Belarus Page B-1

## Part B - Questions for Contracting States

## Apostille sample Please submit a sample (preferably in .PDF, .JPEG or .TIFF format) of the Apostille Certificate used by your Competent Authority(ies). If YOUR STATE issues both paper and e-Apostilles please provide us with a sample of both. ⇒ c.f. Q 8.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire This Apostille Certificate will be used for internal purposes only. Section 1 Joining the Apostille Convention 1.1 Changes to internal law a) Was implementing legislation necessary П Yes to give the Apostille Convention force of Please specify the provision(s) or law in YOUR STATE (including regulations implementing legislation, and the date of relating to the establishment and entry into force: functioning of Competent Authorities)? Please specify how the legislation may be ⇒ c.f. Q 1.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire accessed (e.g., include a copy or provide a link to an online version): M No Comments: 1.2 Foreign direct investment $\boxtimes$ a) Is YOUR STATE aware that international Yes organisations, such as the World Bank No and the International Chamber of Commerce, have recognised the Comments: importance and efficacy of the Apostille Convention in the promotion and development of international trade and investment and have urged States that have not done so to join the Convention? ⇒ c.f. Q 1.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ✓ See C&R No 4 of the 2012 SC. of the World Bank Group released the Investing Across Border Report, which is an initiative that compares the regulation of foreign direct investment ("FDI") around the world. One of the indicators used in the report to measure the ease with which a foreign company can start a business in a given economy was whether or not the Apostille Convention was in force for that economy. Accordingly, by being party to the Apostille Convention, a State can improve its FDI competitiveness. For more information, click here. ↑ The Chamber of Commerce released a news item urging States to join the Apostille Convention, click here. ⇒ see also para. 23 of the Apostille HB 1.3 Other relevant instruments, laws and practices $\boxtimes$ a) Is the production of any types of foreign Yes - please specify: public documents in YOUR STATE exempted from / not subject to No

	T
legalisation or similar formality, or otherwise facilitated, by virtue of:	Community
any internal law or practice that YOUR	Comments:
STATE has introduced or intends to	
introduce?	
any bilateral, regional or global	
instrument to which YOUR STATE is	
party or to which it intends to become party?	
c.f. Q 1.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	
◆ see also paras 18-20 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	
Section 2 Objections to accessions	
-	
0 3	Ves places enecific
Only for States that have objected to one or more accessions	Yes – please specify:
a) If YOUR STATE has objected to the	□ No
accession of one (or more) Contracting State(s) under Article 12 of the	
Convention, has your State revisited its	Comments:
position on this issue in the last five years, or does YOUR STATE intend to do	
so in the near future?	
c.f. Q 2.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	
The Special Commission has invited States	
that have objected to continue assessing whether conditions for withdrawing their	
objections are met (see C&R No 7 of the 2012 SC).	
see also paras 91-95 of the Apostille HB	
Section 3 The Apostille Section and publi	cations
3.1 Content of the Apostille Section	
a) How useful is the information provided on	☐ Very useful
the Apostille Section of the Hague Conference website?	☐ Useful
	☐ Not useful
c.f. Q 3.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	Comments or suggestions for improvement:
In addition to English and French, the Apostille Section is available in German,	
<u>Portuguese</u> and <u>Spanish</u> (click on the link "other languages" – not all documents have	
been translated).	
The Special Commission has noted that the Apostille Section continues to be a most useful	
resource of information (see C&R No 8 of the 2012 SC).	
see also para. 33 of the Apostille HB	
b) How often do staff of the Competent	☐ Daily
Authority(ies) of YOUR STATE consult the Apostille Handbook?	☐ Frequently
Apostine Handbook:	⊠ Seldom
The Apostille Handbook was published in	Never
2013 and is available in English and French on the <u>Apostille Section</u> . A revised Spanish version	Comments or suggestions:
will be available soon on the <u>Apostille Section</u> (for a provisional version, click <u>here</u> ).	

c) Does YOUR STATE have any suggestions or comments concerning the publications	Yes – please specify:
of the Permanent Bureau, the <u>ABCs of Apostilles</u> , the <u>Brief Implementation</u> <u>Guide</u> , or the <u>Apostille Handbook</u> , available on the <u>Apostille Section</u> of the Hague Conference website?	⊠ No
c.f. Q 3.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	
d) Does YOUR STATE have any suggestions	Yes – please specify:
for future publications that could assist the promotion, implementation, or operation of the Apostille Convention?	□ No
≎ c.f. Q 3.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	
Section 4 Operation and statistics	
4.1 General evaluation	
a) How does YOUR STATE rate the overall operation of the Apostille Convention?	□ Excellent     □ Exc
operation of the Apostine Convention:	Good
c.f. Q 4.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	Satisfactory Unsatisfactory
	Comments or suggestions for improvement:
b) Has YOUR STATE encountered any	Yes – please specify:
persistent difficulties, issues or challenges in the operation of the Apostille	
Convention?	☑ No   ☐ Unknown
• 6 0 111) 611 0010	☐ Unknown
<i>c.f.</i> Q 4.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire  ⇒ see also para. 36 of the Apostille HB	Comments:
4.2 Statistics on issued Apostilles	
a) How many Apostilles were issued in YOUR	Year Number
STATE for each of the following years?  Under Art. 7(1) of the Apostille Convention, each Competent Authority must keep a register in which to record each Apostille issued.	If you wish to provide detailed information per Competent Authority, please identify the number of Apostilles separately in the space below, or attach a detailed breakdown of the statistics as a separate document.
c.f. Q 4.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	2015
see also para. 46 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	2014
	2013
	2012
	Unknown – please explain:
	Comments:
b) Can any trend(s) be discerned from these statistics?	Yes – please specify, including possible reasons for the trend(s):
c.f. Q 4.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	<ul><li>No</li><li>Unknown</li></ul>

c)	What are the principal States of destination for Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE?	If possible, please indicate an estimation of the proportion/percentage of total Apostilles issued by YOUR STATE that are for use in these States of destination.		
	c.f. Q 4.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	Maria Maria a		
	<ul> <li>Only for States that issue e-Apostilles</li> <li>d) How many e-Apostilles were issued in YOUR STATE for each of the following</li> </ul>	Year	Number  If you wish to provide detailed information per Competent Authority, please identify the number of Apostilles separately in the space below	
	years?	2015		
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 4.2 d) of the 2012 questionnaire	2014		
		2013		
		2012		
			Unknown – <i>please explain</i> :	
		Comme	nts:	
Or	nly for States that issue e-Apostilles			
e)	What are the principal States of destination for e-Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE?			
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 4.2 e) of the 2012 questionnaire			
	Please identify the <b>three</b> categories of public document that are most frequently requested to be apostillised in YOUR	2	Civil status documents (e.g., birth, death and marriage certificates) and certificates of non-impediment	
	STATE.  Please indicate these from "1" to "3" in descending order of frequency, with "1" being the most frequent.	3	Other administrative documents (including decisions from administrative tribunals or decision making bodies)	
	If a category of document is not listed, please specify it at the bottom of the list in the space		Extracts from commercial registers and other registers	
	provided.	1	Notarial authentications of signatures	
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 4.2 f) of the 2012 questionnaire		Other notarial acts	
			Diplomas and other education documents	
			Court documents, including judgments	
			Patents or other documents pertaining to intellectual property rights	
			Documents relating to adoptions	
			Translations	
			Medical or health certificates	
			Criminal records	
			Import or export licences	
			Certificates of origin	
			Certificates of conformity	
		Other do	ocuments – <i>please specify</i>	

g) Please mark with an "X" the categories of documents that are issued in YOUR STATE in <i>electronic</i> format (even if this is the case for only a few documents within that	Civil status documents (e.g., birth, death and marriage certificates) and certificates of non-impediment				
category) and for which an Apostille is issued (whether as an e-Apostille or in paper form)?	Other administrative documents (including decisions from administrative tribunals or decision making bodies)				
If a category of document is not listed, please	Extracts from commercial registers and other registers				
specify it at the bottom of the list in the space provided.	Notarial authentications of signatures				
	Other notarial acts				
✓ see also Section 6.8 Electronic documents and electronic signatures	Diplomas and other education documents  Court documents, including judgments				
⇒ see also paras 170-171 of the Apostille HB					
	Patents or other documents pertaining to intellectual property rights				
	Documents relating to adoptions				
	Translations				
	Medical or health certificates				
	Criminal records				
	Import or export licences				
	Certificates of origin				
	Certificates of conformity				
	Other documents / more information – please specify				
4.3 Legalisations					
a) How many legalisations were performed in 2015 by the authorities of YOUR STATE?  If no statistics are kept, please provide a rough estimate	Outgoing documents  Legalisations performed by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on documents executed in YOUR STATE and bound for a non-Contracting State to the Apostille Convention  Incoming documents  Legalisations performed by consulates/embassies of YOUR STATE located in another State on documents executed in that State and bound for a non-Contracting State to the Apostille Convention				
4.4 Public information					
a) Is practical information (such as informational brochures or information provided on government websites) on the operation of the Apostille Convention	Yes  Please specify how this information is made available (if available online, include URL):				
made available to Apostille users? <b>3</b> c.f. Q 4.4 a) of the 2012 questionnaire <b>3</b> see also paras 55-57 of the Apostille HB	If not accessible online, please submit a copy of this information  No				
4.5 Published works on the Apostille Cor	vention				
a) Has the Apostille Convention been the subject of any articles, books or other works published in YOUR STATE?	Yes – please provide full citation (if possible please provide a summary in English or French):				
<b>೨</b> c.f. Q 4.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	□ No ⊠ Unknown				

Se	ction 5 Competent Authorities		
5.	1 Contact details		
a)	Please indicate how many Competent Authorities YOUR STATE has designated under the Apostille Convention (see also <b>Questions 7.2</b> and <b>7.3</b> ).	4	
	If your State is unable to specify the exact number, please provide a rough estimate.		
b)	Are the contact details and practical information provided on the Apostille Section for the Competent Authorities of YOUR STATE accurate and complete?		Yes  No – please supply the correct information to be uploaded to the Apostille Section in Annex A or in a separate Word or PDF file:
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 5.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		
	The Special Commission has strongly encouraged States Parties to provide the Permanent Bureau with annual updates of information relating to their State which is made available on the Apostille Section (see C&R No 70 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 8 of the 2012 SC).		
	<b>⇒</b> see also para. 67 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		
5.:	2 Training and support	I	
a)	Have guidelines, desk instructions, or similar documentation been prepared to assist staff at Competent Authorities in the performance of their functions under the Apostille Convention?		Yes - please submit a copy of this documentation, if possible, with a summary in English or French (for internal use only)  No
	⇒ c.f. Q 5.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒ see also para. 47 of the Apostille HB		
b)	How often does YOUR STATE provide training to staff at Competent Authorities?		Regularly As required Seldom Never
		Comr	ments:
Se.	ction 6 Substantive scope of the Apo:  1 Definition of "public document"	stille C	convention
a)	Is the concept of a "public document" defined in the internal law of YOUR STATE?		Yes – please specify (including reference to the relevant law(s)):
	c.f. Q 6.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  The Apostille Convention applies to "public"	Come	No – please explain: ments:
	documents". Art. 1(2) lists certain categories of documents that are deemed to be public documents. The Special Commission has noted that it is for the law of the State of origin to determine the public nature of a document. It also recalled that the list of public documents in Art. 1(2) is not exhaustive (see C&R No 72 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 12 of the 2012 SC).	Accor a pub	rding to Civil Code of the Republic of Belarus olic document is a document issued by a c body (art. 193).

⇒ see also para. 110 et seq. of the <u>Apostille</u> <u>HB</u>			
b) Has YOUR STATE experienced any difficulties with characterising a document as a "public document" for the purposes of the Apostille Convention	Yes – please specify which documents have led to difficulties and how such difficulties have been addressed:		
(see also Questions 6.2 and 6.3)?	□ No		
c.f. Q 6.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire  Keeping in mind the purpose of the	Comments:		
Apostille Convention, the Special Commission has suggested that States Parties should give a broad interpretation to the category of public documents (see C&R No 72 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 12 of the 2012 SC).	Sometimes internal act of a public body does't have to be stamped, but to issue apostille anothe public body may require a stamp.		
6.2 Exclusion of documents executed b	y diplomatic or consular agents		
a) Has the exclusion of "documents executed by diplomatic or consular	☐ Yes – please specify:		
agents" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties	⊠ No		
in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?	Comments:		
C.f. Q 6.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire       The Special Commission has confirmed that the exception for "documents executed by diplomatic or consular agents" is to be interpreted narrowly (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).			
⇒ see also paras 135-139 et seq. of the Apostille HB			
6.3 Exclusion of administrative docume operations	nts dealing directly with commercial or customs		
	Yes – please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):		
operations  a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention	Yes – please specify (including the category of document concerned and the		
operations  a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?	Yes – please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):		
a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?      • c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire   • The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).  • see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?     • c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).  • see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB  b) Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>operations</li> <li>a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?</li> <li>♣ c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>♣ The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&amp;R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&amp;R No 15 of the 2012 SC).</li> <li>♣ see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB</li> <li>b) Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for any of the following documents?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> <li>Comments:</li> </ul> Certificates of origin <ul> <li>Export licences</li> </ul>		
a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?     • c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).  • see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB  b) Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for any of the following documents?  This question concerns outgoing documents  • c.f. Q 6.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has reconfirmed	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> <li>Comments:</li> <li>Certificates of origin</li> <li>Export licences</li> <li>Import licences</li> <li>Health and safety certificates issued by the relevant government authorities or agencies</li> </ul>		
a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?     • c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).  • see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB  b) Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for any of the following documents?  This question concerns outgoing documents  • c.f. Q 6.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has reconfirmed that the exception for "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> <li>Comments:</li> <li>Certificates of origin</li> <li>Export licences</li> <li>Import licences</li> <li>Health and safety certificates issued by the relevant government authorities or agencies</li> <li>Certificates of products registration</li> </ul>		
a) Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?     • c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).  • see also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB  b) Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for any of the following documents?  This question concerns outgoing documents  • c.f. Q 6.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has reconfirmed that the exception for "administrative"	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies):</li> <li>No</li> <li>Comments:</li> <li>Certificates of origin</li> <li>Export licences</li> <li>Import licences</li> <li>Health and safety certificates issued by the relevant government authorities or agencies</li> <li>Certificates of products registration</li> </ul>		

	Comments:	
c) Does YOUR STATE accept Apostilles issued for any of the following documents?  This question concerns incoming docume	Export I Import Health a relevant agencie Certifica Certifica End use certifyin acquired	licences and safety certificates issued by the t government authorities or
6.4 Copies		
a) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE the Convention considered to apply to simple copy of a public document (i.e may a simple copy of a public document be also regarded as a public document.  ⇒ c.f. Q 6.4 a) of the 2012 questionnaire. ⇒ see also para. 157 of the Apostille HB  b) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE the Convention considered to apply to certified copy of a public document?  ⇒ c.f. Q 6.4 b) of the 2012 questionnaire. ⇒ see also para. 154 et seq. of the Apostille HB	No – ple Apostille are cert the sign content:  Yes – br the cert docume Yes – ar the cert docume  No – an certifica	lease specify:  ease specify/explain: e is issued for the documents that diffied by notary in order to certify nature of the notary and not the sof the public document.  The public document of the public document of the public document.  The public may be issued for diffication only, not the copied public ent.  The Apostille may be issued either for diffication or for the copied public ent — please specify/explain:  The Apostille may not be issued for the attion nor for the copied public ent — please specify/explain:
6.5 Translations		
a) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE	Yes – p	lease specify/explain:
the Convention considered to apply to simple translation of a public docume.  • c.f. Q 6.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also paras 195-197 of the Apostille		ease specify/explain:
b) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE the Convention considered to apply to certified translation (i.e., a translation executed by a sworn/affirmed/accreditranslator)?		Delase specify/explain:  Only if the document to which the ertified translation relates is a public ocument

<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 6.5 b) of the 2012 questionnaire <b>⇒</b> see also paras 195-197 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	No – please explain:  Comments:
6.6 Extradition documents	
a) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to extradition documents?	<ul><li></li></ul>
* The Special Commission has recognised that the Apostille Convention may apply to extradition requests (C&R No 16 of the 2012 SC)	Comments: Yes, if the certified copy of the document need to
⇒ see also paras 160-162 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	be proved to the contents of the document.
6.7 Medical certificates	
a) Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to	Yes – please specify/explain:
medical certificates?	☐ No – please specify/explain
⇒ see also para. 182 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	
	Comments:
	Yes, if the certified copy of the document need to be proved regardless to the contents of the document.
6.8 Electronic documents and signature	es .
a) Can public documents executed in electronic form be considered "public documents" in YOUR STATE for the	Yes – please specify (then <b>go to</b> Question 6.8b)):
purposes of the issuance of an Apostille?	No – please explain (then <b>go to</b> Question 6.8c)):
<ul> <li>c.f. Q 6.6 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>see also paras 170-173 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>.</li> </ul>	Such a possibility is not regulated by national legislation.
	Public documents are never executed in electronic form – <i>go to Question 6.8c</i> )
	Comments:
b) If a public document is executed in electronic form, how does YOUR STATE issue an Apostille for that document?  • c.f. Q 6.6 b) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also paras 235-237 of the Apostille HB	An e-Apostille is issued A paper Apostille is attached to the printout of the electronic public document (if so, please explain the rationale of this practice and whether YOUR STATE envisages the issuance of e-Apostilles for public documents executed in electronic form):
	Other – please specify:
	Comments:
c) Can scanned copies of public documents that were executed in paper form be considered "public documents" in YOUR	Yes – please specify the manner in which the scanned public document circulates (e.g., electronically or as a printout):

STATE for the purposes of the issuance of an Apostille?	No – please specify/explain:  A copy of the document need to be certified.  Comments:	
d) Are electronic signatures recognised in YOUR STATE as functionally equivalent to handwritten signatures (i.e., can a public document be signed electronically)?  • c.f. Q 6.6 d) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also para. 261 of the Apostille HB	Yes – please specify the legal basis (e.g., legislation):  Law on electonic documents and electronic signatures from 28 December 2009.  No – please specify/explain:  Comments:	
Section 7 Access to Apostille services		
7.1 One-step vs multi-step process		
a) Does a public document executed in YOUR STATE need to be somehow certified before the issuance of an Apostille?	<ul> <li>Certification is not required for any public document – go to Question 7.2</li> <li>Certification is required for some categories of public documents – go to</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>C.f. Q 7.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>         Recalling the purpose of the Convention to simplify the process of authentication, the Special Commission has invited States Parties to consider removing any unnecessary obstacles to the issuance of Apostilles while maintaining the integrity of authentications (see C&amp;R No 79 of the 2009 SC and C&amp;R No 19 of the 2012 SC).</li> <li>⇒ see also paras 14-16 of the Apostille HB</li> </ul>	Question 7.1b)  Certification is required for all categories of public documents – go to Question 7.1b)	
Only for States that require certification	Category of public Number of Certifying	
b) What certification process is involved (in particular, how many certifications are required before the issuance of an Apostille)?	document certifications authority	
• of O.7.1 h) of the 2012 questionneins		
c.f. Q 7.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire  If necessary, use the space provided in  Question 12.1b) to respond to this question		
Only for States that require certification c) Why is certification required?  ⇒ c.f. Q 7.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	YOUR STATE has designated a single Competent Authority but the signatures, seals and stamps of local officials and authorities are subject to a certification by a regional authority, whose certificate is in turn subject to an Apostille issued by the Competent Authority (in other words, the Apostille is not issued for the underlying document but for the (final) certification). In the above circumstances, how (if at all) can an Apostille be issued for the underlying document?  YOUR STATE has designated several Competent Authorities but the signatures,	

	seals and stamps of local officials and authorities are nonetheless subject to a certification by a regional authority, whose certificate is in turn subject to an Apostille issued by the relevant Competent Authority (in other words, the Apostille is not issued for the underlying document but for the (final) certification).  In the above circumstances, how (if at all) can an Apostille be issued for the underlying document?
	YOUR STATE has a special, multi-step procedure for the authentication of diplomas and other education documents that are issued in your State and need to be produced abroad – please explain the nature and content of that process:
	Other – please specify:
Only for States that require certification	Yes – please specify/explain:
d) Does YOUR STATE plan to make changes to the certification process (e.g., adopting a one-step process for all or certain categories of public documents)?	□ No – please explain why not:
<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 7.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire	
7.2 Decentralisation of Apostille services	
Only for States that have designated ONE	Yes – please specify/explain:
Competent Authority  a) Has YOUR STATE considered decentralising the Apostille services by establishing regional offices or by designating additional Competent Authorities?	☐ No – please explain why not:
The Special Commission has welcomed and encouraged efforts designed to decentralise the provision of Apostille services. Such efforts have been shown to increase efficiency in the provision of services while reducing the burden on the public (C&R No 18 of the 2012 SC)     see also para. 218 of the Apostille HB	
7.3 Diplomatic missions as Competent A	uthorities
a) A few Contracting States have recently designated their diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities (e.g., Australia and Austria). Does YOUR STATE consider there to be any advantages or disadvantages with regard to this practice, in particular in light of Art. 1(3)(a) of the Convention?	
The Special Commission has confirmed that the exception for "documents executed by diplomatic or consular agents" is to be interpreted narrowly (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).	
⇒ see paras 139-140 of the <u>Apostille HB</u> ,	

<ul> <li>Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities</li> <li>b) For which of the following documents do your diplomatic missions issue Apostilles?</li> <li>⇒ see also paras 144-145 of the Apostille HB</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Documents executed in YOUR STATE (<i>i.e.</i> the State that the consular or diplomatic agent represents), which are subsequently presented for apostillisation to the diplomat mission</li> <li>□ Documents handled by consular or diplomatic agents but executed by another authority in YOUR STATE, which are reprinted or reissued by the diplomatic mission (<i>i.e.</i>, the consular or diplomatic agent merely acts as an intermediary for a document that has been effectively issued in the State (s)he represents)</li> <li>□ Documents executed by consular or diplomatic agents that are not of a diplomatic or consular nature (<i>e.g.</i>, civil status documents or notarial acts)</li> <li>□ Others. Please specify</li> </ul>	
	COMMITTERIES.	
Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities	Yes – please specify/explain:	
c) Has YOUR STATE experienced any practical difficulties with the designation of diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities?	□ No – please explain:	
	Comments:	
Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities  d) Please explain how, in practice, Apostille services are provided by the diplomatic missions of YOUR STATE (e.g. via retrieval from a database of public documents in YOUR STATE or using physical documents presented at the diplomatic mission, and how the origin of a public document is verified).		
7.4 Apostille requests		
<ul> <li>a) In YOUR STATE, how can an Apostille be requested?</li> <li>⇒ c.f. Q 7.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>⇒ see also the Model Apostille Request Form at Annex III of the Apostille HB</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In person</li> <li>By post</li> <li>By email (to request the issuance of an e-Apostille)</li> <li>Through a website</li> <li>Other − please specify:</li> </ul>	
	Comments:	
<ul> <li>b) In YOUR STATE, do Competent Authorities enquire about the State of destination of the public document to be apostillised?</li> <li>c.f. Q 7.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> </ul>	☐ Always ☐ Applicants specify the State of destination in an application form ☐ The State of destination is mentioned on the Apostille Certificate	

	Occasionally – please specify any circumstances for such an inquiry:		
	Never     Never		
	Comments:		
c) How long does it take for an Apostille to be issued?		In-person request	Other requests (from the time of receipt of request to the time of dispatch / collection)
c.f. Q 7.2 e) of the 2012 questionnaire	Less than one hour		
	Less than two hours		
	On the same day		
	By the following working day		
	Within two to three working days		
	Within one working week		
	Other – please specify:		
7.5 Fees			
a) Do Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE impose a fee for issuing an Apostille?	Yes. Please specify the fee in Annex A.  No		
⇒ c.f. Q 7.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire   ✓ The 2009 Special Commission encouraged  States to ensure that any fee imposed for issuing Apostilles be reasonable (see C&R No 94).	Comments:		
⇒ see also paras 274-277 of the Apostille HB			
Coation O. Locuspoo of Apostillos			
Section 8 Issuance of Apostilles			
a) Do all Competent Authorities of YOUR STATE have access to a register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents, which the Competent Authority may consult before issuing an Apostille?	☐ Yes ☐ No Please explain how your Competent Authority(ies) then ascertain(s) whether a signature / stamp / seal on a public document is genuine and comes from an authority / official of your State:		
<ul> <li>c.f. Q 8.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>see also paras 219 et seq. of the <u>Apostille HB</u></li> </ul>	Comments:		
b) What is the form of the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents?	☐ Electronic ☐ Paper form ☐ Electronic		ırm
uocuments?	Comments:		

	c.f. Q 8.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	
c)	How is the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents maintained and updated?	<ul> <li>□ Each Competent Authority maintains and updates its own register or database</li> <li>□ Competent Authorities maintain and update a common register or database</li> </ul>
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 8.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire <b>⇒</b> see also paras 223-225 of the Apostille HB	Comments (including whether a standard form is used to obtain sample signatures / seals stamps from the officials / authorities):
d)	How does a Competent Authority of YOUR STATE address situations where the public document for which an Apostille is requested bears a signature, stamp or seal that does not match the sample in the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents?  • c.f. Q 8.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also para. 227 of the Apostille HB	A competent authority may contact a public body that has issued a public document to confirm the existence od such a document.
8.	2 The Apostille	
	What stationery is used for the Apostille?	Standard paper Paper with security features – please
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒ see also paras 245, 248-249 of the Apostille HB	Paper with security features – please specify (e.g., watermarks, holograms, barcodes):
		<ul><li>☐ Rubber stamp</li><li>☐ Self-adhesive stickers</li><li>☐ Other – please specify:</li></ul>
		Comments:
b)	Does YOUR STATE use a bilingual or trilingual Apostille Certificate or is it planning to introduce one?	Yes - please specify in which languages: French-Russian
	<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 8.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	☐ No – please specify why not:
	The Special Commission welcomed the development of multilingual Model Apostilles by the Permanent Bureau and encouraged their use by Competent Authorities (see C&R № 20 of the 2012 SC).	Comments:
	The <u>bilingual</u> and <u>trilingual</u> Certificates are available on the <u>Apostille Section</u>	
	⇒ see also paras 241-243 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	
c)	Does YOUR STATE use the Apostille Certificate to authenticate the origin of public documents even when the Apostille Convention does not apply (e.g. using the Apostille Certificate for legalisations or other certifications)?	Yes – if applicable, please specify the disclaimer included in the Apostille:  No
	⇒ see also paras 87 et seq. of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	Comments:

8.3 Completing the Apostille				
a) How are Apostilles filled in?  • c.f. Q 8.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	<ul><li>☑ By hand</li><li>☐ Using a typewriter</li><li>☐ Using computer software – please</li></ul>			
	specify:  Comments:			
b) In what language are the <b>blanks</b> of	☐ In one language – please specify:			
Apostilles usually <i>filled in</i> ?  Please note that this question does not refer to the 10 standard informational items of the Apostille Certificate, which	☐ In two languages – please specify:			
was the subject of Question 8.2b). In other words, how does YOUR STATE complete its Apostille certificates?	☐ In three languages – please specify:			
<ul> <li>c.f. Q 8.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>The Special Commission has encouraged</li> <li>States to consider that, in addition to a language used by the State of origin, if not</li> </ul>	☐ Other – please specify:			
English or French, the information in Apostilles be completed in one of these languages (see C&R No 90 of the 2009 SC).  • see also para. 259 of the Apostille HB	Comments:			
c) How are Apostilles numbered?	<ul><li>☐ Consecutively</li><li>☐ Randomly – please specify how the</li></ul>			
c.f. Q 8.3 c) of the 2012 questionnaire see also paras 262-264 of the Apostille HB	number is generated:			
See also paras 202 204 of the <u>ripostine HB</u>	Other – please specify:			
	Comments:			
d) Where an item of the Apostille is not	☐ Yes			
applicable (e.g. the underlying public document is not signed or does not bear a	No - Please specify your current practice:			
seal), does YOUR STATE write in the relevant item "not applicable" or "n/a"?	☐ Such situations do not arise in practice			
The Special Commission has emphasised the importance of completing the 10 numbered standard informational items in every Apostille. No item should be left blank. Where an item is not applicable this should be indicated by writing "not applicable" or "n/a" (C&R No 21 of the 2012 SC)	Comments:			
see also para. 258 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
Question 8.3e) – for Apostilles issued in pape				
e) How is a paper Apostille signed?	By hand ("wet" signature)  By rubber stamp			
c.f. Q 8.3 e) of the 2012 questionnaire	$\square$ By printer (e.g., a facsimile signature or			
The Special Commission has affirmed the principle that the validity of the signature is determined by the law applicable to the	PDF/JPEG image of the signature)  By electronic signature - please specify:			

	Competent Authority issuing the Apostille (C&R No 22 of the 2012 SC)		Other	– please specify:
	⇒ see also para. 261 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>			
		Comr	ments:	
Qı	uestion 8.3f) and g) – for Apostilles issued i	in elect	ronic fo	rm (e-Apostilles)
f)	How is an e-Apostille signed?	Please describe the technology used for applying the electronic signature:		
	<ul><li>⇒ c.f. Q 8.3 f) of the 2012 questionnaire</li><li>⇒ see also paras 348 et seq. of the Apostille HB</li></ul>			
g)	Does the law of YOUR STATE allow for a printout of an electronic document or an e-Apostille (both of which have been		Yes - μ	please specify:
	digitally signed) to be circulated?		No – p	lease specify:
		Comr	ments:	
8.4	4 Additional text			
a)	Do Competent Authorities include other information on the Apostille (in addition to the entries of the 10 numbered standard informational items)?  • c.f. Q 8.4 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • The Special Commission has recognised the usefulness of additional text outside the area of the 10 numbered standard informational items of the Apostille and that States are free to employ text as necessary to provide clarifications regarding the Apostilles they issue. The Permanent Bureau has developed model additional text, which is included on the bilingual and trilingual Certificates, available on the Apostille Section of the Hague Conference website under "Model Apostille Certificate"  • see also paras 253 et seq. of the Apostille HB			Information relating to the limited effect of an Apostille (see Art. 3 of the Convention) Information relating to the nature or content of the underlying public document Information relating to the effect of Apostilles on certified copies Information relating to the person who requested the Apostille Information relating to the State of destination Information relating to fees Information relating to the e-Register of the Competent Authority (e.g., the URL of the relevant website) Information relating to a digital signature Identification of a code to access the e-Register of the Competent Authority Other – please specify:
		Comr	No nents:	
8.5 Affixing the Apostille				
Qı	uestions 8.5a) to d) – for Apostilles issued	in pape	er form	
a)	Is the Apostille placed on the document itself or on a separate slip of paper (an allonge)?		itself b	self-adhesive sticker
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒ see also paras 265 et seq. of the Apostille HB			glue rubber stamp printing it on the underlying document seals staples

			other – please specify: When there is enough space for the
		$\boxtimes$	apostille stamp on the document.  The Apostille is placed on a separate slip of paper by (see also <b>Question 8.5b</b> ))
			self-adhesive sticker
			glue
			<ul><li>☐ rubber stamp</li><li>☐ printing it on the separate slip of paper</li></ul>
			seals
			other – please specify:
		criter Apost	ments (in particular, please specify what ia are applicable to determine whether the tille is placed on the document itself or on onge):
b)	If a separate slip of paper is used (an	$\boxtimes$	Staples
	allonge), how is it attached to the document?		Grommet
	document?		Ribbons
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.5 b) of the 2012 questionnaire		Glue
			Tape Other – please specify:
	tampering with the method of affixation (see C&R No 91 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 24 of the 2012 SC)		other piease speeny.
	⇒ see also paras 268-269 of the <u>Apostille HB</u> .	Comr	ments:
c)	In the case of a one-page public		The front of the document
	document, where is the Apostille placed / allonge attached?	$\boxtimes$	The back of the document
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.5 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	Comr	ments:
d)	In the case of a multi-page document,		The first page of the document
	where is the Apostille placed / allonge attached?		The last page of the document
	attacheu?	$\boxtimes$	The page with the
	<b>೨</b> c.f. Q 8.5 d) of the 2012 questionnaire		signature / seal / stamp Other – please specify:
			outer produce speerly.
	page and, if an allonge is used, that the allonge		
	be attached to the front or back of the document (see C&R No 17 of the 2003 SC)	Comr	ments:
	⇒ see also paras 271-272 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		
Q	uestion 8.5e) and f) – for Apostilles issued	in elec	tronic form (e-Apostilles)
e)	What technology does YOUR STATE use to		
	affix or logically associate the Apostille to the underlying public document?		
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.5 e) of the 2012 questionnaire		
	⇒ see also para. 270 of the Apostille HB		
f)	How does that technology ensure that the Apostille is not tampered with?		
	⇒ see also para. 267 of the Apostille HB		

Section 9 Register of Apostilles	
9.1 Maintaining the register	
a) Does each Competent Authority of YOUR STATE record particulars of each Apostille issued in a register?	<ul> <li>Yes – what information is recorded?</li> <li>☑ the number and date of the Apostille (requirement of Art. 7(1))</li> <li>☑ the name and capacity of the person signing the document and/or the name of authority whose seal or stamp is affixed thereto (requirement of Art. 7(1))</li> <li>☑ the name and/or type of the underlying document</li> <li>☑ a description of the contents of the underlying document</li> <li>☐ the name of the applicant</li> <li>☐ the State of destination</li> <li>☐ a copy of the Apostille</li> <li>☐ a copy of the Apostille</li> <li>☐ other – please specify:</li> </ul>
	□ No − please explain (including how Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE respond to requests for verification of Apostille − then go to Question 10.1):  Comments:
Description of the control of the c	□ Electronic form, not publicly accessible online □ Electronic form, publicly accessible online (an "e-Register") – with the following features: □ the URL for the relevant website is indicated on the Apostille (see Question 8.4a)) □ the register incorporates features to avoid 'fishing expeditions' (i.e., attempts by users to collect information about an Apostille that he/she has not received) – please specify: □ the register allows users to view details about the Apostille (e.g., the entries against any of the 10 numbered standard informational items) – please specify: □ the register allows users to access the Apostille, or an image thereof □ the register allows users to access the underlying document, or an image thereof □ the register allows users to verify the signature on the Apostille □ Paper form  Comments:

c) How is the register maintained?	Each Competent Authority maintains its own register
≎ c.f. Q 9.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	Competent Authorities maintain a common register
d) For how long do Competent Authorities retain records of particulars in their register?  • c.f. Q 9.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire  • Although the Special Commission has not suggested a minimum period during which records in a register should be kept, it has concluded that it was a matter for each State party to develop objective criteria in this respect, and that holding of information in electronic form would facilitate the storage and	☐ Less than one year ☐ Between one and five years ☐ Between five and ten years ☑ Ten years or more ☐ Indefinitely  Comments (including specific detailed regarding records retention):
retrieval of records. (C&R No 21 of the 2003 SC)  • see also paras 289-290 of the Apostille HB	
9.2 Checking the register	
Only for States in which Competent Authorities keep a Register in paper or in electronic form BUT it is not publicly accessible online (i.e. not an e-Register) a) How frequently is a request made to the Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE to check the register of Apostilles?  ◆ c.f. Q 9.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  ▶ Under Art. 7(2) of the Apostille Convention, the Competent Authority that issued the Apostille must, at the request of any interested person, verify whether the particulars of the Apostille correspond with those in the register.	<ul> <li>□ Never</li> <li>□ Once per year</li> <li>□ Between 2 and 10 times per year</li> <li>□ Between 10 and 20 times per year</li> <li>□ More than 20 times per year – please specify approximately how many:</li> <li>□ Unknown</li> </ul> Comments:
⇒ see also paras 286-288 of the Apostille HB	
<ul> <li>Only for States in which Competent Authorities keep an e-Register</li> <li>b) If Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE keep an e-Register, does YOUR STATE have statistics on how frequently the e-Register is consulted?</li> <li>◆ c.f. Q 9.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Yes − please specify the number of consultations and the relevant period:</li> <li>Has the implementation of an e-Register led to more Apostilles being checked against the register? This question does not apply to Contracting States that implemented an e-Register upon accession to the Apostille Convention</li> <li>Yes − by how much?</li> <li>No</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
	Comments:
Cooking 10 The office of the Charles	
Section 10 The effect of Apostilles  10.1 Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE	
a) Has the effect of a paper Apostille or e-Apostille issued by a Competent Authority of YOUR STATE ever been refused to be given in other Contracting	Yes - please specify on what grounds:  the Apostille was not square-shaped the Apostille did not have sides at least

States (i.e., has the Apostille been			the Apostille did not have a border
rejected)?			the colour of the ink used to print the Apostille
c.f. Q 10.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire			the Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature)
see also paras 293-320 of the Apostille HB			the Apostille was not signed at all
			the Apostille was issued in electronic form (an e-Apostille)
			the Apostille had expired
			the manner in which the Apostille was numbered – <i>please specify</i> :
			the manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document – please specify:
			the Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document
			the underlying document was not a public document under the law of the State of destination
			the person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille
			the Apostille did not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed (i.e., standard informational item no 2 and 3 are not filled in)
		$\boxtimes$	other – please specify:
			The Apostille can be only rejected if it doesn't comply with the requirements stated in the Convention and doesn't correspond to the sample attached to the Convention.
		No	
		Unkn	own
	Comr	nents:	
b) If an Apostille was rejected, what action		The A	Apostille was reissued
did YOUR STATE take?		The r	eceiving authority was contacted
c.f. Q 10.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire			Competent Authority of the State of nation was contacted
		•	matic mission of the State of nation in YOUR STATE was contacted
			matic mission of YOUR STATE in the of destination was contacted
		The F	Permanent Bureau was contacted
	$\boxtimes$	No ac	ction taken
		Other	r – please specify:
	<u> </u>		
c) Has a Competent Authority in YOUR STATE ever been requested by the		Yes -	- please elaborate:
authorities in another Contracting State to certify or confirm its procedures for issuing Apostilles?	$\boxtimes$	No	
issuing Apostilies:	Comi	ments	(including views on this practice):
c.f. Q 10.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire			

10.2 Foreign Apostilles produced in YOUR	STATE		
a) On which of the following grounds has the effect of a foreign Apostille in fact been refused to be given in YOUR STATE?      • c.f. Q 10.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire  • see also paras 293-320 of the Apostille HB	The Apostille was not square-shaped The Apostille did not have sides at least nine centimetres long The Apostille did not have a border The colour of the ink used to print the Apostille The Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature) The Apostille was not signed at all the Apostille was issued in electronic form (an e-Apostille) The Apostille had expired The manner in which the Apostille was numbered − please specify:  The manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document − please specify:  The Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document was not a public document under the law of YOUR STATE The person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille The Apostille does not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed (i.e., standard informational items no 2 and 3 are not filled in)  Other − please specify: It doesn't comply with the requirements stated in the Convention.  None of the above Unknown		
	Comments:		
b) On which of the following grounds <i>might</i> the effect of a foreign Apostille possibly be refused to be given, in YOUR STATE?	<ul> <li>☐ The Apostille was not square-shaped</li> <li>☐ The Apostille did not have sides at least nine centimetres long</li> <li>☐ The Apostille did not have a border</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c.f. Q 10.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> <li>see also paras 293-320 of the Apostille HB</li> </ul>	<ul><li>☐ The colour of the ink used to print the Apostille</li><li>☐ The Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature)</li></ul>		
	The Apostille was not signed at all		

		The Apostille was issued in electronic form (an e-Apostille)
	П	The Apostille had expired
		The manner in which the Apostille was
		numbered – please specify:
		The manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document – please specify:
		The Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document
		The underlying document was not a public document under the law of YOUR STATE
		The person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille
		The Apostille does not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed ( <i>i.e.</i> , standard informational items no 2 and 3 are not filled in)
	$\boxtimes$	Other – please specify: It doesn't comply with the requirements stated in the
		Convention.
	$\parallel$	None of the above Unknown
		OTINTOWT
	Comi	ments:
	COIIII	nones.
c) Does YOUR STATE apply any time limit on the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or		Yes – please specify:
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?		Yes – please specify:
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are		Yes – please specify: No
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?  • c.f. Q 10.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also paras 28, 174, 186-187 of the		Yes – please specify: No
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?  • c.f. Q 10.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire • see also paras 28, 174, 186-187 of the	□ ⊠ Comi	Yes – please specify: No ments:
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	□ ⊠ Comi	Yes – please specify: No ments:
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	□ ⊠ Comi	Yes – please specify:  No ments:  APP)  Yes  the e-Apostille component the e-Register component – please
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	Comi	Yes – please specify:  No ments:  APP)  Yes  the e-Apostille component
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	Comi	Yes – please specify:  No ments:  APP)  Yes  the e-Apostille component the e-Register component – please
the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	Comi	Yes – please specify:  No ments:  APP)  Yes  the e-Apostille component the e-Register component – please specify the URL(s) for the e-Register(s):

studied by Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE?	and only the <i>e-Apostille component</i> is being considered for implementation – <i>please specify</i> :			
<ul> <li>For information on the e-APP, see paras 321-363 of the Apostille HB</li> <li>c.f. Q 11.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire</li> </ul>	and only the e-Register component is being considered for implementation – please specify:			
	but neither component is being considered for implementation – please explain why not:			
	☐ No – please explain why not:			
	Comments:			
Only for States that have implemented either component of the e-APP or are actively	Yes – please specify:			
considering implementing it  c) Has YOUR STATE contacted other Competent Authorities that already operate an e-APP component and asked	□ No			
for any relevant information or exchange of experience?	Comments:			
<b>⊃</b> see also para 339 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
Only for States that have implemented the e- Apostille component	Electronic public documents. <i>Please</i> specify:			
d) For which of the following documents does YOUR STATE issue e-Apostilles?	Paper public documents that have been subsequently scanned  Both			
⇒ see also paras 171, 346 et seq. of the Apostille HB	Comments:			
e) Are there any issues (legal or otherwise) that may affect the implementation of the e-APP in YOUR STATE?	Yes – please specify:			
	│			
<b>⇒</b> c.f. Q 11.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire <b>⇒</b> see also paras 345, 358 of the Apostille HB	Comments:			
Section 12 Other				
12.1 Additional comments and information				
a) If you need more space for your response to one or more of the questions above, please specify the question number(s) and add your comments below:				
practical operation of the Apostille Convent	b) If you would like to make any additional comment or provide information relating to the practical operation of the Apostille Convention (including the implementation of the e-APP) that has not been reflected in your responses above, please do so below:			
c) Are there any specific topics or practical issues that YOUR STATE would like to have discussed at the 2016 Special	☐ Yes – please specify: ☐ No			
Commission?				

c.f. Q 12.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire
see also paras 38-39 of the Apostille HB