Should I Stay or Should I Go?: Relocation Cases in U.S. Courts

Hiram Puig-Lugo Superior Court of the District of Columbia Hague Network Liaison Judge

Agenda:

(1) Legal Framework

- (2) Attempts to create a uniform approach
- (3) State approaches to relocation
- (4) Examples
- (5) Conclusions



(1) Legal framework:

- Right to travel
- Right of parents to the care and of control their children
 - Both rights recognized as <u>liberty interests</u> entitled to constitutional protection under the Due Process Clause
 - No caselaw recognizes analogous liberty interest for children
- Federalism child custody and relocation exclusive concerns of state and local courts
- Uniform Child-Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (UCCJEA)
 - https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/ojjdp/189181.pdf

(2) Attempts to create a uniform approach:

American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers Model Relocation Act (1998)

https://www.aaml.org/model-relocationact/ American Law Institute Principles of the Law of Family Dissolution (2002)

https://www.ali.org/publications/principl es-law/family-dissolution-analysis-andrecommendations



(3) State approaches to relocation:

Whether to permit relocation of a child who lives with a custodial parent.

Whether a proposed relocation justifies a change in custody rights.

Statutes

Caselaw

Notice – 30 to 60 days

<u>Burden of proof</u> - (1) relocating parent, (2) parent who opposes, or (3) shifting burden of proof

<u>Presumptions</u> – 41 states do not use presumptions, 5 favor relocation, 4 against relocation

<u>Factors</u> – revolve around best interest of the child and other considerations

(4) Examples:

Florida - Statute District of Columbia - Caselaw

Florida:

http://www.leg.state.fl.us/Statutes/index. cfm?App_mode=Display_Statute&URL=0 000-0099/0061/Sections/0061.13001.html Party seeking relocation must file a petition

Requires notice and specifies content of notice to the other party

No presumptions

Burden of proof on the party seeking relocation

16 non-exclusive factors to consider

District of Columbia:

- Custody of Children, D.C. Code § 16-914
- <u>https://code.dccouncil.gov/us/dc/council/code/sections/16-914</u>
- Additional factors recognized in caselaw supplement analysis
- Estopina v. O'Brian, 68 A.3d 790 (D.C. 2013)

(5) Conclusions:

- Procedures to decide relocation disputes exist, but specifics may vary between states.
- The best interest of the child is the paramount consideration.
- Statutes and/or caselaw guide judicial discretion.
- Procedures under UCCJEA allow to register and enforce foreign custody orders.
- Direct judicial communications are encouraged or required.



Thank you!

Hiram Puig-Lugo 1-202-369-3669 puigluhe@outlook.com