QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

Wherever your replies to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit: Republic of Armenia

For follow-up purposes

Name of contact person:

Name of Authority / Office:

Ani Mkhitaryan

Ministry of Justice

Telephone number: (+37410) 594185, (+37493) 426066

E-mail address: ani.mkhitaryan@moj.am,

animkhitaryan.agency@gmail.com

PART I: RECENT DEVELOPMENTS²

1. Recent developments in your State

1.1 Since the 2011/2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the legislation or procedural rules applicable in cases of international child abduction. Where possible, please state the reason for the development in the legislation / rules, and, where possible, the results achieved in practice (e.g., reducing the time required to decide cases).

No
Yes, please specify:
Please insert text here

1.2 Please provide a brief summary of any significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission by the relevant authorities³ in your State including in the context of the 20 November 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child and relevant regional instruments.

In order to effectively implement the obligations assumed in the process of the civil aspects of the international child abduction, an interagency working group is established by the Order of the Minister of Justice of the Republic of Armenia.

Studies are conducted by the working group and a draft law regulating the mentioned field is being developed. As a priority issue, it is involved in the project of the Government in 2017.

1.3 Please provide a brief summary of any other significant developments in your State since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission relating to international child abduction.

There have not been carried out any significant developments in our State. The works will be regulated in that field after the adoption of the Law.

2. <u>Issues of compliance</u>

¹ The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

² This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction and international child protection which have occurred in your State since the SixthMeeting of the Special Commission to review the operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction and the Hague Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (hereinafter "the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission"). However, if there are important matters which you consider should be raised from prior to the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, please provide such information here.

³ The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of States Parties such "authorities" will be courts (*i.e.*, judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

2.1 Are there any States Parties to the 1980 Convention with whom you are have particular challenges in achieving successful co-operation? Please specify the challenges years appear to be systemic. No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here	
	een
Yes, please specify: Please insert text here	
PART II: THE PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION	
3. The role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 19 Convention ⁴	<u>80</u>
In general	
3.1 Have any challenges arisen in practice in achieving effective communication or operation with other Central Authorities? No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here	CO-
3.2 Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in Article 7 of the 19 Convention, raised any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in State Parties with whom you have co-operated? No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here	
3.3 Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any the 1980 Convention provisions? If so, please specify.	of
Yes, please specify: When operating the Convention the Central Authority has encountered the problem of the separation of the jurisdictions of the Central Authority, the administrative body as mentioned in the Convention. Especially, currently, the Central Authority implements its jurisdiction and jurisdiction of the administrative body granted under the Convention including making the final decision. The court hears the case only in case of appeal. There are also some issues concerning the terms of the discussion of the case by the court. Currently, for regulating these issues activities are carried out and the international practice is being studied.	e y e of y
Legal aid and representation	
3.4 Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convent (Art. 7(2)-(g)) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where ca originate in your State, in any of the requested States you have dealt with? No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here	ion
3.5 Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in y State, in any of the requested States you have dealt with, regarding the obtaining of legal a advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents? ⁵	

 $^{^4}$ See also Section 5 below on "Ensuring the safe return of children" which involves the role and functions of Central Authorities.

NoYes, please specify:Please insert text here
Locating the child
3.6 Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with locating children in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State? No
Yes, please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:
3.7 Has your Central Authority worked with any external agencies to discover the whereabouts of a child wrongfully removed to or retained within your State ($e.g.$, the police, Interpol, private location services)?
Yes, please share any good practice on this matter: The Central Authority is cooperating with the Police and the National Security. Immediately after receiving the request on the child abduction, a report is made to the Police for finding out the whereabouts of the child. After making a decision on the return of the child, the process of the return of the child is being organized with the help of the Police, if the side that keeps the child refuses to voluntary surrender the child. If necessary the Police carry out the home study of the child. The National Security take measures to exclude the withdrawal of the child from the country.
Information exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities
3.8 Has your Central Authority shared its expertise with another Central Authority or benefited from another Central Authority sharing its expertise with your Central Authority, in accordance with the Guide to Good Practice – Part I on Central Authority Practice? ⁶ No Yes, please specify: For the purpose of sharing their experience, the representatives of the Central
Authority of Germany and a Judge who hears such cases with the help of IRZ have visited the Republic of Armenia.
3.9 Has your Central Authority organised or participated in any other networking initiatives between Central Authorities such as regional meetings via conference call or videoconference? No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here
Statistics ⁷

3.10 If your Central Authority does not submit statistics through the web-based INCASTAT database, please explain why.

⁵ See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the "Conclusions and Recommendations of the Fifth Meeting of the Special Commission to review the operation of the Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the Hague Convention of 19 October 1996 on Jurisdiction, Applicable Law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (30 October – 9 November 2006) (hereinafter referred to as the "Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission") and paragraphs 32 to 34 of the Conclusions and Recommendations of the Special Commission review the operation of the Hague Convention of 19 October 1980 on Jurisdiction, Applicable law, Recognition, Enforcement and Co-operation in Respect of Parental Responsibility and Measures for the Protection of Children (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (hereinafter the "C&R of the 2011/2012 Special Commission") (available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings").

⁶ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice". See, in particular, Chapter 6.5 on twinning arrangements.

⁷ See paras 1.1.16 to 1.1.21 of the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission(*supra.* note 5).

The Central Authority of the Republic of Armenia is willing to provide statistics through the web-based INCASTAT, whereas in 2016 (the first attempt), after downloading the statistical data, it turned out that it has not been downloaded. We would very much appreciate if we could receive consultation on how to use the program.

Prompt handling of cases	Prompt	handlii	ng c	of cases
--------------------------	--------	---------	------	----------

 3.11 Does your Central Authority have mechanisms in place to ensure the prompt handling of cases? No Yes, please specify: Please insert text here
3.12 If your Central Authority is experiencing delays in handling cases please specify the main reasons for these delays:
We would like to note that because of the absence of the Legislation regulating this field, the terms of cooperation between concerned authorities are not clarified. In general, delays may be due to the implementation of works of guardianship and custody bodies, the Police. In any case, the competent authorities try to take measures as quickly as possible.
4. Court proceedings&promptness
4.1 Has your State limited the number of judicial or administrative authorities who can hear return applications under the 1980 Convention (<i>i.e.</i> , concentration of jurisdiction")? ⁸ Yes
No, please indicate if such arrangements are being contemplated: Please insert text here
 4.2 Does your State have mechanisms in place to handle return decisions within six weeks (e.g., production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)? No Yes, please explain: Please insert text here
4.3 If your response to the previous question is No, does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g., procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)? No, please explain: Please insert text here Yes, please explain: Carrying out legislative reforms in this field, it is also planned to discuss the study of child abduction cases by the two-level judiciary bodies. extraordinarily.
4.4 If your State is experiencing delays in handling return decisions please specify the main
reasons for these delays: The reasons are mentioned in the answer of question 3.12.
4.5 Do your courts regularly order immediate protective measures when initiating the return procedure, so as to prevent a new removal and minimize the harm to the child (e.g., prohibit removal of the child from the jurisdiction, retain documentation, grant provisional access rights to the left-behind parent)? No, please explain: Please insert text here Yes, please explain:
The return process of the child is organized with the Police at the same time informing about it to the Central Authority of the requesting State, which then confirms the

 $^{^8}$ See, *The <u>Judges' Newsletter</u>* on International Child Protection – <u>Vol. XX / Summer-Autumn 2013</u> the special focus of which was "Concentration of jurisdiction under the *Hague Convention of 25 October 1980 on the civil aspects of International Child Abduction* and other international child protection instruments".

acceptance of the child. In case if the decision on the return of the child is appealed the court, in its discretion or through the mediation of the participants of the proceedings, as claim ensuring means, may prohibit the border crossing of the child for the pupose of elimination of the removal of the child to another country.

4.6	Do	your	courts	make	use	of	direct	judicial	communicat	ions	to	ensure	prompt
proc	eedin	qs?						•					
•		Yes											
	\boxtimes	No,	please	explain:									
		The	court d	oesn't i	make	de	cision o	on the re	eturn of the	child.	. It	discuss	es the
appe	al ma	ade ag	ainst th	e decisi	ion of	the	Centra	I Authori	ty.				
			•										
4.7	If y	our St	tate has	not de	signa ⁻	ted	a sittin	g judge	to the Interna	ationa	al Ha	ague Ne	twork of
Judo	_				_			near futui				J	
		Yes											
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	No,	please	explain:									
			•	•		aft I	aw on	the child	abduction, th	nis qu	uesti	ion woul	ld also
be d	iscus			J						Ċ			

4.8 Please comment upon any cases (whether your State was the requesting or requested State), in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?

The judges don't communicate with the judges of other States because under the administrative proceedings the court only discusses the appeal against the decision of the Central Authority on the return of the child.

5. Ensuring the safe return of children⁹

Methods for ensuring the safe return of children¹⁰

5.1 What measures has your Central Authority taken to ensure that the recommendations of the 2006 and 2011 / 2012 Special Commission meetings¹¹ regarding the safe return of children are implemented?

When working on the draft law on the child abduction these recommendations will also be taken into consideration.

5.2 In particular, in a case where the safety of a child is in issue and where a return order has been made in your State, how does your Central Authority ensure that the appropriate child protection bodies in the *requesting* State are alerted so that they may act to protect the welfare of a child upon return (until the appropriate court in the requesting State has been effectively seised)?

The Central Authority informs about the departure of the child to the Central Authority of the requesting State asking to confirm the arrival and safe transfer of the child to the person having jurisdiction over him/her.

5.3 Where there are concerns in the requested State regarding possible risks for a child following a return, what conditions or requirements can the relevant authority in your State put in place to minimise or eliminate those concerns?

When the child returs to the Republic of Armenia, for the purpose of the safe return the competent Police officer meets the child and places him/her with his/her legal representative. If the legal representative of the child is absent at a time when the child is being returned, the child is being placed in a relevant organization.

¹⁰ Where relevant, please make reference to the use of undertakings, mirror orders and safe harbour orders and other such measures in your State.

⁹ See Art. 7(2) *h*)of the 1980 Convention.

¹¹See the <u>Conclusions and Recommendations</u> of the Special Commission of 2006 (*supra.* note 5) at paras 1.1.12 and 1.8.1 to 1.8.2 and 1.8.4 to 1.8.5 and the Appendix to the Conclusions and Recommendations and the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission (*supra.* note 5).at paras 39-43.

Use of the 1996 Convention to ensure a safe return

Please insert text here

5.4 If your State is <u>not</u> Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention in providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (**Arts 7 and 11**), in providing for their recognition by operation of law (**Art. 23**), and in communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (**Art. 34**)?

No
Yes, please explain:

Protection of primary carer

5.5 Are you aware of cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State? Please explain and provide case examples where possible.

N/A

5.6 In particular, would your authorities consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State as a mean to secure the safe return of the child? Please explain and provide case examples where possible.

N/A

Post-return information

5.7 In cases where measures are put in place in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return? Would you support a recommendation that States Parties should co-operate to provide each other with follow-up information on such matters, insofar as is possible?

N/A

5.8 If your State is not Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention in providing a jurisdictional basis for requesting a report on the situation of the child upon return to the State of habitual residence (**Art. 32-(a)**)?

No
Yes, please explain:
Please insert text here

6. Voluntary agreements and mediation

6.1 How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is it considering taking, appropriate steps under **Article 7-(c)** to secure the voluntary return of the child or to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:

The Central Authority arranges meetings and through giving advice tries to help the parents of the child to agree to come to compromises. The aim of the Central Authority is to suggest every possible means of reconciliation, based on the child's best interests..

6.2 In what ways have you used the "Guide to Good Practice on Mediation" 12 for the purpose of implementing the 1980 Convention in your State? Please explain:

 $^{^{12}}$ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

There is no any normative act regulating mediation process in the framework of the mentioned field. We need to study this field and have exchange of practice.

6.3 Has your State considered or is it in the process of considering the establishment of Central Contact Point for international family mediation to facilitate access to information available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involved children, or has this task been entrusted to the Central Authority? No, please explain: Please insert text here Yes, please explain: Please insert text here	on
7. <u>Preventive measures</u>	
7.1 Has your State taken steps to advance the development of a travel form under the auspices of the International Civil Aviation Organisation? ¹⁴ No Yes, please describe: Please insert text here	the
7.2 Regardless of whether the International Civil Aviation Organisation adds development of a travel form to its work programme, would your State support development of a non-mandatory model travel form under the auspices of the Hag Conference? Yes No, please explain: Please insert text here	the

8. The Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention

- 8.1 In what ways have you used the Parts of the Guide to Good Practice¹⁵ to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State?
 - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice. Please explain: The Guide is used when preparing the draft law.
 - b. Part II on Implementing Measures. Please explain: The Guide is used when preparing the draft law.
 - c. Part III on Preventive Measures. Please explain: The Guide is used when preparing the draft law.
 - d. Part IV on Enforcement. Please explain:
 The guide is used when preparing the draft law.
- 8.2 How have you ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to, the Guide to Good Practice?

All the information on the child abduction, as well as on the Guide is informed to the competent authorities - the Police, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, courts.

8.3 Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

N/A

14//

9. Publicity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention

¹³As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". par. 114-117.See also Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission (*supra*.note 5) at par. 61.

¹⁴ See the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission(*supra*.note 5) at par. 92. ¹⁵ All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the Hague Conferencewebsite at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

9.1 Has the 1980 Convention given rise to (a) any publicity (positive or negative) in your State, or (b) any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent?
No
Yes, please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any: Please insert text here
9.2 By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public about the 1980 Convention?
The citizens can gain information in all State institutions. Also when talking about child protection system on television or on radio the provisions of the Convention are also being illustrated.
PART IV: TRANSFRONTIER ACCESS / CONTACT AND INTERNATIONAL FAMILY RELOCATION
10. Transfrontier access / contact ¹⁶

10.1 Since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central Authority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier contact / access?

 \boxtimes No Yes, please explain: Please insert text here

10.2 Please indicate any important developments in your State, since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, in the interpretation of Article 21 of the 1980 Convention.

N/A

10.3 What problems have you experienced, if any, as regards co-operation with other States in respect of:

the granting or maintaining of access rights; a. N/A

the effective exercise of rights of access; and h.

the restriction or termination of access rights. С. N/A

Please provide case examples where possible.

N/A

10.4 In what ways have you used the "General Principles and Guide to Good Practice on Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children" 17 to assist in transfrontier contact / access cases in your State? Can you suggest any further principles of good practice?

N/A

11. International family relocation 18

¹⁶ See the <u>Conclusions and Recommendations</u> of the 2006 Special Commission (*supra.*note 5)at paras 1.7.1 to

¹⁷ Available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

¹⁸ See the Conclusions and Recommendations of the 2006 Special Commission meeting at paras 1.7.4 to 1.7.5: "1.7.4 The Special Commission concludes that parents, before they move with their children from one country to another, should be encouraged not to take unilateral action by unlawfully removing a child but to make appropriate arrangements for access and contact preferably by agreement, particularly where one parent intends to remain behind after the move.

11.1 Since the 2011 / 2012 Special Commission, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable to international family relocation? Where possible, please explain these developments in the legislation, procedural rules or case law:

N/A

PART V: NON-CONVENTION CASES AND NON-CONVENTION STATES

12. Non-Convention cases and non-Convention States

12.1 Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a State Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States? Please explain:

Taking into consideration the fact that there is a huge Armenian community in the United States of America, it would very much appreciate to cooperate with the USA in the framework of child abduction field, as there are cases when the child is abducted by his/her parent to the USA and it is not possible to return the child. We are willing to mutually start taking measures to organize the cooperation.

12.2 Are there any States which are not Parties to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the Hague Conference that you would like to see invited to the Special Commission meeting in 2017?

Taking into consideration that there is also Armenian community in Lebanon and Iran we would very much appreciate to cooperate with these States under the child abduction field.

The "Malta Process" 19

- 12.2 In relation to the "Malta Process":
 - Do you have any comment to make on the "Principles for the Establishment of Mediation Structures in the context of the Malta Process" and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum?²⁰ N/A
 - b. Have any steps been taken towards the implementation of the Malta Principles in your State and the designation of a Central Contact Point, in order to better address cross-border family disputes over children involving States that are not a Party to the 1980 and 1996 Hague Conventions?

No
Yes, please explain:
Please insert text here

c. What is your view as to the future of the "Malta Process"?

^{1.7.5} The Special Commission encourages all attempts to seek to resolve differences among the legal systems so as to arrive as far as possible at a common approach and common standards as regards relocation."

¹⁹ The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain States Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

²⁰ The Principles and Explanatory Memorandum were circulated to all HagueConferenceMemberStates and all States participating in the Malta Process in November 2010. They are available on the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

PART VI: TRAINING AND EDUCATION AND THE TOOLS, SERVICES AND SUPPORT PROVIDED BY THE PERMANENT BUREAU

13. Training and education

13.1 Can you give details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had?

N/A

14. The tools, services and support provided by the Permanent Bureau

In general

- 14.1 Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the Permanent Bureau to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 and 1996 Conventions, including:
 - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section. N/Δ
 - b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at < www.incadat.com >).
 N/A
 - c. The Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection the publication of the Hague Conference on Private International Law which is available online for free; N/A
 - d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the Hague Conference website (< www.hcch.net >);
 N/A
 - e. INCASTAT (the database for the electronic collection and analysis of statistics on the 1980 Convention); ²²
 N/A
 - f. Providing technical assistance and training to States Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 and 1996 Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the Permanent Bureau or, alternatively, may involve the Permanent Bureau organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;
 - g. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the Convention(s), including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s); 24 N/A

²¹ Available on the Hague Conference websiteat < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

possible to download individual articles as required.

22Further information is available via the Hague Conference website at < www.hcch.net > under "Child Abduction Section" then "INCASTAT".

 $^{^{23}}$ Such technical assistance may be provided to judges, Central Authority personnel and / or other professionals involved with the practical operation of the Convention(s).

²⁴Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the Permanent Bureau or, alternatively, may involve the Permanent Bureau organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences.

- h. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining their contact details updated on the HCCH website;

 N/A
- Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges N/A

Other

- 14.2 What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
 - a. To improve the monitoring of the operation of the Conventions; $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$
 - To assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and N/A
 - To evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred?
 N/A

PART VII: PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE SPECIAL COMMISSION AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

15. Views on priorities and recommendations for the Special Commission

15.1 Which matters does your State think ought to be accorded particular priority on the agenda for the Special Commission? Please provide a brief explanation supporting your response.

N/A

15.2 States are invited to make proposals concerning any particular recommendations they think ought to be made by the Special Commission.

N/A

16. Any other matters

16.1 States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

N/A