## Questionnaire concerning the Practical Operation of the 1980 Child Abduction Convention

Wherever responses to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

| Name of State or territorial unit:1 | ECUADOR |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
| For follow-up purposes              |         |
| Name of contact person:             |         |
| Name of Authority / Office:         |         |
| Telephone number:                   |         |
| E-mail address:                     |         |
| Date:                               |         |

#### PART I – PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

## Recent developments in your State<sup>2</sup>

| 1. | legis | e the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the slation or procedural rules applicable in cases of international child abduction? Where possible se state the reason for the development and the results achieved in practice. |
|----|-------|--|
|    |       | No<br>Yes<br>Please specify:<br>Please insert text here  |

- 2. Following the Covid-19 pandemic,<sup>3</sup> have there been any **improvements** that have remained in your State in the following areas, in particular in relation to the **use of information technology**, as a result of newly adopted procedures or practices applicable to child abduction cases? In each case, please describe the tools, guidelines or protocols put in place.
  - a) Methods for accepting and processing return and access applications and their accompanying documentation;

Please insert text here

b) Participation of the parties and the child (e.g., appearance in court proceedings, mediation);

Trial hearings, through videoconference.

- c) Promoting mediation and other forms of amicable resolution; Please insert text here
- d) Making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access, including while pending return proceedings;

The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction which have occurred in your State since the Seventh Meeting of the Special Commission (SC) to review the operation of the 1980 Abduction Convention and the 1996 Child Protection Convention (held from 10 to 17 October 2017) ("2017 SC").

This question aims to gather information about good practices that were developed in those exceptional circumstances and that will continue to be applied regardless of the pandemic.

Please insert text here

e) Obtaining evidence by electronic means;

Please insert text here

f) Ensuring the safe return of the child;

Please insert text here

g) Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities;

Please insert text here

h) Providing information and guidance for parties involved in child abduction cases; Please insert text here

i) Other, please specify.

Please insert text here

3. Please provide the three most significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2017 SC by the relevant authorities<sup>4</sup> in your State.

| Case Name               | Court Name              | Court Level             | Brief summary of the ruling |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Please insert text here     |
| Please insert text here     |
| Please insert text here     |

4. Please provide a brief summary of **any other significant developments** in your State since the 2017 SC.

Please insert text here

## Issues of compliance

Yes

Please specify: Please insert text here

| 5. | Has your State faced any particular <b>challenges with other Contracting Parties</b> to the 1980 Convention in achieving successful cooperation? Please specify the challenges that were encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic. |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
|    | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify the challenges encountered:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| 6. | Are you aware of situations or circumstances in which there has been <b>avoidance or improper application</b> of the 1980 Convention as a whole or any of its provisions in particular?  |  |  |  |
|    | No   |  |  |  |

The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of Contracting Parties such "authorities" will be courts (i.e., judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

7.

## Addressing delays and ensuring expeditious procedures

The 2017 SC encouraged States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / other alternative dispute resolution - "ADR" phases)<sup>5</sup> in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention. Please indicate any identified sources of delay at the following phases: **Central Authority** No Yes Procedure not yet revised If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here Judicial proceedings No Yes Procedure not yet revised If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here **Enforcement** No Yes Procedure not yet revised If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: Please insert text here Mediation / ADR No Yes Procedure not yet revised If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to

## **Court proceedings and promptness**

address the delays: Please insert text here

See C&R No 4 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission acknowledges that some States have made progress in reducing delays and encourages States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / ADR phases) in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention."

| 8.    | Does your State have mechanisms in place to deal with return decisions within six weeks (e.g production of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?   | ς<br>,- , |
|-------|---|-----------|
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>   |           |
| 9.    | If the response to question 8 above is "No", does your State contemplate implementing mechanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g. procedures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?  |           |
|       | <ul> <li>No         Please specify:         Please insert text here</li> <li>✓ Yes         Please specify:         A reform to the Law for Children Protection will be presented to the National Assembly in April 2023 to include regulations for a proper implementation of the Convention.</li> </ul>  |           |
| 10.   | Do the courts in your State make use of direct judicial communications <sup>6</sup> to ensure promproceedings?  | ot        |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>   |           |
| 11.   | If your State has not designated a judge to the International Hague Network of Judges (IHNJ) doe your State intend to do so in the near future?   | S         |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>It is expected the Judicial Council to designated the judge.</li> </ul>  |           |
| 12.   | Please comment upon any cases (where your State was the requested State) in which the judg (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judg or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?   | ge        |
| The r | ole and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention   |           |
| In ge | neral Company of the |           |
| 13.   | Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in <b>Article 7</b> of the 1980 Convention, raise any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which you State has cooperated?  |           |
|       | No No   |           |

For reference, see "Direct Judicial Communications - Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges".

|       | Yes Please specify: Please insert text here  |
|-------|--|
| 14.   | Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of <b>any of the 1980 Convention provisions?</b> If so, please specify.   |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>  |
| Lega  | l aid and representation   |
| 15.   | Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (Art. 7(2)(g)) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States that were dealt with? |
|       | No Yes Please specify: Please insert text here   |
| 16.   | Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States your Central Authority has dealt with, regarding the <b>obtaining of legal aid</b> advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents?   |
|       | No  Yes Please specify: Please insert text here  |
| Loca  | ting the child   |
| 17.   | Has your Central Authority encountered any <b>challenges with locating children</b> in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?   |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges:</li> <li>Little information provided y the petitioner for the location of the children.</li> </ul>   |
| Volur | ntary agreements and bringing about an amicable resolution of the issues   |

18. How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is considering taking, appropriate steps under **Article 7(c)** to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:

See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the C&R of the Fifth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of the 1980 Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention (30 October – 9 November 2006) (2006 SC C&R) and paras 32 to 34 of the C&R of the Sixth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of 1980 and 1996 Conventions (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (2012 SC C&R), available on the HCCH website at <a href="www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings".

Within the trial hearing, a conciliation stage is established.

| 19.  | In the case that your Central Authority offers mediation services, or other alternative dispute resolution methods to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues, has your Central Authority reviewed these procedures in the light of the framework of international child abduction cases (e.g. by providing trained, specialised mediators, including with cross-cultural competence and necessary language skills <sup>8</sup> )? |
|------|---|
|      | Please specify:<br>No   |
| 20.  | Should the services mentioned in the question above not yet be provided, does your Centra Authority intend to provide them in the future?   |
|      | Please provide comments:<br>No  |
| 21.  | Has your State considered, or is it in the process of considering, the establishment of a central service for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children?9   |
|      | <ul> <li>No     Please explain:     There is already a conciliation stage.</li> <li>     Yes     Please explain:     Please insert text here   </li> </ul>  |
| Ensu | ring the safe return of children <sup>10</sup>  |
| 22.  | How does the competent authority in your State obtain information about the protective measures available in the requesting State when necessary to ensure the safe return of the child?  |
|      | Please explain:<br>Through a request to Central Authority.  |
| 23.  | If requested as a safe return measure (e.g., in accordance with the 1996 Convention), would you Central Authority be in a position to provide, either directly or through intermediaries, a report or the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return?   |
|      | ☐ No ☐ Yes Please specify:  |
|      | Central Authority request information to the institution that is implementing the measure.  |

For reference, please see the recommendation in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, item 3.2, paras 98-105, "Specific training for mediation in international child abduction cases", available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". paras 114-117. See also 2011 / 2012 SC C&R at para. 61. See Art. 7(2)(h) of the 1980 Convention.

<sup>10</sup> 

## Information exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities

| 24.   | orgai  | your Central Authority shared experiences with other Central Authority(ies), for example by nising or participating in any networking initiatives such as regional meetings of Central prities, either in person or online? 11 |
|-------|--------|--|
|       |        | No<br>Yes<br>Please specify:   |
|       |        | With the US, work tables are held.   |
| Case  | mana   | agement and collection of statistical data on applications made under the Convention   |
| 25.   |        | your Central Authority developed any protocols or internal guidelines for the processing of ming and outgoing cases?   |
|       |        | No   |
|       |        | Yes Please specify and share the relevant instruments whenever possible: Internal protocol for handling the International Restitution process.   |
| 26.   |        | your Central Authority operate a case management system for processing and tracking ming and outgoing cases?   |
|       |        | No<br>Yes<br>Please specify:   |
| 27.   |        | s your State collect statistical data on the number of applications made per year under the D Convention (e.g., number of incoming and $/$ or outgoing cases)? <sup>12</sup>   |
|       |        | No   |
|       |        | Yes In case this information is publicly made available, please share the links to the statistical reports: Please insert text here  |
| Trans | sfront | cier access / contact <sup>13</sup>  |
| 28.   | Auth   | e the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central ority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier ss / contact?                      |
|       |        | No<br>Yes  |
|       |        | Please specify: Please insert text here  |

See, in particular, Chapter 6.5, on twinning arrangements, of the Guide to Good Practice – Part I – Central Authority Practice, available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> (see path indicated in note 8).

In the Country Profile for the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, question No 23(e), States are asked to inform whether statistics related to applications under the Convention are publicly available. Please note that, at its meeting of 2021, according to Conclusion & Decision (C&D) No 19, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) mandated the discontinuance of INCASTAT.

see C&R Nos 18-20 of the 2017 SC.

|  | uthority encountered any problems as regards cooperation with other States in nts for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact?   |
|--|--|
| <ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Please specification</li><li>Please insertion</li></ul> | <del>-</del>   |
| organising or secur  | ad any challenges, or have questions arisen, in making arrangements for ring the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under <b>Article 21</b> when the t linked to an international child abduction situation? <sup>14</sup> |
| No Yes Please specifications   |  |
| provided by your C   | ess / contact applications under <b>Article 21</b> , which of the following <b>services</b> are <b>entral Authority</b> ?  |
| Position   | Services provided  |
| A request of assistance to   | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ 1. Assistance in obtaining information on the operation of the 1980  |
| organise or secure   | Convention   |
| effective exercise of  | $\boxtimes$ 2. Assistance in obtaining information on the relevant laws and procedures in  |
| rights of access in  | the requested State  |
| another Contracting Party  | $\boxtimes$ 3. Establishment of contact with the Central Authority and $/$ or the competent  |
| (as requesting State)  | authorities in the requested State to find out the kind of assistance such   |
|  | authorities could provide  |
|  |  |
|  | authorities in the requested State   |
|  |  |
|  | making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of   |
|  | rights of access   |
|  | <ul> <li>☐ 6. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice</li> <li>☐ 7. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services, where</li> </ul>  |
|  | needed in the requested State  |
|  | 8. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations  |
|  | for assistance   |
|  | 9. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application   |
|  | 10. Other, please specify:   |
|  | Please insert text here  |
| A request of assistance to   | 1. Providing information on the operation of the 1980 Convention and / or the  |
| organise or secure   | relevant laws and procedures in your State   |
| effective exercise of  | 2. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to  |
| rights of access in your   | making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of   |
| State (as requested  | rights of access   |
| State)   | ☐ 3. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice   |
| ,  | 4. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services   |
|  | available in your State  |
|  | 5. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations  |
|  | for assistance   |
|  | ☐ 6. Regular updates on the progress of the application  |
|  | 7. Other, please specify:  |

According to C&R No 18 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission agrees that an application to make arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 can be presented to Central Authorities, independently of being linked or not, to an international child abduction situation."

|       | F   | Please insert text here  |
|-------|---|--|
| 32.   | being made of provis  | so be a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, are you aware of any use ions of the 1996 Convention, including those under Chapter V, in lieu of or in pplication under Article 21 of the 1980 Convention?  |
|       | <ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Please specify:</li><li>Please insert te</li></ul> | ext here   |
| Spec  | cial topics   |  |
| Obta  | ining the views of a ch   | ild in a child abduction case  |
| 33.   | what are the element<br>judge, guardian <i>ad li</i>                              | views of a child in a child abduction proceeding in your State's jurisdiction, is normally observed and reported by the person hearing the child (e.g., expert, tem? (E.g., the views of the child on the procedures, the views of the child on the maturity of the child, any perceived parental influence on the child's |
|       | Please explain:   |  |
|       | The views of the chil and previous enviro   | d on the new and previous location; on the relationship with the current nment.  |
| 34.   |   | ocedures, guidelines or principles available in your State to guide the person pardian ad litem) in seeking the views of the child in a child abduction case?  |
|       | <ul><li>No</li><li>Yes</li><li>Please specify:</li><li>Please insert te</li></ul> | ext here   |
| Artic | le <b>15</b>  |  |
| 35.   |   | outgoing applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in equests for Article 15 decisions or determinations?   |
|       | ☐ Do not know ☐ Never ☐ Rarely ☐ Sometimes ☐ Very often ☐ Always                  |  |
| 36.   |   | ncoming applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in Article 15 decisions or determinations?  |
|       | ☐ Do not know ☐ Never ☐ Rarely ☐ Sometimes ☐ Very often ☐ Always                  |  |

| 37.   | Please indicate any good practices your State has developed to provide as complete as possible information in the return applications as required under Article 8 with a view to speed up proceedings?  |
|-------|---|
|       | Please indicate:  |
| 38.   | Considering C&R No 7 of the 2017 SC, <sup>15</sup> what information do you suggest adding to the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention, either as requested State or requesting State in relation to Article 15?  |
|       | Please insert your suggestions: none  |
| Rela  | tionship with other international instruments on human rights   |
| 39.   | Has your State faced any challenges, or have questions arisen, in processing international child abduction cases where there was a <b>parallel refugee claim</b> lodged by the taking parent?   |
|       | No No   |
|       | <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>If possible, please share any relevant case law or materials that are relevant to this type of situation in your State or, alternatively, a summary of the situation in your State: Please insert text here</li> <li>□ Do not know</li> </ul> |
| 40.   | Has the concept of the <b>best interest of the child</b> generated discussions in your State in relation to child abduction proceedings? If it is the case, please comment on any relevant challenges in relation to such discussions.                              |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please provide comments:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>  |
| Use o | of the 1996 Convention <sup>16</sup>  |
| 41.   | If your State is <u>not</u> Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention (please comment where applicable below):   |
|       | (a) providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders ( <b>Arts 7 and 11</b> ) Please insert text here  |
|       | (b) providing for the recognition of urgent protective measures by operation of law ( <b>Art. 23</b> ) Please insert text here  |
|       | (c) providing for the advance recognition of urgent protective measures ( <b>Art. 24</b> ) Please insert text here  |
|       | (d) communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (Art. 34)   |

See C&R No 7: "The Special Commission recommends amending the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention to include more detailed information on the Article 15 procedure. It is further recommended that an Information Document on the use of Article 15 be considered with, if necessary, the assistance of a small Working Group."

For this part of the Questionnaire, the <u>Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention</u> can provide helpful guidance, available on the HCCH website at under "Child Protection Section".

#### Please insert text here

(e) making use of other relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32)

Please insert text here

|      | Tiedde indert text here  |
|------|--|
| 42.  | If your State <u>is</u> a Party to the 1996 Convention, does your State make use of the relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) to provide, if requested, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? <sup>17</sup>                                       |
|      | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Central Authority provides information on the status of children by reports done by specialists of the Ministry of Women and Human Rights.</li> </ul>   |
| Prim | ary carer and protective measures  |
| 43.  | Are you aware of any cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, coercive control, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State? |
|      | Please explain and provide case examples where possible: No  |
| 44.  | Would the authorities of your State consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State if they were requested as a means to secure the safe return of the child?  |
|      | Please explain and provide case examples where possible:<br>Yes, if it is proven that those measures are critical to secure the safe return.   |
| 45.  | In cases where the return order was issued together with a protective measure to be implemented upon return, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such protective measures?   |
|      | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please explain and distinguish between such measures being recognised and enforced under the 1996 Convention:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>  |
| 46.  | In cases where the return order was issued together with an undertaking given by either party to the competent authority of the requested State, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such undertakings?  |
|      | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>  |

See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain degrees of assistance (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply), both to individuals within their own State and to foreign Central Authorities on behalf of an individual residing abroad. Requests for assistance may encompass such matters as: securing rights of access; the return of children (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply); the protection of runaway children; reporting on the situation of a child residing abroad; post-return reports for children returned to their habitual residence; the recognition or non-recognition of a measure taken abroad (advanced recognition); and, the enforceability of a foreign measure of protection." (Emphasis added.)

| 47.   | If your State is a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, is Article 23 of that Convention being used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention?  |
|-------|--|
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>   |
| 48.   | In cases where measures are ordered in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return?   |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please specify:</li> <li>Please insert text here</li> </ul>  |
| Inter | national family relocation <sup>18</sup>   |
| 49.   | Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation?  |
|       | <ul> <li>Yes         Please describe such procedures, if possible:         Please insert text here         </li> <li>No         Please describe how the authorities deal with international family relocation cases, if possible:     </li> </ul>  |
|       | It is done through institutions that handle similar issues.  |
| Publi | icity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention  |
| 50.   | Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?  |
|       | <ul> <li>No</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Please indicate the outcome of this debate or discussion, if any:</li> <li>Currently, there are inter-institutional roundtables to improve the International Restitution process, that includes institutions form the executive branch and the judiciary.</li> </ul> |
| 51.   | By what methods does your State disseminate information to the public and raise awareness about the 1980 Convention?   |
|       | Please explain:  |
|       |  |

See the C&R of the 2006 SC at paras 1.7.4-1.7.5, C&R No 84 of the 2012 SC, and C&R No 21 of the 2017 SC, the latter of which says: "The Special Commission recalls the importance of securing effective access to procedures to the parties in international family relocation cases. In this regard, the Special Commission notes that: i) mediation services may assist the parties to solve these cases or prepare for outcomes; ii) the Washington Declaration of 25 March 2010 on Cross-border Family Relocation may be of interest to competent authorities, in particular in the absence of domestic rules on this matter. The Special Commission recommends joining the 1996 Convention."

## PART II - TRAINING, EDUCATION AND POST-CONVENTION SERVICES

## Training and education

52. Please provide below details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had:

Please provide details:

SEMINAR ON THE INTERNATIONAL RETURN OF CHILDREN on October 2022.

## The tools, services and support provided by the PB

- 53. Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the PB to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including:
  - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section, including the addition and / or revision of its questions.

#### no comment

b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at www.incadat.com).

#### no comment

c. The Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection - the HCCH publication which is available online for free;<sup>20</sup>

#### no comment

d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website (www.hcch.net);

### no comment

e. Providing technical assistance and training to Contracting Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB (including through its Regional Offices) organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;

#### no comment

f. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s); $^{21}$ 

#### no comment

Available on the HCCH website at under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions and participating in such conferences.

g. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

The intervention must be more rigorous, so that the central authorities expedite the communication of cases.

h. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

no comment

 Responding to specific questions raised by Central Authorities, Hague Network Judges or other operators regarding the practical operation or interpretation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions.

no comment

#### **Guides to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention**

- 54. For any of the Guides to Good Practice<sup>22</sup> which you may have used to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State please provide comments below:
  - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice.

no comment

b. Part II on Implementing Measures.

no comment

c. Part III on Preventive Measures.

no comment

d. Part IV on Enforcement.

no comment

e. Part V on Mediation

no comment

f. Part VI on Article 13(1)(b)

no comment

- g. Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children General Principles and Guide to Good Practice no comment
- 55. How has your Central Authority ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to the Guides to Good Practice?

All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

Central Authority Ecuador, provides the information corresponding to the International Restitution process to all institutions.

56. Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

no comment

57. In what ways have you used the *Practitioner's Tool: Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Agreements Reached in the Course of Family Matters Involving Children*<sup>23</sup> to assist in improving the practical operation of the 1980 Convention in your State?

Has not been used.

#### Other

- 58. What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
  - a. to improve the monitoring of the operation of the 1980 Convention; Report of annual or monthly activities to the Central authorities
  - b. to assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and Training to staff of central authorities.
  - c. to evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred? Report of annual or monthly activities to the Central authorities

The *Practitioner's Tool* is available at the HCCH website at <u>www.hcch.net</u> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

## **PART III - NON-CONVENTION STATES**

59. Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a Contracting Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States?

Please explain:

no comment

60. Are there any States which are not Party to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the HCCH that you would like to see invited to the SC meeting in 2023?

Please indicate:

no comment

#### The "Malta Process"24

61. Do you have any suggestions of activities and projects that could be discussed in the context of the "Malta Process" and, in particular, in the event of a possible Fifth Malta Conference?

Please explain:

Pno comment

The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain Contracting Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the HCCH website at <a href="https://www.hcch.net">www.hcch.net</a> under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

# PART IV - PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2023 SC AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

## Views on priorities and recommendations for the SC

62. Are there any particular issues that your State would like the SC meeting to discuss in relation to the 1980 Convention?

Please specify and list in order of priority if possible: no comment

63. Are there any proposals your State would like to make concerning any particular recommendation to be made by the SC?

Please specify: no comment

## **Bilateral meetings**

64. Should your State be interested in having bilateral meetings during the SC meeting, please indicate, for the PB's planning purposes, an estimate of how many States with which it intends to meet:

Please insert number: no comment

## **Any other matters**

65. States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise at the 2023 SC meeting concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

Please provide comments: no comment