

First meeting of the Special Commission on the practical operation of the 2000 Protection of Adults Convention - June 2022 (tentative)

Document	Preliminary Documen Information Documer		No 1 of July 2019			
Title	Questionnaire to assess the need to convene a possible meeting of the Special Commission in 2022 to review the practical operation of the Convention of 13 January 2000 on the International Protection of Adults					
Author	Permanent Bureau	Permanent Bureau				
Agenda item	TBD					
Mandate(s)	C&R No 34 of the Ma	rch 2019 meeting of	the Council on General Affairs and Policy			
Objective	-To assess the need for a possible meeting of the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Convention; and - To identify the topics to be discussed at this meeting					
Action to be taken	For Approval For Decision For Information For Action					
Annexes	Annex 1: C&R of the EC-HCCH Joint Conference on the Cross-border Protection of Vulnerable Adults, Brussels, 5-7 December 2018					
Related documents	n.a					

QUESTIONNAIRE TO ASSESS THE NEED TO CONVENE A POSSIBLE MEETING OF THE SPECIAL COMMISSION IN 2022 TO REVIEW THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE CONVENTION OF 13 JANUARY 2000 ON THE INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION OF ADULTS

Wherever your replies to this Questionnaire refer to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case-law relating to the practical operation of the 2000 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Identification for follow-up purposes:	
NAME of STATE or territorial unit:	Norway
Name of contact person:	Katrine Kjærheim Fredwall
Name of Authority / Office:	Ministry of Justice
Telephone number:	+47 22245290
E-mail address:	katrine kjaerheim.fredwall@jd.dep.dep

1. Interest for specific topics to be addressed by the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Convention

Whether your State is a Contracting Party or a non-Contracting Party to the 2000 Convention, please identify which of the following topics you would like the meeting of the Special Commission to address. For each topic please indicate whether your State is interested and, if so, the priority level (*i.e.*, low, medium or high) your State would give to this topic. This priority level will assist the PB making a recommendation to the 2020 Meeting of the Council on General Affairs and Policy of HCCH as to whether to start organising a meeting of the Special Commission to review the practical operation of the 2000 Convention and, if so, the topics that could be retained on a priority basis in a draft agenda.

1.1. Discussion of specific topics in relation to the 2000 Convention

	Interest to discuss specific topics in relation		Voc	Priority Level		
	to the 2000 Convention	No	Yes	Low	Med.	High
1.1.1.	Scope of application of the 2000 Convention		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.1.2.	Jurisdiction issues		\square			\square
1.1.3.	Applicable law issues		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.1.4.	Recognition and enforcement and cross border transportability of measures of protection		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.1.5.	Central Authority cooperation (enforcement of measures, communication of information, placement in another Contracting Party, etc.)		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.1.6.	Delivery of certificates for protection measures and confirmed powers of representation as provided for in Art. 38		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.1.7.	Use of existing model forms		\boxtimes			

1.1.8.	Protection and / or supportive measures that can be taken in Contracting Parties		\boxtimes		\boxtimes
1.1.9.	National measures and rules adopted in Contracting Parties to assist with the implementation of the 2000 Convention			\boxtimes	
1.1.10.	Complementarity of the 2000 Convention and the United Nations Convention of 13 December 2006 on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006 UNCRPD)		\boxtimes		\boxtimes

1.2. Discussion of possible future implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention

	Interest to discuss possible future			Priority Level		
	implementation tools and services in relation to the 2000 Convention	No	Yes	Low	Med.	High
1.2.1.	Developing an implementation checklist ² to assist future and existing Contracting Parties to identify the steps and legislative norms that need to be taken / adopted in order for the 2000 Convention to be operational within a national legal framework				\boxtimes	
1.2.2.	Collecting information on possible legislative reforms that are to be considered by Contracting Parties when implementing the 2000 Convention, and sharing experiences with reforming their legislative national frameworks, including making available "support [] in exercising [] legal capacity" ³					\boxtimes
1.2.3.	Developing a Country Profile ⁴ or a similar tool, to be published on the HCCH website, with information about the nature and the substantive and formal requirements of the measures available under national law, the conditions of their coming into effect, the competent authorities making decisions concerning such measures and the services available in relation to the scope of the 2000 Convention					

For an example of an implementation checklist see the Implementation Checklist under the 2007 Child Support Convention available at the following address: https://assets.hcch.net/docs/231f2415-e12b-4bd6-8f85-9f1fc25d2658.pdf >.

³ See, 2006 UNCRPD, Art. 12(3).

For an example of a Country Profile see the <u>Country Profile under the 2007 Child Support Convention</u> available at the following address: < https://assets.hcch.net/docs/7a6a8da3-4a7f-4367-89d6-f96e1e32c299.pdf . Completed Country Profiles under the 2007 Child Support Convention are available under the <u>e-Country Profiles</u> webpage for that Convention at the following address: < http://hcch.cloudapp.net/smartlets/sfjsp?interviewID=hcchcp2012 >.

1.2.4.	Identifying and promoting good practices ⁵ under the 2000 Convention		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
1.2.5	Beginning work on the preparation of a practical handbook ⁶ on the operation of the 2000 Convention which would: a) provide advice on the factors to be considered in the process of implementing the Convention into national law which would include an implementation checklist and examples of possible legislative reforms, and b) assist in explaining the practical application		\boxtimes			\boxtimes
	of the Convention with reference to practical examples and good practices					
1.2.6.	Developing standard forms ⁷ and models of certificates for the application of the 2000 Convention to facilitate the recognition and enforcement of protective measures and confirmed powers of representation in States other than the State of origin				\boxtimes	
1.2.7.	Collecting information on the development and the use of electronic registries to verify the authenticity and integrity of powers of representation and their coming into effect		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
1.2.8.	Developing tools necessary to organise direct judicial communications ⁸ in matters falling within the scope of the 2000 Convention (e.g., the creation of a judicial network)		\boxtimes	\boxtimes		
1.2.9.	Collecting statistical data ⁹		\boxtimes		\boxtimes	
1.2.10.	Developing promotional tools that the PB could use to facilitate the implementation of the 2000 Convention within the civil society, especially non-governmental organisations and practitioners providing assistance to vulnerable adults	\boxtimes				

1.3. Discussion of other possible topics your State would like to identify

For examples of Guides to Good Practice see the <u>Brochures, Handbooks and Guides to Good Practice</u> page of the HCCH website at the following address: < https://www.hcch.net/en/publications-and-studies/publications2/guides-to-good-practice >.

For an example of a practical handbook see the <u>Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Hague Child Protection Convention</u> available at the following address: < https://assets.hcch.net/docs/eca03d40-29c6-4cc4-ae52-edad337b6b86.pdf >.

For examples of standard and model forms see the <u>Recommended Forms developed under the 2007 Child Support Convention</u> available at the following address: < https://assets.hcch.net/docs/7b1c5829-81a6-46f5-902e-d59b572dff8a.pdf >.

For examples of tools concerning direct judicial communications see the "Judicial Communications" sub-section of the "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website, in particular, the "Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges" available at the following address: < https://assets.hcch.net/docs/62d073caeda0-494e-af66-2ddd368b7379.pdf >.

For examples of statistical reports see the <u>statistical reports under the 1980 Child Abduction Convention</u> available at the following address: < https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/publications1/?dtid=32&cid=24 >.

	1.3.1.	Other possible topic. Please identify: Please insert text here Please specify: Low priority, Medium priority, High priority.
	1.3.2.	Other possible topic. Please identify: Please insert text here Please specify: Low priority, Medium priority, High priority.
	1.3.3.	Other possible topic. Please identify: Please insert text here Please specify: Low priority, Medium priority, High priority.
2. In	terest in a	attending a meeting of the Special Commission
☑ Ye	actical op s o, please e	ate interested in attending a possible meeting of the Special Commission to review eration of the 2000 Convention to take place in the first half of 2022? explain: nsert text here
Partie	erested in s, States i ded a mee s	ate is interested in attending a possible meeting of the Special Commission, would it attending, prior to the meeting, a half-day information session for new States interested in becoming Party to the 2000 Convention or States that have not yet eting of a Special Commission to review the practical operation of a Convention?
Ye No	s o, please e Since ra nighest pri	explain: ther few states have been contracting parties so far, this work has not been given fority. A proposal on joining the Convention has been on public hearing which means applement the convention is ongoing.
2.4. Ye No	s o, please e	ate is not a Contracting party, is it currently considering joining the 2000 Convention? explain why: nsert text here