
QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE USE OF IT IN THE OPERATION OF THE SERVICE CONVENTION

[NAME of STATE or territorial unit:]

France

1.1 To what extent is Your State in favour of the use of information technology to facilitate the operation of the Service Convention?

Somewhat in favour

1.1 To what extent is Your State in favour of the use of information technology to facilitate the operation of the Service Convention?

- comment

Considering the ongoing revision of the operation of the EU Regulation which introduces mandatory use of IT, it might be more appropriate to first review the outcome of the revision within the EU.

1.2 Is the transmission by electronic means of requests for service possible under the internal law of Your State?

Yes

1.2 Is the transmission by electronic means of requests for service possible under the internal law of Your State? - comment

Please provide the specific provision/s:

1.3 Is the execution by electronic means of requests for service possible under the internal law of Your State?

Yes

1.3 Is the execution by electronic means of requests for service possible under the internal law of Your State? - comment

Please provide the specific provision/s:

Arrêté du 28 août 2012 portant application des dispositions du titre XXI du livre 1er du code de procédure civile aux huissiers de justice

<https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000026332457&categorieLien=id>

1.4 Is Your State party to any bilateral or multilateral agreements, other than the Service Convention, which provide for the use electronic means in the transmission or execution of requests for service?

Oui

1.4 Is Your State party to any bilateral or multilateral agreements, other than the Service Convention, which provide for the use electronic means in the transmission or execution of requests for service? - comment

Aucun des accords bilatéraux signés par la France, pas plus que le règlement européen ne prévoient explicitement la transmission ou la notification par la voie électronique. Il est à noter cependant que le règlement européen est "agnostique" s'agissant de la transmission des actes et prévoit simplement que "le contenu de l'acte reçu soit fidèle et conforme à celui de l'acte expédié et que toutes les mentions qu'il comporte aisément lisibles". Les Etats membres indiquent sur le portail e-Justice les modes de communication autorisés. La France n'accepte que les transmissions par la voie postale.

A noter que la prochaine version du règlement 1393/2007 contiendra probablement des il conviendra néanmoins de voir les évolutions envisagées par le Règlement (CE) n°1393/2007

Please provide the specific provision/s:

Article 4 du règlement (CE) 1393/2007

1.5 Has Your State encountered any challenges regarding the use of information technology to facilitate the operation of the Service Convention?

Yes

[Internal law limitations]

No

[Judicial or administrative structures]

No

[Implementation challenges (e.g. lack of resources)]

Yes

[Costs]

No

[Selection of the appropriate technology]

No

[System interoperability / compatibility]

No

[Security concerns]

Yes

[Cooperation with other Contracting Parties]

No

[Other Challenges]

No

Please specify:

1.6 To what extent would Your State be in favour of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention?

Somewhat against

Please explain your reasoning, if possible:

Security standards, access rights, authentication, data protection, interoperability, costs might differ from one State to another.

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Internal law limitations]

No

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Judicial or administrative structures]

No

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Implementation challenges (e.g. lack of resources)]

No

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Costs]

Yes

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Selection of the appropriate technology]

Yes

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [System interoperability / compatibility]

Yes

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to

be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Security concerns]

Yes

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Cooperation with other Contracting Parties]

No

1.7 What, if any, particular challenges does Your State envisage in relation to the possible use of a common electronic platform to be used by all Contracting Parties in the operation of the Service Convention? [Other challenges]

No

Please specify:

1.8 What is the status of the use of information technology in Your State for the transmission of requests for service under the main channel (i.e. to the Central Authority, Art. 5(1)(a))?

Not (yet) under consideration

1.9 What is the status of the use of information technology in Your State for the transmission of requests for service under the alternative channels (Art. 10)? [Art. 10(a)]

Not applicable

1.9 What is the status of the use of information technology in Your State for the transmission of requests for service under the alternative channels (Art. 10)? [Art. 10(b)]

Not (yet) under consideration

1.9 What is the status of the use of information technology in Your State for the transmission of requests for service under the alternative channels (Art. 10)? [Art. 10(c)]

Unknown

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [E-mail (regular)]

No

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [E-mail (secured/encrypted)]

Yes

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic transmission platform administered by a public/State authority]

Yes

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic transmission platform administered by a private service provider]

No

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic transmission using digital ledger technology]

No

1.10 What type of electronic transmission does Your State use, or would consider using for requests for service under the main channel?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Other]

No

Please provide details:

The Central Authority has not decided yet for the use of one specific technology.

The French competent authorities (bailiffs) could consider the use of their existing platform (EJS) for the purpose of transmission of requests under the 65 Hague Convention, especially under article 10(b) as long as the e-CODEX technology would be used for the secure transmission.

1.11 On average, approximately what percentage of requests for service transmitted electronically by other Contracting Parties does Your State accept?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

1.12 Since 2014, on average, approximately what percentage of requests for service received by Your State were transmitted electronically by forwarding authorities of other Contracting Parties?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [2014:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [most received from:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [2015:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [most received from:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [2016:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [most received from:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [2017:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [most received from:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [2018:]

If possible, please provide the number of requests for service that were received per year, and the name(s) of the main Contracting Parties from which these were received: [most received from:]

1.13 If the Central Authority of Your State has received requests for service transmitted electronically under the Service Convention, on average, what percentage of the documents received are subsequently served electronically?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

If possible, please provide details as to how the documents are subsequently served:

1.14 What is the status of the implementation of an electronic case management system in Your State for incoming and outgoing requests for service issued pursuant to the Service Convention?

Electronic case management system: A system that enables casework and related workflows to be followed and managed through electronic communication of information between the individuals concerned (incl. staff, as well as parties and their representatives in some cases).

Implementation in progress

1.15 What type of electronic case management system does Your State use, or would consider using for incoming and outgoing requests for service issued pursuant to the Service Convention?

Electronic case management system: A system that enables casework and related workflows to be followed and managed through electronic communication of information between the individuals concerned (incl. staff, as well as parties and their representatives in some cases).

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT.

Case management system administered by a public/State authority

Please provide details:

1.16 If Your State uses an electronic case management system for incoming and outgoing requests for service issued pursuant to the Service Convention, which of the following best describes the system?

Electronic case management system: A system that enables casework and related workflows to be followed and managed through electronic communication of information between the individuals concerned (incl. staff, as well as parties and their representatives in some cases).

Electronic database of mainly paper requests and related procedures

1.16 If Your State uses an electronic case management system for incoming and outgoing requests for service issued pursuant to the Service Convention, which of the following best describes the system?

Electronic case management system: A system that enables casework and related workflows to be followed and managed through electronic communication of information between the individuals concerned (incl. staff, as well as parties and their representatives in some cases). - comment

L'autorité centrale ne dispose que d'une système de gestion des dossiers ancien, dont les fonctionnalités sont limitées, et qui repose sur des dossiers sous format papier. Un nouveau système de gestion des dossiers est en cours de développement qui permettra une gestion dématérialisée.

1.17 In 2018, on average, approximately what percentage of requests for service received by Your State under the Service Convention led to service being performed/effected using information technology?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

1.18 When competent authorities of Your State execute requests for service transmitted electronically by another Contracting Party under the Service Convention, in approximately what percentage of instances is the certificate of service then returned electronically to the applicant (Art. 6)?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

If possible, please provide details as to how the certificate of service establishing execution is returned:

1.19 In 2018, what was the approximate percentage (on average) of requests received by Your State in which the foreign forwarding authority requested service be performed electronically under the Service Convention (Art. 5 (1) b))?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

If possible, please provide the following details: [Number of such requests:]

If possible, please provide the following details: [Main Contracting Parties from which such requests were received:]

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private e-mail]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private social media account]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by public/State-administered email account]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a private provider]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a public/State authority]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service using distributed ledger technology]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Other]

No

Please provide details:

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?)

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private e-mail]

No

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?)

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private social media account]

No

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by public/State-administered email account]

No

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a private provider]

Unknown

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a public/State authority]

Unknown

1.20 Please indicate whether Your State would accept requests of foreign forwarding authorities seeking service to be performed by each of the following methods (under (Art. 5 (1) b)?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service using distributed ledger technology]

No

Other (Please specify):

Les dispositions de l'article 748-1 du code de procédure civile s'opposent à toute notification électronique qui ne serait pas effectuée dans les conditions suivantes:

- consentement du destinataire
- accusé de réception indiquant la date de la réception
- garantie de la fiabilité de l'identification des parties à la communication, l'intégrité des documents adressés, la sécurité et la confidentialité des échanges, la conservation des transmissions opérées
- établissement de manière certaine de la date d'envoi et de la mise à disposition ou réception par le destinataire.

IL serait donc nécessaire de vérifier que la demande formée en application de 5(1)(b) est conforme à ces exigences.

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your

territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [Use of technology is prohibited by internal law]

No

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [Use of technology is not provided for in internal law]

No

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [Use of technology is not possible as there is no compatible system in Your State]

No

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [Use of technology is too resource-intensive]

No

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [The authority/ies lacks familiarity with the use of the requested technology]

No

1.21 If Your State refuses requests from other Contracting Parties to use information technology in performing service on your territory, what is/are the main reason/s for such a refusal? [Other]

Yes

Please Specify:

Le destinataire doit avoir donné son consentement à la chambre nationale des commissaires de justice. En pratique, peu de personnes physiques ont donné leur consentement. Seules quelques administrations et sociétés (banques) se voient aujourd'hui signifier les actes par la voie électronique.

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private e-mail]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private social media account]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by public/State-administered email account]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate

the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a private provider]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a public/State authority]

Yes

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service using distributed ledger technology]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Other]

No

1.22 If Your State performs service electronically, what type of information technology is used?

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Not applicable]

Yes

If possible, please provide additional information, e.g. including the methods used, relevant security standards and acknowledgement of receipt mechanisms:

Les conditions de notification par la voie électronique sont prévues aux articles 748-1 et suivants du Code de procédure civile, ainsi que par l'arrêté du 28 août 2012.

<https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichCodeArticle.do?idArticle=LEGIARTI000021450391&cidTexte=LEGITEXT000006070716&dateTexte=20200101>

<https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000026332457&categorieLien=id>

1.23 In 2018, what was, on average, the approximate percentage of requests sent by Your State in which your forwarding authority requested service be performed electronically under the Service Convention (Art. 5(1) b))?

(Please round the estimated percentage down, if applicable)

0%

If possible, please provide the following details: [Number of such requests:]

If possible, please provide the following details: [Main Contracting Parties to which such requests were sent:]

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private e-mail]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by private social media account]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Electronic service by public/State-administered email account]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a private provider]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service by electronic platform of a public/State authority]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Service using distributed ledger technology]

No

Technology(ies) requested:

Distributed ledger technology (DLT): A database held by participants (or nodes) in a decentralised network, where transactions and records are processed, saved and replicated by each node independently and shared with the other nodes, seeking to validate the transaction by achieving consensus on its authenticity. Blockchain is perhaps one of the most well-known of the various forms of DLT. [Other]

No

Please provide details:

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[Use of technology is prohibited by internal law]

No

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[Use of technology is not provided for in internal law]

No

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[Use of technology is not possible as there is no compatible system in Your State]

No

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[Use of technology is too resource-intensive]

No

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[The authority/ies lacks familiarity with the use of the requested technology]

No

1.24 If requests for service sent by Your State seeking the use of information technology have been refused by other Contracting Parties, what was/were the main reason/s given for such a refusal?

[Other]

No

Please Specify:

General Satisfaction

2.1 How does Your State rate the general operation of the Service Convention?

Good

General Satisfaction

2.1 How does Your State rate the general operation of the Service Convention? - comment

La convention notification permet un traitement plus rapide et plus sûr des demandes de notification.

L'absence d'information de certains Etats parties sur la page web ou la multiplication des intermédiaires est toutefois un obstacle à son bon fonctionnement.

Outside of the Service Convention

2.2 Outside the Service Convention, what is the applicable procedure if an interested person from another jurisdiction wishes to

perform service on someone located in the territory of Your State? [Procedure provided by internal law]

Yes

Outside of the Service Convention

2.2 Outside the Service Convention, what is the applicable procedure if an interested person from another jurisdiction wishes to perform service on someone located in the territory of Your State? [Procedure provided by bilateral agreement(s)]

Yes

Outside of the Service Convention

2.2 Outside the Service Convention, what is the applicable procedure if an interested person from another jurisdiction wishes to perform service on someone located in the territory of Your State? [Procedure provided by multilateral agreement(s)]

Yes

Outside of the Service Convention

2.2 Outside the Service Convention, what is the applicable procedure if an interested person from another jurisdiction wishes to perform service on someone located in the territory of Your State? [Other procedure (such as consular channels)]

No

Please provide details (including full reference to the applicable legislation or caselaw):

- Articles 683 à 688-8 du code de procédure civile français

Please provide details (including full reference to the applicable agreement/s):

- Protocole judiciaire entre la France et l'Algérie signé le 28 août 1962

- Accord de coopération en matière de Justice entre la France et le Bénin signé le 27 février 1975

- Convention d'entraide judiciaire en matière civile entre la France et le Brésil signée le 28 mai 1996

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et le Burkina Faso signé le 24 avril 1961

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et le Cameroun signé le 21 février 1974

- Accord de coopération entre la France et la République du Congo signé le 1er janvier 1974

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et la Côte d'Ivoire signé le 24 avril 1961

- Convention de coopération judiciaire en matière civile entre la France et Djibouti signée le 27 septembre 1986

- Convention relative à l'entraide judiciaire, la reconnaissance et l'exécution des décisions en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et les Emirats Arabes Unis signée le 9 septembre 1991

- Convention d'aide mutuelle judiciaire, d'exequatur des jugements et d'extradition entre la France et le Gabon signée le 23 juillet 1963

- Convention d'entraide judiciaire et d'établissement d'une procédure d'exequatur simplifiée entre la France et le Laos signée le 16 novembre 1956

- Accord de coopération judiciaire entre la France et Madagascar signé le 4 juin 1973

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et le Mali signé le 9 mars 1962

- Convention d'aide mutuelle judiciaire, d'exequatur des jugements et d'extradition entre la France et le Maroc signée le 5 octobre 1957

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et la Mauritanie signée le 19 juin 1961

- Convention d'aide mutuelle judiciaire entre la France et Monaco du 21 septembre 1949

- Convention relative à l'entraide judiciaire, la reconnaissance et l'exécution des décisions en matière civile entre la France et la Mongolie signée le 27 février 1992

- Convention en matière de justice entre la France et le Niger signée le 19 février 1977

- Convention pour faciliter l'accomplissement des actes de procédure entre la France et la Grande Bretagne signée le 2 février 1922

- Accord de coopération en matière de justice entre la France et la République centrafricaine signée le 18 janvier 1965

- Convention en matière judiciaire entre la France et le Sénégal signée le 29 mars 1974

- Accord en matière judiciaire entre la France et le Tchad signé le 6 mars 1976

-
- Convention judiciaire entre la France et le Togo signée le 23 mars 1976
 - Convention d'entraide judiciaire en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et la Tunisie signée le 28 juin 1976
 - Convention d'entraide judiciaire en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et l'Uruguay signée le 16 septembre 1991
 - Convention d'entraide judiciaire en matière civile entre la France et le Vietnam signée le 24 février 1999
 - Déclaration relative à la transmission des actes judiciaires et extrajudiciaires et des commissions rogatoires en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et la Suisse signée le 1er février 1913
 - Accord en vue de faciliter l'application de la Convention de La Haye du 1er mars 1954 entre la France et la Yougoslavie signée le 29 octobre 1969
 - Arrangement relatif à la transmission des actes judiciaires et notariés et à l'exécution des commissions rogatoires en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et l'URSS (Russie) signé le 11 août 1936
 - Accord d'entraide en matière civile et commerciale entre la France et la Chine signé le 4 mai 1987
 - Convention sur la coopération judiciaire entre la France et l'Egypte signée le 15 mars 1982
 - Convention d'entraide et de coopération judiciaire additionnelle à la Convention de La Haye du 1er mars 1954 entre la France et l'Autriche signée le 21 février 1974

Please provide details (including full reference to the applicable agreement):

- Convention de La Haye du 1er mars 1954
- Règlement (CE) n°1393/2007 du Parlement européen et du Conseil relatif à la signification et à la notification dans les Etats membres des actes judiciaires et extrajudiciaires en matière civile ou commerciale

Please provide details:

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
725

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]
530

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2013][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
672

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]
455

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2014][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
499

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]
358

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2015][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
707

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]

522

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2016][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

675

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]

440

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2017][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

731

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding Contracting Parties]
474

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3 Statistical Data

2.3.1 Service Convention (Main Channel, Art. 5(1)) [2018][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
245

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3905

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2013][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
84

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3240

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2014][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
61

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3175

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2015][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
113

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3512

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2016][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
105

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3277

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2017][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
93

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3457

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.2 Internal Law [2018][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
366

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
309

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
2781

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2013][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
1567

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
363

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
323

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
2756

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2014][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
1548

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
238

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
208

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
2019

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2015][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
957

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
444

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
380

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
3270

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2016][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
1711

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
511

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
390

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
2828

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2017][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
1458

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
345

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
266

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
2959

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.3 Bilateral Agreement(s) [2018][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
1455

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
111

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
170

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2013][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
101

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
170

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2014][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
75

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
126

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2015][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
144

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
152

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2016][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
101

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
137

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2017][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
107

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
132

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.4 Multilateral Agreement(s) (Other than the HCCH Conventions) [2018][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2013][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2014][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2015][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]

2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]

-
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2016][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2017][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Incoming RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Incoming RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Incoming RequestsTop 3 Forwarding States]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Outgoing RequestsNumber (exact or average)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Outgoing RequestsAverage Timeframe for Execution (months)]
- 2.3.5 Other Procedure (such as consular channels) [2018][Outgoing RequestsTop 3 Requested States]

3.1 Is Your State a Contracting Party to the Service Convention?

Yes

3.2 If Your State is a Contracting Party, are the contact details of the Central and competent Authority(ies) designated by Your State up to date on the Service Section of the HCCH website?

See Conclusion & Recommendation No 4 of the 2014 meeting of Special Commission.

Yes

Please provide the contact details below: [Central Authority/ies:]

Please provide the contact details below: [Address:]

Please provide the contact details below: [Telephone:]

Please provide the contact details below: [Fax:]

Please provide the contact details below: [E-mail:]

Please provide the contact details below: [General website:]

Please provide the contact details below: [Contact person:]

Please provide the contact details below: [Languages spoken by staff:]

3.3 If Your State is a Contracting Party have the details of which authority(ies) are competent to forward requests for service under Article 3 been provided?

See Conclusion & Recommendation No 21 of the 2009 meeting of Special Commission.

Yes

Please specify the authorities competent to forward requests under Article 3 below:

3.4 If Your State is a Contracting Party is the practical information chart available on the Service Section of the HCCH website up to date?

See Conclusion and Recommendation No 4 of the 2014 meeting of Special Commission.

Yes

Please provide the updates to the chart using the template available [here](#).