COUNTRY PROFILE

TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY VIDEO-LINK UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 18 MARCH 1970 ON THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE ABROAD IN CIVIL OR COMMERCIAL MATTERS

STATE NAME: FINLAND

PROFILE UPDATED ON (DATE): May 2017

PART I: STATE

1. Contact details The contact details provided in this section will be published on the Hague Conference website		
CHAPTER I (LETTERS OF REQUEST)		
As with any other Letter of Request under Chapter I of the Evidence Convention, the requesting authority should contact the Central Authority(ies) of the requested State when seeking to obtain evidence by means of a Letter of Request, whether using video-link or not.		
a) Are the contact details of the Central Authority(ies) designated by YOUR STATE upto-date on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website?	 ☐ Yes. ☑ No. Please provide the contact details on a separate Word or PDF document for uploading on the Evidence Section of the Hague Conference website. 	
b) Would Your State be in favour of specifying a person or department within the Central Authority(ies) who would assist in processing Letters of Request where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	Yes. If Your State has already done so, please specify the contact details: Ms Maija Leppä Legal Adviser +358-29-51 50 301 central.authority(at)om.fi	
	☐ No. Please explain why: Comments:	
c) What arrangements are there for ensuring that there is a contact person with whom the requesting authority can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities (e.g. is there a hooking system)?	The Finnish Central Authority asks both the requesting and the requested court to name a contact person who can be contacted in the matter and who can then liaise directly.	

CHAPTER II (TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS)

Permission by a designated authority may be required to apply certain provisions under Chapter II. To know if such a permission is required for a particular State, see the practical information chart (accessible from the <u>Authorities</u> page) AND / OR the declarations (accessible from the <u>Status Table</u> page) of the relevant State available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.

If permission is not required, applicants should contact the diplomatic and consular mission (Arts 15/16) or the commissioner (Art. 17) to explore whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

If permission is required, applicants should contact the authority that was designated to grant permission AND the relevant diplomatic and consular mission or commissioner, to explore, where necessary, whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

d) Would Your State be in favour of specifying an entity or authority, in addition to the relevant authority / diplomatic or consular agent / commissioner, that would assist in processing applications where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	Yes. If Your State has already done so, please specify the contact details:
	No. Please explain why:
	There is no prior approval needed by the Finnish authorities. The Finnish authorities are not involved in these proceedings.
	Community
	Comments:

PART II: RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND COURT SYSTEM

Legal basis	
a) Does Your State, in the application of Article 27 (<i>i.e.</i> internal law or practice), allow for a foreign Court to directly take evidence by video-link?	✓ Yes. Please specify:☐ No. Please specify: Comments:
 b) Please indicate the legal basis or applicable protocols (<i>i.e.</i>, relevant laws, regulations, practice, etc.) for the use of video-links in the taking of evidence in Your State, either under the Convention or independent of the Convention (see, <i>e.g.</i> Art. 27 (b) and (c)): Please also attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French. c) Does Your State have any agreements with other Contracting States that derogate from the Convention when taking evidence by video-link (see Art. 28 and Art. 32)? 	In the Finnish legislation, there are no specific rules on the use of video-links in taking of evidence in the cross-border civil matters. The rules on the judicial assistance in the cross-border taking of evidence are included in the Act on international judicial assistance and on the recognition and enforcement of judgments in the area of civil and commercial law, 426/2015 (Laki kansainvälisestä oikeusavusta sekä tuomioiden tunnustamisesta ja täytäntöönpanosta siviili- ja kauppaoikeuden alalla, 426/2015). The national rules on the taking of evidence, including the use of video-links, are included in the Code of Judicial Procedure,4/1734, (Oikeudenkäymiskaari, 4/1734). Yes. Please attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French:
	Council Regulation (EC) No 1206/2001 of 28 May 2001 on cooperation between the courts of the Member States in the taking of evidence in civil or commercial matters. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32001R1206 No. Comments:
Court system	
d) Please indicate which courts permit, or have the facilities for, the taking of evidence by video-link. If possible, indicate where	✓ All courts.✓ All courts of a specific type / level.Please specify:

relevant information on videoconferencing facilities in courts can be found online:	☐ Only specific courts.Please specify which courts, or provide a link to/attach a full list:☐ None.
	Comments:

PART III: TECHNICAL AND SECURITY ASPECTS (APPLICABLE TO BOTH CHAPTERS)

a) Does Your State use licensed software (which ensures support for technical and security matters) for the taking of evidence by videolink?	
	Comments: Can be used via videoconferencing
b) What are the specifications of the video-link technology in use in Your State, including, if any, the minimum standards or mechanisms used to secure the communications and any recordings made? States are encouraged to provide as much	Codec (<i>i.e.</i> , manufacturer, model, transmission speed, bandwidth): Polycom, varies, 768 kbps Video and audio standards (<i>e.g.</i> Standard Definition, High Definition, etc.): H. 323 Type of network (<i>e.g.</i> , ISDN, IP, etc.):
information as possible when responding to this question. As such, it may be useful to consider liaising with the relevant IT experts.	Type of network (e.g., 155K, 17, etc.): IP Type of encryption for signals in secure transmissions: AES Split screen capability: Yes Document cameras: Can be used Multipoint connections: Yes Additional specifications or capabilities:
	Protocols or other practices: SIP Comments:
c) Can evidence be taken via commercial providers (e.g., Skype TM)?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: Video content ☐ No. Comments: A judge may allow the use of skype if that is the only technical possibility to organize the hearing.
d) Does Your State have a procedure for testing connections and the quality of transmissions before the hearing?	 ☐ Yes. Please specify: Video test call ☐ No. Comments: The testing will usually be done a day or two before the hearing.
e) Does Your State have any requirements as to the hearing room, e.g., should be located in a court, should have a camera view of the whole room or a view of all the parties, etc.?	

Comments:
In the courtroom there are two cameras; the whole room viewing and also a view of all the parties.

PART IV: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER BOTH CHAPTERS – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Restrictions	
a) Must a court order directing the use of videolinks first be obtained from the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II)?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:
b) Are there any restrictions on what type/s of evidence can be taken by video-link or how it is to be taken?	☐ Yes. Please specify: No. Comments:
c) Are there any specific restrictions on how evidence gathered via video-link can be handled and distributed, or do the usual rules for evidence obtained in person apply?	 ☐ Yes, there are specific restrictions. Please specify: ☑ No, the normal rules for evidence apply. Comments:
d) Are there any restrictions on the type of person who may be examined by video-link?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:
e) Is it necessary to seek the consent of the parties to use video-link to take evidence?	 Yes. Please specify the conditions under which parties may refuse the use of video-link: No. Comments:
f) Are there any restrictions on the location where the person should be examined (e.g. in a courtroom, on the premises of an Embassy or diplomatic mission)?	☐ Yes. Please specify: No. Comments: In Finland, the video hearings are organized in the courts.

PART IV - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (BOTH CHAPTERS)

g) Can a witness / expert be compelled to use video-links to give evidence?	☐ Yes.If so, please specify what coercive measures may be used:☐ No.Please explain:
	Comments:
h) Please briefly outline the procedure/s, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for actually notifying or summoning the witness / expert to give evidence by video-link, including any references to relevant laws, regulations or practice. Please also include, where applicable, the differences between notifying or summoning a willing witness / expert and notifying or summoning a witness / expert that is to be compelled.	Chapter I: Usually, the Finnish court sends an invitation to the witness to give evidence in the court. The national rules on summoning the witnesses are regulated in Chapter 17 of the Code of the Judicial Procedure. Chapter II: - Comments:
i) The law of which State governs the use of privileges?	Chapter I:
	The law of the Requesting State.
Please tick all that apply.	☐ The law of the Requested State.☐ The law of another State.
See Articles 11 and 21(e) of the Convention	Please specify:
	Chapter II: ☐ The law of the State of Origin. ☐ The law of the State of Execution. ☐ The law of another State. Please specify: Comments:

PART V: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER $\underline{\text{CHAPTER I}}$ (LETTERS OF REQUEST) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Legal obstacles	
a) Does Your State consider that there are legal obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention?	☐ Yes.Please specify:☒ No.
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (see C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments:
Direct and indirect taking of evidence	
b) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does Your STATE allow for the direct taking of evidence by judicial personnel of the <i>requesting</i> State (<i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	✓ Yes.☐ No. Comments:
c) Under which provisions of Chapter I of the Convention is indirect taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	 ✓ Art. 9(1) – The judicial authority of the requested State obtains evidence (e.g., a witness / expert examination) which is located in a (distant) location within its own State. ✓ Art. 9(2) - As a special method or procedure. Please also outline whether any specific conditions must be satisfied: See also questions on presence. Comments:
Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
d) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in Your STATE when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter I (e.g. protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	Chapter 17, Section 51 of the Finnish Code of Judicial Procedure (4/1734) provides for the following safeguards which however can be used under rare circumstances only:
	"A party being examined as a witness, a witness or as an expert witness may be examined in the main hearing behind a screen or without the presence of a party or other person, if the court deems that this is appropriate and that such a procedure is necessary: (1) in order to protect the person being heard or a person related to him or her in the manner referred to in section 17,

PART V - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER I)

	subsection 1 from a threat against life or health;
	(2) if the person being heard would otherwise not reveal what he or she knows in the matter; or
	(3) if a person disturbs or attempts to mislead the person being heard while the latter is speaking.
	(2) The parties shall be reserved an opportunity to present questions to the person being heard.
	(3) The Act on the Publicity of Court Proceedings in General Courts contains provisions on the hearing of a person without the presence of the public."
Presence	
e) Are the rules for the presence of the parties and their representatives when physically in a single location the same for when evidence is taken via video-link?	Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate:
See Article 7 of the Convention	□ No.
	Comments:
f) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does Your	⊠ Yes.
STATE allow for the cross-examination of a witness / expert by video-link by the	□ No.
representatives located in the <i>requesting</i> State (<i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	Comments:
g) Does Your State allow for the presence of the judicial personnel of the requesting State via video-link?	Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate:
See Article 8 of the Convention Please note that a declaration may be made under	□ No.
this provision.	
	Comments:

PART VI: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER II</u> (BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The questions in this Part are only for States that have not wholly excluded the application of Chapter II	
Please note that Chapter II may be subject to a reservation in whole or in part under Article 33. Check the reservations that Your State has made under this Chapter in the status table, available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.	
Legal obstacles and legal framework	
a) Does Your State consider there to be any legal obstacles to the taking of evidence by video-link under Chapter II of the Convention?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No.
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments: There is no prior approval needed by the Finnish authorities regarding requests under Chapter II and the Finnish authorities are not involved in these proceedings. Therefore, the answers given to questions related to Chapter II are of general nature only.
b) Under which provisions of Chapter II of the Convention is taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	☑ Art. 15☑ Art. 16☑ Art. 17
	Comments:
c) Is prior permission from Your State required when taking evidence under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of Your State?	 Yes. Please outline the procedure for seeking such permission, including any specific conditions that must be satisfied: ✓ No.
	Comments:
d) Please indicate who administers the oath or affirmation and how perjury and contempt are dealt with when evidence is taken under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory	Administration of the oath or affirmation: The state of origin
of Your State.	Dealing with perjury and contempt: The state of origin
Direct and indirect taking of evidence	
e) Diplomatic and consular agents are usually located in the State where the witness / expert resides. It may be, however, that a witness / expert is located in a neighbouring country or in a place distant from the Embassy or Consulate. In these circumstances, does YOUR STATE consider it	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: Yes if the witness is located in a place distant from the Embassy or Consulate, but in the requested state. ✓ No.

PART VI - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

possible to use video-link to obtain evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	Comments: No if the witness is located in another state (in a neighboring country) than the requested state.
Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
f) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in Your State when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II (e.g. protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	These are governed by the law of the requesting state.
Presence	
g) Under the law of YOUR STATE, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by diplomatic and consular agents ? Please tick all that apply.	☐ The parties. ☐ The parties' representatives. ☐ Judicial personnel. ☐ Someone else. Please specify:
	Comments: These are governed by the law of the requesting state.
h) Under the law of Your State, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by commissioners ? Please tick all that apply.	 ☐ The parties. ☐ The parties' representatives. ☐ Judicial personnel. ☐ Someone else.
	Please specify: Comments: These are governed by the law of the requesting state.
Applicable law	
i) The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II?	☐ The law of the State of Origin ☐ The law of the State of Execution ☐ It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:
	Comments:
j) The law of which State governs perjury and contempt when evidence is taken by videolink under Chapter II?	☐ The law of the State of Origin ☐ The law of the State of Execution ☐ It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify: Comments:

PART VI - LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

PART VII PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

COMMON TO BOTH CHAPTERS	
Notice	
a) What does YOUR STATE consider to be the minimum amount of time required between the request and the actual hearing in order to make the arrangements to take evidence by video-link?	Chapter I: two months Chapter II: -
Interpretation services	
b) Who is responsible, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for the use of interpretation services and who arranges these services in YOUR STATE when video-link is used?	Chapter I: The courts need to decide this on a case by case basis. Chapter II: -
c) Are professional accredited interpreters required in YOUR STATE, and where can relevant contact details be found?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☑ No. Comments:
d) Under the law of YOUR STATE, is interpretation to be <i>simultaneous</i> or <i>consecutive</i> when a witness / expert is examined via video-link?	Usually, consecutive interpretation is used.
e) Where may the interpreter be located when a witness / expert is examined via video-link? Please check all that apply.	 ☑ In the room with the witness / expert. ☑ In the room with those conducting the examination. ☐ Elsewhere in the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II). ☐ Elsewhere in the requested State (Chapter I) / State of Execution (Chapter II). ☐ In a third State. ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments: There are no rules as to where the interpreter should be located. Normally, the interpreter is in the requesting or requested court.
Reporting and recording	
f) Is a written report of the video-link hearing or testimony prepared?	 Yes. Please specify by whom: Please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the report: No. Comments:

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (BOTH CHAPTERS)

g) Are facilities and equipment made available in order to record the hearing or testimony?	 Yes, with audio and video. Yes, only with video. Yes, only with audio. No, but the recording of hearings/testimonies is permitted. If a recording is produced, please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the recording:
	No, because the recording of hearings/testimonies is not permitted under internal law.
	Comments:
Documents and exhibits	
h) What arrangements are to be made for showing or referring to documents or exhibits when taking evidence by video-link?	The documents can e.g. be scanned and sent by email to the court where the witness is and then be shown to the witness.

Practical obstacles i) Does Your State consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention? Yes. Please specify: No.	
practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under \bowtie No.	
Comments:	
Identification of all relevant actors	
j) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in YOUR STATE when video-link is used under Chapter I? The court personnel will usually verify the identity of the parties/witness/expert at the beginning of the hearing.	
Standard Forms	
k) Do the authorities of Your State use a standardised request form under Chapter I that makes specific reference to the use of video-links? The use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I. Yes. Please specify: The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link. No standardised form is used.	
While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form. Comments: Comments:	
I) Does Your State require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the requesting State in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness / expert examination by video-link under Chapter I? (e.g. contact details for IT support, technical specifications, etc) Yes. Please specify: - Full contact details of the person in charge of organizing the hearing and for testing the connection and who can be contacted during the hearing - Necessary technical specifications In Finland, there is a form in use for completing the necessary technical information. No. Comments:	he
Costs	
m) Are there any costs associated with the taking Yes.	
of evidence via video-link under Chapter I in YOUR STATE? Please provide an approximate estimate these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs: No. Comments:	e of
See the answer to question n.	

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER I)

☐ The requesting authority (in the requesting State). ☐ The requested authority (in the requested State). ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments: The judicial assistance by the Finnish courts is generally free of charge for the foreign requesting court. The Finnish court will not charge for organizing the videolink as such, but the court may ask the requesting court to arrage for the
According to the Act on international judicial assistance and on the recognition and enforcement of judgments in the area of civil and commercial law (426/2015), a person who is obliged to appear or to fullfil a task in a Finnish court based on a request for judicial assistance from a foreign court, can ask the parties to compensate the costs occurred in relation to the request. The court executing the request must record the request for a compensation of the costs and forward it to the requesting court.
☐ Payment in cash ☐ Payment by (credit) card ☐ Electronic/wire transfer ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:
If the interpretation is organized by the requested Finnish court and the interpreter is present in the Finnish court, the Finnish court generally pays for the interpretation. The Finnish court may ask the requesting court to arrange for the interpretation.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER II		
Only for States that have not excluded in whole the application of Chapter II		
Practical obstacles		
q) Does Your State consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	 ☐ Yes. Please specify: No. Comments: Finnish authorities are not involved in these 	
The philippe of all value and a shows	proceedings.	
Identification of all relevant actors		
r) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your State when video-link is used under Chapter II?		
Standard Forms		
s) Do the authorities of Your State use a standardised request form under Chapter II that makes specific reference to the use of video-links?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☐ The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link.	
Although the use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I, it may also be used, with the necessary amendments when applying for permission to take evidence under Chapter II. While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form.	☐ No standardised form is used. Comments:	
Assistance and facilities		
t) Are the Embassies and Consulates of Your State (acting as the State of Execution) able to assist applicants in arranging a video-link?	Yes. Please specify how, e.g., via a booking system: No. Please specify who else would assist, if anyone:	
	Comments:	
u) Is it possible to hold a video-link session requested under the Convention at the premises of the Embassies or Consulates of Your State abroad?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☐ No. Comments:	
v) Does Your State require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the State of Origin in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness or expert examination by video-link under Chapter II? (e.g. the use of interpreters, stenographers, or recording devices)	☐ Yes. Please specify: ☐ No. Comments:	

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

Costs		
w) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter II in YOUR STATE?	Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs:	
	□ No.	
	Comments:	
x) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter II in Your State?	 □ The moving party (requesting the use of video-link). □ The State of Origin □ The Diplomatic mission or Consulate in the State of Execution. □ The commissioner □ Other. Please specify: Comments: 	
y) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?	☐ Payment in cash ☐ Payment by (credit) card ☐ Electronic/wire transfer ☐ Other. Please specify: Comments:	
z) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter II in YOUR STATE when videolink is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?		