COUNTRY PROFILE

TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY VIDEO-LINK UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 18 MARCH 1970 ON THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE ABROAD IN CIVIL OR COMMERCIAL MATTERS

STATE NAME: Estonia

PROFILE UPDATED ON (DATE): 01/06/2017

PART I: STATE

1. Contact details The contact details provided in this section will be published on the Hague Conference website		
CHAPTER I (LETTERS OF REQUEST)		
As with any other Letter of Request under Chapter I of the Evidence Convention, the requesting authority should contact the Central Authority(ies) of the requested State when seeking to obtain evidence by means of a Letter of Request, whether using video-link or not.		
a) Are the contact details of the Central Authority(ies) designated by Your State upto-date on the Evidence Section of the Hague Conference website?	Yes. No. Please provide the contact details on a separate Word or PDF document for uploading on the Evidence Section of the Hague Conference website.	
b) Would Your State be in favour of specifying a person or department within the Central Authority(ies) who would assist in processing Letters of Request where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	 Yes. If Your State has already done so, please specify the contact details: No. Please explain why: As the Central Authority consists of 2 people dealing with civil matters, both of them can be contacted Comments: 	
c) What arrangements are there for ensuring that there is a contact person with whom the requesting authority can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities (e.g. is there a booking system)?	The court, which will have the video-conference, will appoint a specific contact person.	

CHAPTER II (TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS)

Permission by a designated authority may be required to apply certain provisions under Chapter II. To know if such a permission is required for a particular State, see the practical information chart (accessible from the <u>Authorities</u> page) AND / OR the declarations (accessible from the <u>Status Table</u> page) of the relevant State available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.

If permission is not required, applicants should contact the diplomatic and consular mission (Arts 15/16) or the commissioner (Art. 17) to explore whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

If permission is required, applicants should contact the authority that was designated to grant permission AND the relevant diplomatic and consular mission or commissioner, to explore, where necessary, whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

d) Would Your State be in favour of specifying an entity or authority, in addition to the relevant authority / diplomatic or consular agent / commissioner, that would assist in processing applications where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	 Yes. If Your State has already done so, please specify the contact details: No. Please explain why: Estonia is such a small State that there is no need for additional contact-persons. Diplomatic officers etc in any case take contact with the Central Authority Comments:
e) What arrangements are there for ensuring that there is a contact person with whom the Court of Origin can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities (e.g. is there a booking system)?	The representation, which will have the video-conference, will appoint a specific contact person

PART II: RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND COURT SYSTEM

Legal basis	
a) Does Your State, in the application of Article 27 (i.e. internal law or practice), allow for a foreign Court to directly take evidence by videolink?	Yes. Please specify: Under certain circumstances. § 15 (4) of Code of Civil Procedure: "Unless otherwise provided by law or an international agreement, an Estonian court provides procedural assistance in performance of a procedural act at the request of a foreign court if, pursuant to Estonian law, the requested procedural act belongs to the jurisdiction of the Estonian court and is not prohibited by law. A procedural act may also be performed or a document may be issued pursuant to the law of a foreign state if this is necessary for the conducting of proceedings in the foreign state and the interests of the participants in the proceeding are not damaged thereby". The law can be found in English: https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/510012017004/consolide No. Please specify: Comments:
b) Please indicate the legal basis or applicable protocols (i.e., relevant laws, regulations, practice, etc.) for the use of video-links in the taking of evidence in YOUR STATE, either under the Convention or independent of the Convention (see, e.g. Art. 27 (b) and (c)): Please also attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French.	§ 350 (2) of Code of Civil Procedure is the legal basis for video-link under national law (https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/510012017004/consolide).
c) Does Your State have any agreements with other Contracting States that derogate from the Convention when taking evidence by video-link (see Art. 28 and Art. 32)?	Yes. Please attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French: Council Regulation (EC) No 1206/2001 of 28 May 2001 on cooperation between the courts of the Member States in the taking of evidence in civil or commercial matters (http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32001R1206). No. Comments:

Court system	
d) Please indicate which courts permit, or have the facilities for, the taking of evidence by video-link. If possible, indicate where relevant information on videoconferencing facilities in courts can be found online:	 All courts. □ All courts of a specific type / level. Please specify: □ Only specific courts. Please specify which courts, or provide a link to/attach a full list: □ None. Comments:
	List can be found: https://e- justice.europa.eu/content_information_on_national_facilities- 319-ee-en.do?clang=et

PART III: TECHNICAL AND SECURITY ASPECTS (APPLICABLE TO BOTH CHAPTERS)

a) Does Your State use licensed software (which ensures support for technical and security matters) for the taking of evidence by videolink?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No.
	Comments:
b) What are the specifications of the video-link technology in use in Your State, including, if any, the minimum standards or mechanisms used to secure the communications and any recordings made? States are encouraged to provide as much information as possible when responding to this question. As such, it may be useful to consider liaising with the relevant IT experts.	Codec (i.e., manufacturer, model, transmission speed, bandwidth): Polycom products. HDX and Group Series Video and audio standards (e.g. Standard Definition, High Definition, etc.): HD Type of network (e.g., ISDN, IP, etc.): IP Type of encryption for signals in secure transmissions: AES-128 Split screen capability: Yes Document cameras: No Multipoint connections: Yes Additional specifications or capabilities: Protocols or other practices:
	Comments:
c) Can evidence be taken via commercial providers (e.g., Skype TM)?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:
d) Does Your State have a procedure for testing connections and the quality of transmissions before the hearing?	Yes. Please specify: The testing time is agreed upon with the other party. Test will be done between the same locations and using similar setup to the hearing. No. Comments:
e) Does Your State have any requirements as to the hearing room, e.g., should be located in a court, should have a camera view of the whole room or a view of all the parties, etc.?	☐ Yes. Please specify: No.

Commontos	
Comments:	

PART IV: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER BOTH CHAPTERS – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Restrictions			
a) Must a court order directing the use of videolinks first be obtained from the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II)?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:		
b) Are there any restrictions on what type/s of evidence can be taken by video-link or how it is to be taken?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:		
c) Are there any specific restrictions on how evidence gathered via video-link can be handled and distributed, or do the usual rules for evidence obtained in person apply?	 Yes, there are specific restrictions. Please specify: No, the normal rules for evidence apply. Comments: 		
d) Are there any restrictions on the type of person who may be examined by video-link?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:		
e) Is it necessary to seek the consent of the parties to use video-link to take evidence?	Yes. Please specify the conditions under which parties may refuse the use of video-link: If it is direct taking of evidence, it is on a voluntary basis, but for normal taking of evidence there are no grounds for refusing videoconference as such by the parties unless there are questions, whether this is the appropriate method to use in this concrete case (for example hearing a child of 2 years of age) No. Comments:		
f) Are there any restrictions on the location where the person should be examined (e.g. in a courtroom, on the premises of an Embassy or diplomatic mission)?			

PART IV - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (BOTH CHAPTERS)

	ss / expert be compelled to use o give evidence?		Yes. If so, please specify what coercive measures may be used: No. Please explain: They can refuse to give testimony if they have a legal basis for it.
		Comme	ents:
Chapter I ar notifying or to give evide references to practice. Please also i differences b	y outline the procedure/s, under ad Chapter II, for actually summoning the witness / expert ence by video-link, including any or relevant laws, regulations or include, where applicable, the petween notifying or summoning	1	er I: The court summons a witness to a court session and serves a summons on him or her (§ 252 of Code of Civil Procedure). The interval between the date of service of summonses and the date of the court session shall be at least ten days (§ 343 (2) of Code of Civil Procedure).
summoning	ness / expert and notifying or a witness / expert that is to be	·	·
compelled.		Comme	
			are no specific rules for notifying or summoning the witness / expert to give evidence by video-link.
i) The law of w privileges?	hich State governs the use of	Chapte	er I: The law of the Requesting State.
Please tick all	that apply.		The law of the Requested State.
See Articles 1	1 and 21(e) of the Convention		The law of another State. Please specify: A person may refuse to participate in the taking of evidence or process operation, in case he has the right or commitment to it in accordance with the laws of his home State.
			er II: The law of the State of Origin. The law of the State of Execution. The law of another State. Please specify:
		Comme	ents:

PART V: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER $\underline{\text{CHAPTER I}}$ (LETTERS OF REQUEST) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Legal obstacles		
a) Does Your State consider that there are legal obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention? The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (see C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:	
Direct and indirect taking of evidence		
b) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does Your STATE allow for the direct taking of evidence by judicial personnel of the <i>requesting</i> State (<i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	✓ Yes.☐ No.Comments:	
c) Under which provisions of Chapter I of the Convention is indirect taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	 ☑ Art. 9(1) – The judicial authority of the requested State obtains evidence (e.g., a witness / expert examination) which is located in a (distant) location within its own State. ☑ Art. 9(2) - As a special method or procedure. Please also outline whether any specific conditions must be satisfied: See also questions on presence. Comments: 	
Legal safeguards for witness / expert		
d) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in Your State when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter I (e.g. protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	Same rules and regulations apply as when evidence is taken with the person physically present.	
Presence		
e) Are the rules for the presence of the parties and their representatives when physically in a single location the same for when evidence is taken via video-link? See Article 7 of the Convention	 ✓ Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate:	

PART V - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER I)

f) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does Your State allow for the cross-examination of a witness / expert by video-link by the representatives located in the requesting State (i.e., the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	Comm	Yes. No. pents:
g) Does Your State allow for the presence of the judicial personnel of the requesting State via video-link? See Article 8 of the Convention Please note that a declaration may be made under this provision.	Comm	Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate: The judges of the requesting State have the right to participate in the process operation subject to the preceding consent of the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Estonia. No.

PART VI: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER II</u> (BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The questions in this Part are only for States that have not wholly excluded the application of Chapter II			
Please note that Chapter II may be subject to a reservation in whole or in part under Article 33. Check the reservations that Your State has made under this Chapter in the status table, available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.			
Legal obstacles and legal framework			
a) Does Your State consider there to be any legal obstacles to the taking of evidence by video-link under Chapter II of the Convention?	☐ Yes. Please specify:☑ No.		
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments:		
b) Under which provisions of Chapter II of the Convention is taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	 ☑ Art. 15 ☑ Art. 16 ☑ Art. 17 Comments:		
	Comments:		
c) Is prior permission from Your State required when taking evidence under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of Your State?	Yes. Please outline the procedure for seeking such permission, including any specific conditions that must be satisfied:		
	⊠ No.		
	Comments:		
d) Please indicate who administers the oath or affirmation and how perjury and contempt are dealt with when evidence is taken under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of Your State.	Administration of the oath or affirmation: The consular or diplomatic officer dealing with the issue if the requesting court cannot do it themselves.		
	Dealing with perjury and contempt: The consular or diplomatic officer dealing with the issue if the requesting court cannot do it themselves.		
Direct and indirect taking of evidence			
e) Diplomatic and consular agents are usually located in the State where the witness / expert resides. It may be, however, that a witness / expert is located in a neighbouring country or in a place distant from the Embassy or Consulate. In these circumstances, does YOUR STATE consider it	☐ Yes.Please specify:☒ No. Comments:		

PART VI - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

possible to use video-link to obtain evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	
Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
f) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in Your State when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II (e.g. protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	The consular and diplomatic officers can take evidence in a matter coherent with the Code of Civil Procedure - thus all the same safeguards as pointed out earlier.
Presence	
g) Under the law of Your State, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by diplomatic and consular agents ? Please tick all that apply.	 ☑ The parties. ☑ The parties' representatives. ☑ Judicial personnel. ☐ Someone else. Please specify: Comments:
h) Under the law of Your State, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by commissioners ? Please tick all that apply.	 ☑ The parties. ☑ The parties' representatives. ☑ Judicial personnel. ☐ Someone else. Please specify: Comments:
Applicable law	
i) The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II?	 ☑ The law of the State of Origin ☑ The law of the State of Execution ☐ It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:
	Comments:
j) The law of which State governs perjury and contempt when evidence is taken by videolink under Chapter II?	 ☑ The law of the State of Origin ☑ The law of the State of Execution ☐ It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify: Comments:

PART VII PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

COMMON TO BOTH CHAPTERS	
Notice	
a) What does Your State consider to be the minimum amount of time required between the request and the actual hearing in order to make the arrangements to take evidence by video-link?	Chapter I: Depends on the situation, but by the time the request reaches the court, at least 1 month should be left. However the longer the period, the more the possibility that the court has a room available, which has the necessary equipment.
Tukamankakian anani	Chapter II:
Interpretation services	T
b) Who is responsible, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for the use of interpretation services and who arranges these services in YOUR STATE when video-link is used?	Chapter I: They can be arranged by the requested court, but the fees have to be covered by the requesting court. If they are or may be needed, this has to be informed before the session takes place.
	Chapter II:
c) Are professional accredited interpreters required in YOUR STATE, and where can relevant contact details be found?	✓ Yes.Please specify:http://www.vandetolgid.ee/en/✓ No.
	Comments:
	If no professional accredited interpreter is not available for this language, other kind of interpreter may also be used under the discretion of the parties and both courts.
d) Under the law of YOUR STATE, is interpretation to be <i>simultaneous</i> or <i>consecutive</i> when a witness / expert is examined via video-link?	Both.
e) Where may the interpreter be located when a witness / expert is examined via video-link? Please check all that apply.	 ☑ In the room with the witness / expert. ☑ In the room with those conducting the examination. ☐ Elsewhere in the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II). ☐ Elsewhere in the requested State (Chapter I) / State of Execution (Chapter II). ☐ In a third State. ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:
Reporting and recording	
f) Is a written report of the video-link hearing or testimony prepared?	☐ Yes. Please specify by whom:

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (BOTH CHAPTERS)

	Please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the report: No. Comments: It is not prepared by the requested court unless this has specifically been requested by the requesting court.
g) Are facilities and equipment made available in order to record the hearing or testimony?	 Yes, with audio and video. Yes, only with video. Yes, only with audio. No, but the recording of hearings/testimonies is permitted. If a recording is produced, please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the recording: - No, because the recording of hearings/testimonies is not permitted under internal law. Comments:
Documents and exhibits	
h) What arrangements are to be made for showing or referring to documents or exhibits when taking evidence by video-link?	There is a possibility to connect a computer to the conferencing device

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER I		
Practical obstacles		
i) Does Your State consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:	
Identification of all relevant actors		
j) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your State when video-link is used under Chapter I?	The authority taking the evidence identifies the parties according to the rules applying to their conduct.	
Standard Forms		
 k) Do the authorities of Your State use a standardised request form under Chapter I that makes specific reference to the use of video-links? The use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I. While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form. l) Does Your State require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the requesting State in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness / expert 	 Yes. Please specify: ☑ The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link. ☐ No standardised form is used. Comments: ☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. 	
examination by video-link under Chapter I? (e.g. contact details for IT support, technical specifications, etc)	Comments: The technical specifications, IT support contact details etc have to be sent at one point, but they do not necessarily have to be included in the request form.	
Costs		
m) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter I in YOUR STATE?	Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs: Any costs which may arise - interpretation, technical support etc. No. Comments:	
n) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter I in Your State? See Art. 14(2) of the Evidence Convention	 ☑ The moving party (requesting the use of video-link). ☑ The requesting authority (in the requesting State). ☐ The requested authority (in the requested State). 	

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER I)

	Other. Please specify:
	Comments:
o) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?	☐ Payment in cash ☐ Payment by (credit) card ☑ Electronic/wire transfer ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:
p) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter I in YOUR STATE when video-link is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?	Either the moving party or the requesting authority. Can be paid by electronic / wire transfer.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER II		
Only for States that have not excluded in whole the application of Chapter II		
Practical obstacles		
q) Does Your State consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	 Yes. Please specify: Currently in most representations the video-conference equipment is located in secured areas, where non-officials are not allowed. However there is currently the possibility of holding video-link through Skype in the representations and in the future there will probably be equipment also available in the public areas. No. Comments: 	
Identification of all relevant actors		
r) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your State when video-link is used under Chapter II?	Consular officer according to the present documents or presented check-questions.	
Standard Forms		
s) Do the authorities of Your State use a standardised request form under Chapter II that makes specific reference to the use of video-links? Although the use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I, it may also be used, with the necessary amendments when applying for permission to take evidence under Chapter II. While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form.	 ☐ Yes. Please specify: ☐ The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link. ☒ No standardised form is used. Comments:	
Assistance and facilities		
t) Are the Embassies and Consulates of Your State (acting as the State of Execution) able to assist applicants in arranging a video-link?	Yes. Please specify how, e.g., via a booking system: on a case-by-case basis No. Please specify who else would assist, if anyone: Comments:	
u) Is it possible to hold a video-link session requested under the Convention at the premises of the Embassies or Consulates of YOUR STATE abroad?	Yes. Please specify: Currently in most representations the video-conference equipment is located in secured areas, where non-officials are not allowed. However there is currently the possibility of holding video-link through Skype in the representations and in the future there will	

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

	probably be equipment also available in the public areas. ☐ No. Comments:
v) Does Your State require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the State of Origin in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness or expert examination by video-link under Chapter II? (e.g. the use of interpreters, stenographers, or recording devices)	☐ Yes. Please specify: No. Comments: The technical specifications, IT support contact details etc have to be sent at one point, but they do not necessarily have to be included in the request form.
Costs	
w) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter II in YOUR STATE?	Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs: Any costs which may arise - interpretation, technical support etc. No.
	Comments:
x) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter II in Your State?	 ☑ The moving party (requesting the use of video-link). ☑ The State of Origin ☐ The Diplomatic mission or Consulate in the State of Execution. ☐ The commissioner ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:
y) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?	☐ Payment in cash ☐ Payment by (credit) card ☑ Electronic/wire transfer ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:
z) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter II in YOUR STATE when videolink is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?	Either the moving party or the requesting authority. Can be paid by electronic / wire transfer.