Questionnaire concerning the Practical Operation of the 1980 Child Abduction Convention

Wherever responses to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit:1	Costa Rica	
For follow-up purposes		
Name of contact person:		
Name of Authority / Office:		
Telephone number:		
E-mail address:		
Date:		

PART I – PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

Recent developments in your State²

1.	legis	e the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the lation or procedural rules applicable in cases of international child abduction? Where possible se state the reason for the development and the results achieved in practice.
		No Yes Please specify: Family Procedure Code which enters into force in October 1, 2024

Family Procedure Code which enters into force in October 1, 2024
Circular 11-2019 del Superior Council of the Supreme Court
Protocol for virtual hearings in Family Matters, this involve Abduction /access cases.
Circular 144-2020 of Supreme Court.

- 2. Following the Covid-19 pandemic,³ have there been any **improvements** that have remained in your State in the following areas, in particular in relation to the **use of information technology**, as a result of newly adopted procedures or practices applicable to child abduction cases? In each case, please describe the tools, guidelines or protocols put in place.
 - a) Methods for accepting and processing return and access applications and their accompanying documentation;

Todos los procesos se aceptan de forma digital. All applications are accepted digitall by the digital system of the Court, because all the files are digital.

Participation of the parties and the child (e.g., appearance in court proceedings, mediation);
 There is a protocol for virtual hearings in Family matters.
 The applicant has a legal representative assigned bt the State and this person can participate in the hearing virtually, by assiting to our Consulates around the world.

The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction which have occurred in your State since the Seventh Meeting of the Special Commission (SC) to review the operation of the 1980 Abduction Convention and the 1996 Child Protection Convention (held from 10 to 17 October 2017) ("2017 SC").

This question aims to gather information about good practices that were developed in those exceptional circumstances and that will continue to be applied regardless of the pandemic.

- c) Promoting mediation and other forms of amicable resolution; No improvements. What we use in the judicial system is what the law requires in the hearing. Before the hearing, there is the space in which the judge asks the parties if they reached an agreement.
- d) Making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access, including while pending return proceedings;

Please insert text here

e) Obtaining evidence by electronic means; Electronical file

f) Ensuring the safe return of the child;
 The protocol for returning the child is the same, the only difference is that when

COVID, we had to follow sanitary protocols

Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities;

- g) Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities; Many email conversations and whatsapp too. Also virtual meetings by TEAMS or Zoom.
- h) Providing information and guidance for parties involved in child abduction cases; Since September 2022, we have a Whatsapp number 506-8655-5459. Also, we have in our website, information for better access to everyone: https://pani.go.cr/sustraccion-y-o-visitas-internacionales/
- i) Other, please specify.Please insert text here
- 3. Please provide the three most significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2017 SC by the relevant authorities⁴ in your State.

Case Name	Court Name	Court Level	Brief summary of the ruling
SI-NIC-E- 0025-2019	Family Court	Higher Court (solves the appeal)	The judge explained about how we should do the access case.
SI-NIC-E- 0002- 2021/21- 000082- 0673-NA	Family Court	Higher Court (solves the appeal	The judges explain in a better way, the conflict between the Convention 1980 and the Convention Relating to the Status of Refugee.
SI-EEUU-E- 0050-201/ 19-000802- 0673-NA	Constitutional Court	Constitutional Court	This case was extremely contentious and complex in which the Constitutional Court decided at the end not

 Please provide a brief summary of any other significant developments in your State since the 2017 SC.

SI-EEUU-E-0021-2020/20-000377-0673-NA. This one is the first case we have with USA in which the Constitutional Court order to return the minor to her habitual residency.

The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of Contracting Parties such "authorities" will be courts (*i.e.*, judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

Issues of compliance

5.	Has your State faced any particular challenges with other Contracting Parties to the 1980 Convention in achieving successful cooperation? Please specify the challenges that were encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic.
	 No Yes Please specify the challenges encountered: Communication and explaining how our legal system works and what are the competitions of the Central Authority in the hearing.
6.	Are you aware of situations or circumstances in which there has been avoidance or improper application of the 1980 Convention as a whole or any of its provisions in particular?
	 No Yes Please specify: Recently there is a case in another country in which the judge decided according to custody. And also, the institution who assumes the legal representation and the presentation of the case at the Court, presented the case one year after. The case is in appeal now.
Addr	ressing delays and ensuring expeditious procedures
7.	The 2017 SC encouraged States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / other alternative dispute resolution - "ADR" phases) ⁵ in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention. Please indicate any identified sources of delay at the following phases:
	Central Authority
	 No Yes Procedure not yet revised
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: We did a Protocol For Abduction/Access Cases.
	Judicial proceedings
	No⋉ YesProcedure not yet revised
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays:
	Circular 11-2019 del Superior Council of the Supreme Court

See C&R No 4 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission acknowledges that some States have made progress in reducing delays and encourages States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / ADR phases) in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention."

9.

	Enforcement
	NoYesProcedure not yet revised
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here
	Mediation / ADR
	 No Yes Procedure not yet revised
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: There is a request for a Pilot Project for the implementation of mediation for the Application of International Child Abduction Conventions. This project was sent from Argentina to apply in Costa Rica. This was sent by the liaison judge for analysis to the Magistrate who directs the Restorative Justice Commission.
Court	t proceedings and promptness
8.	Does your State have mechanisms in place to deal with return decisions within six weeks (e.g., production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?
	 No Yes Please specify: Circular 11-2019 of the Superior Council of the Supreme Court Article 5 of the Organic Law of the Judiciary, which establishes the Prompt Dispatch. In international abduction processes, it is a summary process, which has only one appeal instance before the Family Court.
9.	If the response to question 8 above is "No", does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g., procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?
	 No Please specify: There is nothing Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
10.	Do the courts in your State make use of direct judicial communications ⁶ to ensure prompt proceedings?
	□ No ⊠ Yes

For reference, see "Direct Judicial Communications - Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges".

Please specify:

The Central Authority has a very good communication with the Childhood, Adolescence and Family Court and Network of Judges.

11.	If your State has not designated a judge to the International Hague Network of Judges (IHNJ) does your State intend to do so in the near future?
	☐ No ☐ Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
12.	Please comment upon any cases (where your State was the requested State) in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?
	We don't have a case with the Hague Convention but with a bilateral agreement between Costa Rica and Brazil.
The	role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention
In ge	neral
13.	Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in Article 7 of the 1980 Convention, raised any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?
	 No Yes Please specify: Interpretation of Article 12 and article 20.0nce The Central Authority had to appeal a resolution of the judge because the interpretation of the article 12 was wrong regarding the term. And also, in article 20, the Famiy Court had to explain about the Refugee Convention and the conflict between the Hague Convention.
14.	Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any of the 1980 Convention provisions ? If so, please specify.
	 No Yes Please specify: When there is a refugee application.
Lega	l aid and representation
15.	Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (Art. 7(2)(g)) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States that were dealt with?
	 No Yes Please specify: In Costa Rica there is no delays Some cases takes longer because the complexity Sometimes with cases in Nicaragua, in which they are requested State, the

communication between the left behind parent and legal representation is VERY complicated, sometimes it doesn't exists. And cases with USA as requested State, the communication and how the "pro bono" legal representation works and handle the case are slow. I have a cases that was sent in September 2022 and the hearing was until March.

Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States your Central Authority has dealt with, regarding the obtaining of legal aid, advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents?
No
Yes
Please specify:
Usually, the BIG problem we have is Communication between the left behing parents and the legal representation.

representation of the applicants and the Judiciary pays the fees.

In Costa Rica, the figure of the procedural curator has been used for the legal

Locating the child

Yes

17. Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with locating children in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?No

Please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:

If we don't have the address, is harder to localize them and the judicial system is slow, when they need to find the minor and taking parent. Also, as petittioners, the other Central Authorities or States, doesn't have a system to try to localize them..

Voluntary agreements and bringing about an amicable resolution of the issues

18. How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is considering taking, appropriate steps under **Article 7(c)** to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:

How we do it in Costa Rica is like this: If we have a phone number in the application, we call the taking parent and schedule a meeting by Teams / Whatsapp or at the Office. If we only have an address, we coordinate with the Local Offices of PANI, and they go to the location and takes the amicable resolution.

19. In the case that your Central Authority offers mediation services, or other alternative dispute resolution methods to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues, has your Central Authority reviewed these procedures in the light of the framework of international child abduction cases (e.g.,

See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the C&R of the Fifth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of the 1980 Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention (30 October – 9 November 2006) (2006 SC C&R) and paras 32 to 34 of the C&R of the Sixth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of 1980 and 1996 Conventions (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (2012 SC C&R), available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings".

by providing trained, specialised mediators, including with cross-cultural competence and necessary language skills⁸)?

Please specify:

We only did one mediation process and then it become a big issue. We did that, because we had both parties here in Costa Rica, but since then, we don't use it anymore.

20. Should the services mentioned in the question above not yet be provided, does your Central Authority intend to provide them in the future?

Please provide comments:

We haven 't considered yet, since there is only one person in charge of the cases

- 21. Has your State considered, or is it in the process of considering, the establishment of a central service for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children?9
 - No
 Please explain:
 Please insert text here
 Yes
 Please explain:
 Please insert text here

Ensuring the safe return of children¹⁰

22. How does the competent authority in your State obtain information about the protective measures available in the requesting State when necessary to ensure the safe return of the child?

Please explain:

When is asked to the Central Authority.

- 23. If requested as a safe return measure (e.g., in accordance with the 1996 Convention), would your Central Authority be in a position to provide, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return?
 - ☐ No ☐ Yes

□ No

Please specify:

In coordination with the Local Offices of PANI.

Information exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities

24. Has your Central Authority shared experiences with other Central Authority(ies), for example by organising or participating in any networking initiatives such as regional meetings of Central Authorities, either in person or online? 11

For reference, please see the recommendation in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, item 3.2, paras 98-105, "Specific training for mediation in international child abduction cases", available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". paras 114-117. See also 2011 / 2012 SC C&R at para. 61.

See Art. 7(2)(h) of the 1980 Convention.

See, in particular, Chapter 6.5, on twinning arrangements, of the Guide to Good Practice – Part I – Central Authority Practice, available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net (see path indicated in note 8).

\boxtimes	Yε

Please specify:

Virtual Meetings with the US Central Authority and some with the Nicaraguan Central Authority, in this was included the Network Judge

Case management and collection of statistical data on applications made under the Convention

25.	. Has your Central Authority developed any protocols or internal guidelines for the process incoming and outgoing cases?	
	 No Yes Please specify and share the relevant instruments whenever possible: Yes in 2021, PANI did a Protocol to establish the incoming and outgoing cases, and this protocol can be find in https://pani.go.cr/sustraccion-y-o-visitas-internacionales/. 	
26.	Does your Central Authority operate a case management system for processing and tracking incoming and outgoing cases?	
	 No Yes Please specify: We use an excell sheet in which we have all the information. Is not "a system" but it works for us. 	
27.	Does your State collect statistical data on the number of applications made per year under the 1980 Convention (e.g., number of incoming and / or outgoing cases) $?^{12}$	
	 No Yes In case this information is publicly made available, please share the links to the statistical reports: It s no public because we have a law that requires data protection. 	
Tran	sfrontier access / contact13	
28.	Since the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central Authority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier access / contact?	
	 No Yes Please specify: The judge explained about how the Central Autorithy should process the access case, in the resolution 935-2019 of the Family Court in the file 19-000444-0673-NA. 	
29.	Has your Central Authority encountered any problems as regards cooperation with other States in making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact?	
	No	
12	In the Country Profile for the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, question No 23(e). States are asked to inform whether	

statistics related to applications under the Convention are publicly available. Please note that, at its meeting of 2021, according to Conclusion & Decision (C&D) No 19, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) mandated the discontinuance of INCASTAT.

¹³ See C&R Nos 18-20 of the 2017 SC.

		Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
30.	orga	your State had any challenges, or have questions arisen, in making arrangements for nising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 when the cation was <i>not</i> linked to an international child abduction situation? 14
		No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here

31. In the case of access / contact applications under **Article 21**, which of the following **services** are **provided by your Central Authority**?

- ···	
Position	Services provided
A request of assistance to organise or secure	\boxtimes 1. Assistance in obtaining information on the operation of the 1980 Convention
effective exercise of rights of access in	
another Contracting Party (as requesting State)	☑ 3. Establishment of contact with the Central Authority and / or the competent authorities in the requested State to find out the kind of assistance such authorities could provide
	5. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access
	 6. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice 7. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services, where needed in the requested State
	8. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations for assistance
	9. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application 10. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here
A request of assistance to organise or secure effective exercise of	 ✓ 1. Providing information on the operation of the 1980 Convention and / or the relevant laws and procedures in your State ✓ 2. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
rights of access in your State (as requested	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access
State)	 3. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice 4. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services available in your State
	5. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations for assistance
	6. Regular updates on the progress of the application7. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here

According to C&R No 18 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission agrees that an application to make arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 can be presented to Central Authorities, independently of being linked or not, to an international child abduction situation."

32.	Should your State also be a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, are you aware of any use being made of provisions of the 1996 Convention , including those under Chapter V, in lieu of or in connection with an application under Article 21 of the 1980 Convention?
	 No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
Spec	cial topics
Obta	ining the views of a child in a child abduction case
33.	When obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction proceeding in your State's jurisdiction, what are the elements normally observed and reported by the person hearing the child (e.g., expert, judge, guardian <i>ad litem?</i> (<i>E.g.</i> , the views of the child on the procedures, the views of the child on the subject of return, the maturity of the child, any perceived parental influence on the child's statements)?
	Please explain: The child opinion, the maturity, age, also the report done by the local office of PANI, in which the child is interviewed by pshycology with the help of an social worker report.
34.	Are there are any procedures, guidelines or principles available in your State to guide the person (e.g, expert, judge, guardian ad litem) in seeking the views of the child in a child abduction case?
	 No Yes Please specify: The Child and Adolescence Code (article 12) and the Convention of the Child Rights and the Observation 12 and 14 of the Children Comitee. Also the article 152 that will be reformed by the Procedure Family Code.
Artic	le 15
35.	As requesting State (outgoing applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State received requests for Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	 □ Do not know ☑ Never □ Rarely □ Sometimes □ Very often □ Always
36.	As requested State (incoming applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State requested Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	 □ Do not know ☑ Never □ Rarely □ Sometimes □ Very often □ Always

37. Please indicate any good practices your State has developed to provide as complete as possible information in the return applications as required under Article 8 with a view to speed up proceedings?

Please indicate:

In order to send a complete application, we need, as a Central Authority, to correctly advise the applicants with all the necessary requirements, in compliance with the application and attach all the relevant evidence to justify the case.

38. Considering C&R No 7 of the 2017 SC,¹⁵ what information do you suggest adding to the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention, either as requested State or requesting State in relation to Article 15?

Please insert your suggestions:

Please insert text here

Relationship with other international instruments on human rights

39. Has your State faced any challenges, or have questions arisen, in processing internat abduction cases where there was a parallel refugee claim lodged by the taking parent?		
		No Yes If possible, please share any relevant case law or materials that are relevant to this type of situation in your State or, alternatively, a summary of the situation in your State: Many of our cases have the refugee requests. Mostly are nicaraguans. But the strongest case we had with refugee application was an incoming case from the USA. The Constitutional Court has explain about the issue between the Hague Convention and Refugee Convention. And there the most significant resolution we had, is the one in this file: SI-NIC-E-0002-2021 / 21-000082-0673-NA. The judge explain clearly how both conventions of human rights must work in harmony and that the Hague Convention provides the exception of article 20 for this cases. Do not know
40.	child	the concept of the best interest of the child generated discussions in your State in relation to abduction proceedings? If it is the case, please comment on any relevant challenges in ion to such discussions.
		No Yes ase provide comments: ase insert text here

Use of the 1996 Convention¹⁶

- 41. If your State is <u>not</u> Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention (please comment where applicable below):
 - (a) providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (Arts 7 and 11)

See C&R No 7: "The Special Commission recommends amending the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention to include more detailed information on the Article 15 procedure. It is further recommended that an Information Document on the use of Article 15 be considered with, if necessary, the assistance of a small Working Group."

For this part of the Questionnaire, the <u>Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention</u> can provide helpful guidance, available on the HCCH website at under "Child Protection Section".

	(b) providing for the recognition of urgent protective measures by operation of law (Art. 23) Please insert text here	
	(c) providing for the advance recognition of urgent protective measures (Art. 24) Please insert text here	
	(d) communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (Art. 34) Please insert text here	
	(e) making use of other relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) Please insert text here	
42.	If your State \underline{is} a Party to the 1996 Convention, does your State make use of the relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) to provide, if requested, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? 17	
	 No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here 	
Primary carer and protective measures		
43.	Are you aware of any cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, coercive control, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State?	
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: 100% of our cases, the taking parent refusees to return the child to the habitual residency for those reasons. When the case has been prosecuted, the judge or judges, based on the evidence and testimonies, make the most appropriate decision for the case.	
44.	Would the authorities of your State consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State if they were requested as a means to secure the safe return of the child?	
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: It could, but first the case must be analyzed and coordinated with the other Central Authority to study whether the request can be carried out	
45.	In cases where the return order was issued together with a protective measure to be implemented upon return, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such protective measures?	
	 No Yes Please explain and distinguish between such measures being recognised and enforced under the 1996 Convention: 	
17	See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain	

See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain degrees of assistance (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply), both to individuals within their own State and to foreign Central Authorities on behalf of an individual residing abroad. Requests for assistance may encompass such matters as: securing rights of access; the return of children (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply); the protection of runaway children; reporting on the situation of a child residing abroad; post-return reports for children returned to their habitual residence; the recognition or non-recognition of a measure taken abroad (advanced recognition); and, the enforceability of a foreign measure of protection." (Emphasis added.)

used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here N/A 48. In cases where measures are ordered in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here International family relocation ¹⁸ 49. Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation? Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention 50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity	46.	In cases where the return order was issued together with an undertaking given by either party to the competent authority of the requested State, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such undertakings?
used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here N/A No State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here International family relocation ²⁸ 49. Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation? Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?		Yes Please specify:
Yes	47.	If your State is a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, is Article 23 of that Convention being used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention?
your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return? No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here International family relocation ¹⁸ 49. Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation? Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention 50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?		Yes Please specify: Please insert text here
Please specify: Please insert text here International family relocation ¹⁹ 49. Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation? Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention 50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?	48.	In cases where measures are ordered in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return?
49. Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation? ☐ Yes ☐ Please describe such procedures, if possible: ☐ But not because of the HagueConvention. ☐ No ☐ Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: ☐ Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention 50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention? ☐ No		Yes Please specify:
 Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention? No 	Inter	national family relocation ¹⁸
Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible: Please insert text here Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention? No	49.	Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation?
50. Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?		Please describe such procedures, if possible: But not because of the HagueConvention. No Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible:
(positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention? No	Publ	icity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention
	50.	Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?

See the C&R of the 2006 SC at paras 1.7.4-1.7.5, C&R No 84 of the 2012 SC, and C&R No 21 of the 2017 SC, the latter of which says: "The Special Commission recalls the importance of securing effective access to procedures to the parties in international family relocation cases. In this regard, the Special Commission notes that: i) mediation services may assist the parties to solve these cases or prepare for outcomes; ii) the Washington Declaration of 25 March 2010 on Cross-border Family Relocation may be of interest to competent authorities, in particular in the absence of domestic rules on this matter. The Special Commission recommends joining the 1996 Convention."

Please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any: https://elmundo.cr/costa-rica/tribunal-y-pani-expulsaran-del-pais-a-un-nino-costarricense-contra-su-voluntad/

51. By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public and raise awareness about the 1980 Convention?

Please explain:

PANI has public information in this link: https://pani.go.cr/sustraccion-y-o-visitas-internacionales.

 $https://salasegunda.poder-judicial.go.cr/revista/Revista_N15/contenido/PDFs/07-articulo\%20-02.pdf\\$

PART II - TRAINING, EDUCATION AND POST-CONVENTION SERVICES

Training and education

52. Please provide below details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had:

Please provide details:

In the Central Authority of PANI, we have done in 2022, 2 virtual sessions to inform, train and educate the personal of PANI.Because PANI has two functions. One as the Central Authority, which is located in the Legal Department and the other that is the legal representation of minors according to the Organic Law of the institution, it must be clear how the Convention works for an adequate defense.

The tools, services and support provided by the PB

- 53. Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the PB to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including:
 - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section, including the addition and / or revision of its questions.

This one ins very important, because is a radiografy of the how the Country works with the Hague Convention

- b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at www.incadat.com). Is important to see how other countries solve the cases and this can be used by the judges who solve the cases.
- c. The Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection the HCCH publication which is available online for free;²⁰

For the judges is very important, because know how the cases are being solved

- d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website (www.hcch.net); Please insert text here
- e. Providing technical assistance and training to Contracting Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB (including through its Regional Offices) organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;

Please insert text here

f. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s);²¹

Available on the HCCH website at under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions and participating in such conferences.

g. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

Very important information cause is the way we get to know the Central Authorities and how to get in touch.

h. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

we have never used this, but if it is required, we can use it.

 Responding to specific questions raised by Central Authorities, Hague Network Judges or other operators regarding the practical operation or interpretation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions.

If it's needed.

Guides to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention

- 54. For any of the Guides to Good Practice²² which you may have used to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State please provide comments below:
 - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice.

Please insert text here

b. Part II on Implementing Measures.

Please insert text here

c. Part III on Preventive Measures.

Please insert text here

d. Part IV on Enforcement.

Please insert text here

e. Part V on Mediation

Please insert text here

f. Part VI on Article 13(1)(b)

- g. Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children General Principles and Guide to Good Practice Please insert text here
- 55. How has your Central Authority ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to the Guides to Good Practice?

All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

It's an obligation of the judge to get to know this instruments.

56. Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

None

57. In what ways have you used the *Practitioner's Tool: Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Agreements Reached in the Course of Family Matters Involving Children*²³ to assist in improving the practical operation of the 1980 Convention in your State?

Please insert text here

Other

- 58. What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
 - a. to improve the monitoring of the operation of the 1980 Convention; Please insert text here
 - b. to assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and trainings constantly.
 - c. to evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred? receiving a note with allegations from the Central Auhtorities to the Secretary

The *Practitioner's Tool* is available at the HCCH website at <u>www.hcch.net</u> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

PART III - NON-CONVENTION STATES

59. Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a Contracting Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States?

Please explain:

Please insert text here

60. Are there any States which are not Party to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the HCCH that you would like to see invited to the SC meeting in 2023?

Please indicate:

Please insert text here

The "Malta Process"24

61. Do you have any suggestions of activities and projects that could be discussed in the context of the "Malta Process" and, in particular, in the event of a possible Fifth Malta Conference?

Please explain:

The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain Contracting Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

PART IV - PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2023 SC AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

Views on priorities and recommendations for the SC

62. Are there any particular issues that your State would like the SC meeting to discuss in relation to the 1980 Convention?

Please specify and list in order of priority if possible: Refugee cases / Opinion ot f the minor

63. Are there any proposals your State would like to make concerning any particular recommendation to be made by the SC?

Please specify:

There are some issues with some Central Authorities regarding their role with the Convention and sometimes appear to be contrary to it. So it would be good to periodically organize training or updates to the Agreement. Or periodic meetings between Central Authorities to discuss issues. Also, the courts in some countries do not seem to be aware of the scope of the Convention and request information from the Central Authorities, which is delaying the process. This situation is a bit worrying.

Bilateral meetings

64. Should your State be interested in having bilateral meetings during the SC meeting, please indicate, for the PB's planning purposes, an estimate of how many States with which it intends to meet:

Please insert number: Please insert text here

Any other matters

65. States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise at the 2023 SC meeting concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

Please provide comments: Please insert text here