	second and final degree	Regarding art.12 (1-2) of the Convention, when a period of less than one year has elapsed from the date of the wrongful removal or retention, the authority concerned is not entitled at all to assess whether the abducted child is settled in the new enviroment
decision 32194/2022	Supreme Court of Cassation	second and final degree (overruling the first degree return's order)	In order to ascertain the habitual residence of a child aged of a few months, it's required to take in account the situation of the person on whom the child depends, in particular the reasons, the duration and the conditions of the care-giver parent's previuos stay in the Country where the child were born, together with the familiar and social relationships in that Country. It was statued that Judges have to assess, on the above mentioned grounds, whether or not the alleged abducting parent and child were both substancially integrated in a shared social enviroment, not neglecting the contacts with the other parent position.
decision 102/2020	Constitutional Court		the Court has declared that the criminal Judge, while sentencing a parent for international child abudction, is only entitled on a case by case basis -and not obliged anymore,

The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of Contracting Parties such "authorities" will be courts (*i.e.*, judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

	as formally provided for by criminal code- to suspend his/her from parental responsibility.
Please provide a brief summary	of <b>any other significant developments</b> in your State sin

4. Please provide a brief summary of **any other significant developments** in your State since the 2017 SC.

Please insert text here

#### Issues of compliance

	·
5.	Has your State faced any particular <b>challenges with other Contracting Parties</b> to the 1980 Convention in achieving successful cooperation? Please specify the challenges that were encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic.
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify the challenges encountered:</li> <li>Delays on discovering the whereabouts of children abducted;</li> <li>serious difficulties to enforce return's decisions;</li> <li>neglecting requests, filed during the proceedings, for provisional contacts between applicants and children;</li> <li>lack of prompt information on the pending proceedings.</li> </ul>
6.	Are you aware of situations or circumstances in which there has been <b>avoidance or improper application</b> of the 1980 Convention as a whole or any of its provisions in particular?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>The central authority of a request EU member State has recently refused, under art.27 of the Convention, to process a return application on the grounds of an alleged and</li> </ul>

not demonstrated domestic violence, which assessment should be carried out by the Authority comptent to decide on the request. Please insert text here

## Addressing delays and ensuring expeditious procedures

7. The 2017 SC encouraged States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / other alternative dispute resolution - "ADR" phases)<sup>5</sup> in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention. Please indicate any identified sources of delay at the following phases:

# Central Authority No Yes Procedure not yet revised

If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays:

Please insert text here

See C&R No 4 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission acknowledges that some States have made progress in reducing delays and encourages States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / ADR phases) in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention."

	Judicial proceedings
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays:
	Enforcement
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
	Mediation / ADR
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Procedure not yet revised</li></ul>
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
Cour	t proceedings and promptness
8.	Does your State have mechanisms in place to deal with return decisions within six weeks (e.g. production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?
	☐ No ☐ Yes Please specify: Art.24 (2) Regulation (UE) 2019/1111
9.	If the response to question 8 above is "No", does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g. procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>

	Do the courts in your State make use of direct judicial communications <sup>6</sup> to ensure prompt proceedings?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>It's only known that Italian Judges of the Network are not rarely involved in direct communications with Colleagues</li> </ul>
11.	If your State has not designated a judge to the International Hague Network of Judges (IHNJ) does your State intend to do so in the near future?
	☐ No ☐ Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
12.	Please comment upon any cases ( where your State was the requested State) in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?
	Please insert text here
The	role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention
In ge	eneral eneral energy of the state of the sta
13.	Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in <b>Article 7</b> of the 1980 Convention, raised any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?
13.	any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your
13. 14.	any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?  □ No □ Yes Please specify:
	any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?  No Yes Please specify: Only about art.7 (a), in some cases, as mentioned above  Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any of the
14.	any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?  □ No ☑ Yes ☐ Please specify: ☐ Only about art.7 (a), in some cases, as mentioned above  Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any of the 1980 Convention provisions? If so, please specify.  □ No ☑ Yes ☐ Please specify:

15. Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (Art. 7(2)(g)) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States that were dealt with?

For reference, see "Direct Judicial Communications - Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges".

	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>
16.	Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States your Central Authority has dealt with, regarding the <b>obtaining of legal aid, advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents?</b>
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Please specify:</li></ul>
Loca	ting the child
17.	Has your Central Authority encountered any <b>challenges with locating children</b> in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:</li> <li>Researches not effective nor quick</li> </ul>
Volur	ntary agreements and bringing about an amicable resolution of the issues
18.	How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is considering taking, appropriate steps under <b>Article 7(c)</b> to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:
	Italian CA is involved in a project of training for international family mediators, whose number is insufficient. Voluntary returns are currently encouraged, in most cases, by Police units specialised in juvenile matters and social services.
19.	In the case that your Central Authority offers mediation services, or other alternative dispute resolution methods to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues, has your Central Authority reviewed these procedures in the light of the framework of international child abduction cases (e.g.,

by providing trained, specialised mediators, including with cross-cultural competence and necessary language skills8)?

Please specify: Please insert text here

20. Should the services mentioned in the question above not yet be provided, does your Central Authority intend to provide them in the future?

See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the C&R of the Fifth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of the 1980 Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention (30 October - 9 November 2006) (2006 SC C&R) and paras 32 to 34 of the C&R of the Sixth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of 1980 and 1996 Conventions (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (2012 SC C&R), available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings".

For reference, please see the recommendation in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, item 3.2, paras 98-105, "Specific training for mediation in international child abduction cases", available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

Please provide comments: Only on an experimental basis, in case the above mentioned project will grant funds to be used to pay mediatiors' fees. Has your State considered, or is it in the process of considering, the establishment of a central service for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children?9 ⊠ No Please explain: Currently, this service is provided only for domestic disputes. Please explain: Please insert text here Ensuring the safe return of children<sup>10</sup> How does the competent authority in your State obtain information about the protective measures available in the requesting State when necessary to ensure the safe return of the child? Please explain: Usually, via central authorities If requested as a safe return measure (e.g., in accordance with the 1996 Convention), would your Central Authority be in a position to provide, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? No Yes Please specify: Either directly or on behalf of CA under 1996 Convention Information exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities 24. Has your Central Authority shared experiences with other Central Authority(ies), for example by organising or participating in any networking initiatives such as regional meetings of Central Authorities, either in person or online? 11 No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here Case management and collection of statistical data on applications made under the Convention Has your Central Authority developed any protocols or internal guidelines for the processing of incoming and outgoing cases?

□ No

As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". paras 114-117. See also 2011 / 2012 SC C&R at para. 61.

See Art. 7(2)(h) of the 1980 Convention.

See, in particular, Chapter 6.5, on twinning arrangements, of the Guide to Good Practice – Part I – Central Authority Practice, available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> (see path indicated in note 8).

		Please specify and share the relevant instruments whenever possible:  Practical and essential general rules on processing application are applied
26.		your Central Authority operate a case management system for processing and tracking ming and outgoing cases?
		No Yes Please specify: Each incoming and outgoing case is registred in an electronic archive with its data
27.		s your State collect statistical data on the number of applications made per year under the D Convention (e.g., number of incoming and $/$ or outgoing cases)? <sup>12</sup>
		No Yes In case this information is publicly made available, please share the links to the statistical reports: http://www.centrostudinisida.it/Statistica/ArchivioSottrazione.html
Γrans	sfront	cier access / contact <sup>13</sup>
28.	Auth	e the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central ority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier ss / contact?
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
29.		your Central Authority encountered any problems as regards cooperation with other States in ng arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact?
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
30.	orgai	your State had any challenges, or have questions arisen, in making arrangements for nising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access $/$ contact under <b>Article 21</b> when the cation was <i>not</i> linked to an international child abduction situation? <sup>14</sup>
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here

In the Country Profile for the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, question No 23(e), States are asked to inform whether statistics related to applications under the Convention are publicly available. Please note that, at its meeting of 2021, according to Conclusion & Decision (C&D) No 19, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) mandated the discontinuance of INCASTAT.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See C&R Nos 18-20 of the 2017 SC.

According to C&R No 18 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission agrees that an application to make arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 can be presented to Central Authorities, independently of being linked or not, to an international child abduction situation."

31. In the case of access / contact applications under **Article 21**, which of the following **services** are **provided by your Central Authority**?

Position	Services provided
A request of assistance to	$\boxtimes$ 1. Assistance in obtaining information on the operation of the 1980
organise or secure	Convention
effective exercise of	igstyle 2. Assistance in obtaining information on the relevant laws and procedures in
rights of access in	the requested State
another Contracting Party	$\boxtimes$ 3. Establishment of contact with the Central Authority and $/$ or the competent
(as requesting State)	authorities in the requested State to find out the kind of assistance such authorities could provide
	∑ 5. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access
	6. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice
	7. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services, where
	needed in the requested State
	■ 8. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations
	for assistance
	$\boxtimes$ 9. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application
	10. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here
A request of assistance to organise or secure	$\boxtimes$ 1. Providing information on the operation of the 1980 Convention and / or the relevant laws and procedures in your State
effective exercise of	igtimes 2. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
rights of access in your	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of
<b>State</b> (as requested	rights of access
State)	
	4. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services
	available in your State
	5. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations
	for assistance $igttizes$ 6. Regular updates on the progress of the application
	7. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here
	Trodoc mocre toke more

32. Should your State also be a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, are you aware of any use being made of provisions of the 1996 Convention, including those under Chapter V, in lieu of or in connection with an application under Article 21 of the 1980 Convention?

NoYesPlease specify:Please insert text here

#### **Special topics**

#### Obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction case

33. When obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction proceeding in your State's jurisdiction, what are the elements normally observed and reported by the person hearing the child (e.g., expert, judge, guardian *ad litem?* (*E.g.*, the views of the child on the procedures, the views of the child on the subject of return, the maturity of the child, any perceived parental influence on the child's statements)?

### Please explain: Any element considered relevant by the Court in the single case, including the child behaviour (record of the hearing of the child in usually a summary of his/her views) Are there are any procedures, guidelines or principles available in your State to guide the person (e.g. expert, judge, guardian ad litem) in seeking the views of the child in a child abduction case? No Yes Please specify: In Several Judicial districts Presidents of the Courts, Head Prosecutors and Presidents of the Bar Councils have signed protocols Article 15 As requesting State (outgoing applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State received requests for Article 15 decisions or determinations? Do not know Never □ Rarely Sometimes Very often **Always** As requested State (incoming applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State requested Article 15 decisions or determinations? Do not know Never Rarely Sometimes Very often

37. Please indicate any good practices your State has developed to provide as complete as possible information in the return applications as required under Article 8 with a view to speed up proceedings?

#### Please indicate:

Always

In outgoing cases, Italian CA usually opens a preliminary file to give full information to potential applicants and select and check the relevant documents to be attached to applications

38. Considering C&R No 7 of the 2017 SC,<sup>15</sup> what information do you suggest adding to the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention, either as requested State or requesting State in relation to Article 15?

Please insert your suggestions:

Please insert text here

#### Relationship with other international instruments on human rights

See C&R No 7: "The Special Commission recommends amending the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention to include more detailed information on the Article 15 procedure. It is further recommended that an Information Document on the use of Article 15 be considered with, if necessary, the assistance of a small Working Group."

39.	Has your State faced any challenges, or have questions arisen, in processing international child abduction cases where there was a <b>parallel refugee claim</b> lodged by the taking parent?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>If possible, please share any relevant case law or materials that are relevant to this type of situation in your State or, alternatively, a summary of the situation in your State: Please insert text here</li> <li>□ Do not know</li> </ul>
40.	Has the concept of the <b>best interest of the child</b> generated discussions in your State in relation to child abduction proceedings? If it is the case, please comment on any relevant challenges in relation to such discussions.
	No     Yes     Please provide comments:     Please insert text here
Use	of the 1996 Convention <sup>16</sup>
41.	If your State is <u>not</u> Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention (please comment where applicable below):
	(a) providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (Arts 7 and 11) Please insert text here
	(b) providing for the recognition of urgent protective measures by operation of law (Art. 23) Please insert text here
	(c) providing for the advance recognition of urgent protective measures ( <b>Art. 24</b> ) Please insert text here
	(d) communicating information relevant to the protection of the child ( <b>Art. 34</b> ) Please insert text here
	(e) making use of other relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) Please insert text here
42.	If your State $\underline{is}$ a Party to the 1996 Convention, does your State make use of the relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) to provide, if requested, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? $^{17}$
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>The similar instruments provided by EU regulations are used among member States</li> </ul>
16	For this part of the Questionnaire, the Prestical Handheek on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention can

For this part of the Questionnaire, the <u>Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention</u> can provide helpful guidance, available on the HCCH website at under "Child Protection Section".

See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain degrees of assistance (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply), both to individuals within their own State and to foreign Central Authorities on behalf of an individual residing abroad. Requests for assistance may encompass such matters as: securing rights of access; the return of children (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply); the protection of runaway children; reporting on the situation of a child residing abroad; post-return reports for children returned to their habitual residence; the recognition or non-recognition of a measure taken abroad (advanced recognition); and, the enforceability of a foreign measure of protection." (Emphasis added.)

No Yes

#### **Primary carer and protective measures**

43. Are you aware of any cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, coercive control, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State? Please explain and provide case examples where possible: In the cases of domestic violence, as well in others involving art.13 (1-b) of the 1980 Convention, unless the applicant withdrawns the request, the file is forwarded to the judicial authority for the decision Would the authorities of your State consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State if they were requested as a means to secure the safe return of the child? Please explain and provide case examples where possible: Yes. They apply provisional protective measures when aware of the availability of similar instruments in the requesting State In cases where the return order was issued together with a protective measure to be implemented upon return, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such protective measures? No Please explain and distinguish between such measures being recognised and enforced under the 1996 Convention: Please insert text here In cases where the return order was issued together with an undertaking given by either party to the competent authority of the requested State, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such undertakings? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here If your State is a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, is Article 23 of that Convention being used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here N/A In cases where measures are ordered in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return?

#### Please specify:

In such cases the central authority of the requesting State is requested to monitor the measure's implementation

#### International family relocation<sup>18</sup>

49.	Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation?
	<ul> <li>Yes         <ul> <li>Please describe such procedures, if possible:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul> </li> <li>No         <ul> <li>Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible:</li> <li>Applying domestic procedural law and using international law tools to have information, if needed, in the State of relocation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Publ	icity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention
50.	Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?
	<ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any:</li> <li>In this field were launched several projects of law aimed at increasing the penalties and the investigation tools for the crime of child abduction, but no one of them seems to have good chance to be finalized in the short/medium term. In the private law there is no discussion about child abduction.</li> </ul>
51.	By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public and raise awareness about the 1980 Convention?
	Please explain: Web sites managed by Ministry of Justice and Foreign Affairs offering general information

See the C&R of the 2006 SC at paras 1.7.4-1.7.5, C&R No 84 of the 2012 SC, and C&R No 21 of the 2017 SC, the latter of which says: "The Special Commission recalls the importance of securing effective access to procedures to the parties in international family relocation cases. In this regard, the Special Commission notes that: i) mediation services may assist the parties to solve these cases or prepare for outcomes; ii) the Washington Declaration of 25 March 2010 on Cross-border Family Relocation may be of interest to competent authorities, in particular in the absence of domestic rules on this matter. The Special Commission recommends joining the 1996 Convention."

#### PART II - TRAINING, EDUCATION AND POST-CONVENTION SERVICES

#### Training and education

52. Please provide below details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had:

Please provide details:

Huge partecipated training sessions for judges, public prosecutors and lawyers, managed by the national school for Judiciary, bar councils and professional associations

#### The tools, services and support provided by the PB

- 53. Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the PB to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including:
  - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section, including the addition and / or revision of its questions.

very useful

- b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at www.incadat.com).
- c. *The Judges' Newsletter* on International Child Protection the HCCH publication which is available online for free;<sup>20</sup>

useful

- d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website (<u>www.hcch.net</u>); very useful
- e. Providing technical assistance and training to Contracting Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB (including through its Regional Offices) organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;

very useful

f. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s);<sup>21</sup>

very useful, apart of political issues

g. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

Available on the HCCH website at under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions and participating in such conferences.

#### very useful

h. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

very useful

 Responding to specific questions raised by Central Authorities, Hague Network Judges or other operators regarding the practical operation or interpretation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions.

very useful

#### **Guides to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention**

- 54. For any of the Guides to Good Practice<sup>22</sup> which you may have used to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State please provide comments below:
  - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice. very useful
    - b. Part II on Implementing Measures. very useful
    - c. Part III on Preventive Measures. very useful
    - d. Part IV on Enforcement. very useful
    - e. Part V on Mediation very useful
    - f. Part VI on Article 13(1)(b) very useful
    - g. Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children General Principles and Guide to Good Practice very useful
- 55. How has your Central Authority ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to the Guides to Good Practice?

informing on the giudes and spreading the principles during training sessions, consultations or informal discussions among judges, public prosecutors and lawyers

56. Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

No

All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

57. In what ways have you used the *Practitioner's Tool: Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Agreements Reached in the Course of Family Matters Involving Children*<sup>23</sup> to assist in improving the practical operation of the 1980 Convention in your State?

Not yet

#### Other

- 58. What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
  - a. to improve the monitoring of the operation of the 1980 Convention; No idea: collection of data on a regular basis seems to be a well operating tool
  - b. to assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and No idea
  - c. to evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred? put CAs in the position to report gross infringments

The *Practitioner's Tool* is available at the HCCH website at <u>www.hcch.net</u> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

#### **PART III - NON-CONVENTION STATES**

59. Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a Contracting Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States?

Please explain:

Please insert text here

60. Are there any States which are not Party to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the HCCH that you would like to see invited to the SC meeting in 2023?

Please indicate:

Please insert text here

#### The "Malta Process"24

61. Do you have any suggestions of activities and projects that could be discussed in the context of the "Malta Process" and, in particular, in the event of a possible Fifth Malta Conference?

Please explain:

Please insert text here

The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain Contracting Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

## PART IV - PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2023 SC AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

#### Views on priorities and recommendations for the SC

62. Are there any particular issues that your State would like the SC meeting to discuss in relation to the 1980 Convention?

Please specify and list in order of priority if possible:

Only Authorities competent to issue a binding decision, and not CAs under article 27, should assess and declare whether the conditions provided for articles 12 and 13 of the Convention are met

63. Are there any proposals your State would like to make concerning any particular recommendation to be made by the SC?

Please specify:

No

#### **Bilateral meetings**

64. Should your State be interested in having bilateral meetings during the SC meeting, please indicate, for the PB's planning purposes, an estimate of how many States with which it intends to meet:

Please insert number:

2

#### **Any other matters**

65. States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise at the 2023 SC meeting concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

Please provide comments:

Please insert text here