Questionnaire concerning the Practical Operation of the 1980 Child Abduction Convention

Wherever responses to this Questionnaire make reference to domestic legislation, rules, guidance or case law relating to the practical operation of the 1980 Convention, please provide a copy of the referenced documentation in (a) the original language and, (b) wherever possible, accompanied by a translation into English and / or French.

Name of State or territorial unit:1	Poland
For follow-up purposes	
Name of contact person:	
Name of Authority / Office:	
Telephone number:	
E-mail address:	
Date:	

PART I – PRACTICAL OPERATION OF THE 1980 CONVENTION

Recent developments in your State²

Since the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding the legislation or procedural rules applicable in cases of international child abduction? Where possible, please state the reason for the development and the results achieved in practice.

No

Yes
Please specify:

The Act of 26 January 2018 on the performance of certain activities of the central authority in family matters in the field of legal transactions under European Union law and international agreements

www.gov.pl/web/stopchildabductions/legal-actssert text here

- 2. Following the Covid-19 pandemic,³ have there been any **improvements** that have remained in your State in the following areas, in particular in relation to the **use of information technology**, as a result of newly adopted procedures or practices applicable to child abduction cases? In each case, please describe the tools, guidelines or protocols put in place.
 - Methods for accepting and processing return and access applications and their accompanying documentation;

The PCA accepts applications and documents sent via email. However, any documentation sent electronically is not accepted by the court/administrative authority. This is because the application must be signed personally by the applicant or his attorney. The application must be submitted in original.

b) Participation of the parties and the child (e.g., appearance in court proceedings, mediation); In general, it is at the discretion of the court to decide in this regard.

The term "State" in this Questionnaire includes a territorial unit, where relevant.

This Part of the Questionnaire is intended to deal primarily with the developments in law and practice relating to international child abduction which have occurred in your State since the Seventh Meeting of the Special Commission (SC) to review the operation of the 1980 Abduction Convention and the 1996 Child Protection Convention (held from 10 to 17 October 2017) ("2017 SC").

This question aims to gather information about good practices that were developed in those exceptional circumstances and that will continue to be applied regardless of the pandemic.

Promoting mediation and other forms of amicable resolution;
 The Polish Central Authority (hereinafter as the PCA) promotes mediation as a means of amicable resolution of the litigation.

d) Making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access, including while pending return proceedings;

The PCA may refer the applicant's request for organising contact with the child during the trial to the court. However, it does not take any other action or decision in this regard.

e) Obtaining evidence by electronic means;

The PCA accepts and receives evidence or any documents electronically. However, original documents must be sent to the court.

f) Ensuring the safe return of the child;

In the vast majority of cases, legal proceedings are initiated, although the alleged abduction party may agree to a voluntary return at any stage of the legal proceedings. The PCA takes steps to make both parties aware of the possibility of voluntary return by including information about voluntary return in the referral letter to the alleged abduction party. In addition, a leaflet containing information about mediation is attached to the referral letter.

g) Cooperation between Central Authorities and other authorities;

The PCA has no formal role but provides any kind of assistance required during the proceedings (e.g. notification in accordance with Art. 16 if required, information of the Central Authority of the requesting State and procurement of information from there, if necessary). The PCA prefers to contact with other Central Authorities and other competent authorities via email.

h) Providing information and guidance for parties involved in child abduction cases; The PCA will provide a copy of the application form and may be able to deal with ad hoc queries in relation to completing the form. The Polish Central Authority is not in a position to provide legal advice. The application form can also be downloaded from www.gov.pl/web/stopchildabductions/forms-to-download

i) Other, please specify.

n/a

3. Please provide the three most significant decisions concerning the interpretation and application of the 1980 Convention rendered since the 2017 SC by the relevant authorities⁴ in your State.

Case Name	Court Name	Court Level	Brief summary of the ruling
Please insert	Please insert	Please insert	Please insert text here
text here	text here	text here	Tiedse insert text here
Please insert	Please insert	Please insert	Please insert text here
text here	text here	text here	Flease insert text here
Please insert	Please insert	Please insert	Please insert text here
text here	text here	text here	Please insert text here

4. Please provide a brief summary of **any other significant developments** in your State since the 2017 SC.

The term "relevant authorities" is used in this Questionnaire to refer to the judicial or administrative authorities with decision-making responsibility under the 1980 Convention. Whilst in the majority of Contracting Parties such "authorities" will be courts (i.e., judicial), in some States Parties administrative authorities remain responsible for decision-making in Convention cases.

The Act of 26 January 2018 on the performance of certain activities of the central authority in family matters in the field of legal transactions under European Union law and international agreements introduced the specialisation of judges to rule on cases under the 1980 Hague Convention. Currently, 11 regional courts have been designated to rule on such cases and only one court of appeal has been designated to hear appeals against first instance decisions. In addition, each participant must be represented by a professional attorney.

Issues of compliance

5.	Has your State faced any particular challenges with other Contracting Parties to the 1980 Convention in achieving successful cooperation? Please specify the challenges that were encountered and, in particular, whether the problems appear to be systemic.		
	 No Yes Please specify the challenges encountered: n/a 		
6.	Are you aware of situations or circumstances in which there has been avoidance or improper application of the 1980 Convention as a whole or any of its provisions in particular?		
	No Yes Please specify: n/a		
Addr	ressing delays and ensuring expeditious procedures		
7.	The 2017 SC encouraged States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / other alternative dispute resolution - "AL phases) ⁵ in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention. Please indic any identified sources of delay at the following phases:		
	Central Authority		
	NoYesProcedure not yet revised		
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays: n/a		
	Judicial proceedings		
	 No Yes Procedure not yet revised 		
	If the answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to address the delays:		

See C&R No 4 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission acknowledges that some States have made progress in reducing delays and encourages States to review their procedures (including, where applicable, at the Central Authority, judicial, enforcement and mediation / ADR phases) in order to identify possible sources of delay and implement the adjustments needed to secure shorter time frames consistent with Articles 2 and 11 of the Convention."

Enforcement

8.

9.

See Part 1 point 4.

		No Yes Procedure not yet revised ne answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to
	ado n/a	dress the delays:
	Medi	ation / ADR
		No Yes Procedure not yet revised
		ne answer to the above is YES, please share any measures that have been implemented to dress the delays:
Court	proce	eedings and promptness
8.		your State have mechanisms in place to deal with return decisions within six weeks (e.g., uction of summary evidence, limitation of appeals, swift enforcement)?
		No Yes Please specify: In order to assist with complying with the six week deadline we ensure that, procedurally, all cases are determined in a reasonably summary manner. However, this can be difficult to achieve and it is not easy in practice to determine contested cases within this timescale.
9.	mech	e response to question 8 above is "No", does your State contemplate implementing nanisms to meet the requirement of prompt return under the 1980 Convention (e.g., edures, bench-books, guidelines, protocols)?
		No Please specify: n/a Yes Please specify: n/a
10.		he courts in your State make use of direct judicial communications ⁶ to ensure prompt eedings?
		No Yes Please specify:

For reference, see "Direct Judicial Communications - Emerging Guidance regarding the development of the International Hague Network of Judges and General Principles for Judicial Communications, including commonly accepted safeguards for Direct Judicial Communications in specific cases, within the context of the International Hague Network of Judges".

Justice Mrs. Agnieszka WIŚNIEWSKA-KALUTA has been designated to the IHNJ.

11. If your State has not designated a judge to the International Hague Network of Judges (IHNJ) does

	your State intend to do so in the near future?
	☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Please specify: n/a
12.	Please comment upon any cases (where your State was the requested State) in which the judge (or decision-maker) has, before determining an application for return, communicated with a judge or other authority in the requesting State regarding the issue of the child's safe return. What was the specific purpose of the communication? What was the outcome?
	There are no known cases of direct communication between Polish judges and judges from other contracting states or relevant authorities.
The r	role and functions of Central Authorities designated under the 1980 Convention
In ge	neral
13.	Have any of the duties of Central Authorities, as set out in Article 7 of the 1980 Convention, raised any particular problems in practice either in your State, or in Contracting Parties with which your State has cooperated?
	NoYesPlease specify:n/a
14.	Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with the application of any of the 1980 Convention provisions ? If so, please specify.
	 No Yes Please specify: n/a
Legal	aid and representation
15.	Do the measures your Central Authority takes to provide or facilitate the provision of legal aid, legal advice and representation in return proceedings under the 1980 Convention (Art. 7(2)(g)) result in delays in proceedings either in your own State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States that were dealt with?
	NoYesPlease specify:n/a

L6.	Are you aware of any other challenges in your State, or, where cases originate in your State, in any of the requested States your Central Authority has dealt with, regarding the obtaining of legal aid, advice and / or representation for either left-behind parents or taking parents? ⁷
	No Yes Please specify: n/a
.oca	ting the child
L7.	Has your Central Authority encountered any challenges with locating children in cases involving the 1980 Convention, either as a requesting or requested State?
	 No Yes Please specify the challenges encountered and what steps were taken or are considered to be taken to overcome these challenges: n/a
/olur	ntary agreements and bringing about an amicable resolution of the issues
L8.	How does your Central Authority (either directly or through any intermediary) take, or is considering taking, appropriate steps under Article 7(c) to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues? Please explain:
	The PCA informs about the possibility of voluntary return of the child and the possibility of mediation.
L9.	In the case that your Central Authority offers mediation services, or other alternative dispute resolution methods to bring about an amicable resolution of the issues, has your Central Authority reviewed these procedures in the light of the framework of international child abduction cases (e.g., by providing trained, specialised mediators, including with cross-cultural competence and necessary language skills ⁸)?
	Please specify: n/a
20.	Should the services mentioned in the question above not yet be provided, does your Central Authority intend to provide them in the future?
	Please provide comments: n/a

See paras 1.1.4 to 1.1.6 of the C&R of the Fifth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of the 1980 Child Abduction and the practical implementation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention (30 October – 9 November 2006) (2006 SC C&R) and paras 32 to 34 of the C&R of the Sixth Meeting of the SC to review the operation of 1980 and 1996 Conventions (1-10 June 2011 and 25-31 January 2012) (2012 SC C&R), available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Special Commission meetings".

For reference, please see the recommendation in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, item 3.2, paras 98-105, "Specific training for mediation in international child abduction cases", available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.netunder "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

21.	Has your State considered, or is it in the process of considering, the establishment of a central service for international family mediation to facilitate access to information on available mediation services and related issues for cross-border family disputes involving children?9		
	 No Please explain: The PCA provides information to the parties on mediation services available such as private mediation services and those available in the courts. Yes Please explain: n/a 		
Ensui	ring the safe return of children ¹⁰		
22.	How does the competent authority in your State obtain information about the protective measures available in the requesting State when necessary to ensure the safe return of the child?		
	Please explain: The PCA will, if necessary, notify the court of the need to put in place the necessary security measures for the returning child. In addition, if the family court decides that the child should be returned and the court has determined that there are concerns about the child's welfare, the PCA shall, at the request of the court, seek the assistance of the PCA of the child's country of habitual residence to ensure that the child is protected and not at risk of harm upon return.		
23.	If requested as a safe return measure (e.g., in accordance with the 1996 Convention), would your Central Authority be in a position to provide, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return?		
	 No Yes Please specify: A report on the child's current situation can be made by the court guardian in any situation where there is a need and a request is made. 		
Inforr	nation exchange, training and networking of Central Authorities		
24.	Has your Central Authority shared experiences with other Central Authority(ies), for example by organising or participating in any networking initiatives such as regional meetings of Central Authorities, either in person or online? 11		
	NoYesPlease specify:n/a		
Case	management and collection of statistical data on applications made under the Convention		

C

Has your Central Authority developed any protocols or internal guidelines for the processing of incoming and outgoing cases?

As it has been encouraged in the Guide to Good Practice on Mediation, Chapter 4, on "Access to Mediation". paras 114-117. See also 2011 / 2012 SC C&R at para. 61.

See Art. 7(2)(h) of the 1980 Convention.

See, in particular, Chapter 6.5, on twinning arrangements, of the Guide to Good Practice – Part I – Central Authority Practice, available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net (see path indicated in note 8).

		No Yes Please specify and share the relevant instruments whenever possible: n/a
26.		s your Central Authority operate a case management system for processing and tracking ming and outgoing cases?
		No Yes Please specify: The PCA uses electronic document management (EZD).
27.		s your State collect statistical data on the number of applications made per year under the D Convention (e.g., number of incoming and $/$ or outgoing cases)? ¹²
		No Yes In case this information is publicly made available, please share the links to the statistical reports: $\ensuremath{\text{n/a}}$
Trans	sfron	tier access / contact ¹³
28.	Auth	e the 2017 SC, have there been any significant developments in your State regarding Central ority practices, legislation, procedural rules or case law applicable in cases of transfrontier ss / contact?
		No Yes Please specify: n/a
29.		your Central Authority encountered any problems as regards cooperation with other States in ing arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact?
		No Yes Please specify: n/a
30.	orga	your State had any challenges, or have questions arisen, in making arrangements for nising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access $/$ contact under Article 21 when the cation was <i>not</i> linked to an international child abduction situation? ¹⁴
		No Yes Please specify: n/a
12	In the	Country Profile for the 1990 Child Abduction Convention, question No. 22(a). States are asked to inform whether

In the Country Profile for the 1980 Child Abduction Convention, question No 23(e), States are asked to inform whether statistics related to applications under the Convention are publicly available. Please note that, at its meeting of 2021, according to Conclusion & Decision (C&D) No 19, the Council on General Affairs and Policy (CGAP) mandated the discontinuance of INCASTAT.

¹³ See C&R Nos 18-20 of the 2017 SC.

According to C&R No 18 of the 2017 SC, "The Special Commission agrees that an application to make arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access / contact under Article 21 can be presented to Central Authorities, independently of being linked or not, to an international child abduction situation."

31. In the case of access / contact applications under **Article 21**, which of the following **services** are **provided by your Central Authority**?

Position	Services provided
A request of assistance to	\boxtimes 1. Assistance in obtaining information on the operation of the 1980
organise or secure	Convention
effective exercise of	\boxtimes 2. Assistance in obtaining information on the relevant laws and procedures in
rights of access in	the requested State
another Contracting Party	■ 3. Establishment of contact with the Central Authority and / or the competent
(as requesting State)	authorities in the requested State to find out the kind of assistance such authorities could provide
	authorities in the requested State
	5. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of rights of access
	6. Assistance in providing or facilitating the provision of legal aid and advice
	7. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services, where
	needed in the requested State
	8. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations
	for assistance
	☑ 9. Provision of regular updates on the progress of the application
	10. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here
A request of assistance to	\boxtimes 1. Providing information on the operation of the 1980 Convention and $/$ or the
organise or secure	relevant laws and procedures in your State
effective exercise of	\boxtimes 2. Assistance in initiating judicial or administrative proceedings with a view to
rights of access in your	making arrangements for organising or securing the effective exercise of
State (as requested	rights of access
State)	
	4. Assistance in obtaining private legal counsel or mediation services
	available in your State
	5. Referral to other governmental and / or non-governmental organisations for assistance
	7. Other, please specify:
	Please insert text here
	Tiddo moore toxenore

32. Should your State also be a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, are you aware of any use being made of provisions of the 1996 Convention, including those under Chapter V, in lieu of or in connection with an application under Article 21 of the 1980 Convention?

\boxtimes	No
	Yes
	Please specify
	n/a

Special topics

Obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction case

33. When obtaining the views of a child in a child abduction proceeding in your State's jurisdiction, what are the elements normally observed and reported by the person hearing the child (e.g., expert, judge, guardian *ad litem?* (*E.g.*, the views of the child on the procedures, the views of the child on the subject of return, the maturity of the child, any perceived parental influence on the child's statements)?

	Please explain: These are all factors that may be relevant to the court in deciding whether to return the child. For example, this could be the child's views on return, the maturity of the child, any perceived influence of the parents on the child's statements.
34.	Are there are any procedures, guidelines or principles available in your State to guide the person (e.g, expert, judge, guardian ad litem) in seeking the views of the child in a child abduction case? No Yes Please specify: n/a
Artic	le 1 5
35.	As requesting State (outgoing applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State received requests for Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	 □ Do not know □ Never □ Rarely □ Sometimes □ Very often □ Always
36.	As requested State (incoming applications), how often have judicial or administrative authorities in your State requested Article 15 decisions or determinations?
	 □ Do not know □ Never □ Rarely □ Sometimes □ Very often □ Always
37.	Please indicate any good practices your State has developed to provide as complete as possible information in the return applications as required under Article 8 with a view to speed up proceedings?
	Please indicate: n/a
38.	Considering C&R No 7 of the 2017 SC, ¹⁵ what information do you suggest adding to the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention, either as requested State or requesting State in relation to Article 15?

Relationship with other international instruments on human rights

Please insert your suggestions:

n/a

See C&R No 7: "The Special Commission recommends amending the Country Profile for the 1980 Convention to include more detailed information on the Article 15 procedure. It is further recommended that an Information Document on the use of Article 15 be considered with, if necessary, the assistance of a small Working Group."

39.	Has your State faced any challenges, or have questions arisen, in processing international child abduction cases where there was a parallel refugee claim lodged by the taking parent?		
	 No Yes If possible, please share any relevant case law or materials that are relevant to this type of situation in your State or, alternatively, a summary of the situation in your State: n/a □ Do not know 		
40.	Has the concept of the best interest of the child generated discussions in your State in relation to child abduction proceedings? If it is the case, please comment on any relevant challenges in relation to such discussions.		
	NoYesPlease provide comments:n/a		
Use	of the 1996 Convention ¹⁶		
41.	If your State is <u>not</u> Party to the 1996 Convention, is consideration being given to the possible advantages of the 1996 Convention (please comment where applicable below):		
	(a) providing a jurisdictional basis for urgent protective measures associated with return orders (Arts 7 and 11) $_{\rm n/a}$		
	(b) providing for the recognition of urgent protective measures by operation of law (Art. 23) n/a		
	(c) providing for the advance recognition of urgent protective measures (Art. 24) n/a		
	(d) communicating information relevant to the protection of the child (Art. 34) n/a		
	(e) making use of other relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) n/a		
42.	If your State <u>is</u> a Party to the 1996 Convention, does your State make use of the relevant cooperation provisions (e.g., Art. 32) to provide, if requested, either directly or through intermediaries, a report on the situation of the child after a certain period of time after the return? ¹⁷		
	 No Yes Please specify: A report on the child's current situation can be made by the court guardian in any situation where there is a need and a request is made. 		
16	For this part of the Question pairs, the Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention can		

For this part of the Questionnaire, the <u>Practical Handbook on the Operation of the 1996 Child Protection Convention</u> can provide helpful guidance, available on the HCCH website at under "Child Protection Section".

See C&R No 40 of the 2017 SC: "The Special Commission notes that many Central Authorities may provide certain degrees of assistance (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply), both to individuals within their own State and to foreign Central Authorities on behalf of an individual residing abroad. Requests for assistance may encompass such matters as: securing rights of access; the return of children (both when the 1980 Convention and / or the 1996 Convention apply); the protection of runaway children; reporting on the situation of a child residing abroad; post-return reports for children returned to their habitual residence; the recognition or non-recognition of a measure taken abroad (advanced recognition); and, the enforceability of a foreign measure of protection." (Emphasis added.)

Primary carer and protective measures

43.	Are you aware of any cases in your State where a primary carer taking parent, for reasons of personal security (e.g., domestic or family violence, intimidation, coercive control, harassment, etc.) or others, has refused or has not been in a position to return with the child to the requesting State? How are such cases dealt with in your State?
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: n/a
44.	Would the authorities of your State consider putting in place measures to protect the primary carer upon return in the requesting State if they were requested as a means to secure the safe return of the child?
	Please explain and provide case examples where possible: It depends upon the particular case and is always at the discretion of the judge hearing the case.
45.	In cases where the return order was issued together with a protective measure to be implemented upon return, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such protective measures?
	 No Yes Please explain and distinguish between such measures being recognised and enforced under the 1996 Convention: n/a
46.	In cases where the return order was issued together with an undertaking given by either party to the competent authority of the requested State, are you aware of any issues encountered by your State in relation to the enforcement of such undertakings?
	No Yes Please specify: n/a
47.	If your State is a Contracting Party to the 1996 Convention, is Article 23 of that Convention being used or considered for the recognition and enforcement of undertakings given by either party while returning a child under the 1980 Convention?
	 No Yes Please specify: n/a N/A
48.	In cases where measures are ordered in your State to ensure the safety of a child upon return, does your State (through the Central Authority, competent Court or otherwise) attempt to monitor the effectiveness of those measures upon the child's return?
	No Yes Please specify: n/a

International family relocation¹⁸

49.	Has your State adopted specific procedures for international family relocation?		
	 Yes Please describe such procedures, if possible: n/a No Please describe how the authorities deal with internat possible: n/a 	ional family relocation cases, if	
Publi	icity and debate concerning the 1980 Convention		
50.	Considering any potential impact on its practical operation, has your State had any recent publicity (positive or negative) or has there been any debate or discussion in your national parliament or its equivalent about the 1980 Convention?		
	 No Yes Please indicate the outcome of this debate or discuss n/a 	ion, if any:	
51.	By what methods does your State disseminate information to the 1980 Convention?	o the public and raise awareness about	
	Please explain: The PCA has its own website.		

See the C&R of the 2006 SC at paras 1.7.4-1.7.5, C&R No 84 of the 2012 SC, and C&R No 21 of the 2017 SC, the latter of which says: "The Special Commission recalls the importance of securing effective access to procedures to the parties in international family relocation cases. In this regard, the Special Commission notes that: i) mediation services may assist the parties to solve these cases or prepare for outcomes; ii) the Washington Declaration of 25 March 2010 on Cross-border Family Relocation may be of interest to competent authorities, in particular in the absence of domestic rules on this matter. The Special Commission recommends joining the 1996 Convention."

PART II - TRAINING, EDUCATION AND POST-CONVENTION SERVICES

Training and education

52. Please provide below details of any training sessions / conferences organised in your State to support the effective functioning of the 1980 Convention, and the influence that such sessions / conferences have had:

Please provide details:

The PCA staff provided training to judges adjudicating cases under the 1980 Hague Convention in 2018 and 2021 (online).

The tools, services and support provided by the PB

- 53. Please comment or state your reflections on the specific tools, services and support provided by the PB to assist with the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including:
 - a. The Country Profile available under the Child Abduction Section, including the addition and / or revision of its questions.

n/a

- b. INCADAT (the international child abduction database, available at www.incadat.com). n/a
- c. *The Judges' Newsletter* on International Child Protection the HCCH publication which is available online for free;²⁰

n/a

- d. The specialised "Child Abduction Section" of the HCCH website (www.hcch.net); n/a
- e. Providing technical assistance and training to Contracting Parties regarding the practical operation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions. Such technical assistance and training may involve persons visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB (including through its Regional Offices) organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the Convention(s) and participating in such conferences;

n/a

f. Encouraging wider ratification of, or accession to, the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions, including educating those unfamiliar with the Convention(s);²¹

n/a

g. Supporting communications between Central Authorities, including maintaining updated contact details on the HCCH website or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

Available on the HCCH website at under "Child Abduction Section" and "Judges' Newsletter on International Child Protection". For some volumes of *The Judges' Newsletter*, it is possible to download individual articles as required.

Which again may involve State delegates and others visiting the PB or, alternatively, may involve the PB organising, or providing assistance with organising, national and international judicial and other seminars and conferences concerning the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions and participating in such conferences.

n/a

h. Supporting communications among Hague Network Judges and between Hague Network Judges and Central Authorities, including maintaining a confidential database of up-to-date contact details of Hague Network Judges or intervening to facilitate contact in cases where obstacles arise.

n/a

 Responding to specific questions raised by Central Authorities, Hague Network Judges or other operators regarding the practical operation or interpretation of the 1980 (and 1996) Conventions.

n/a

Guides to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention

- 54. For any of the Guides to Good Practice²² which you may have used to assist in implementing for the first time, or improving the practical operation of, the 1980 Convention in your State please provide comments below:
 - a. Part I on Central Authority Practice.

n/a

b. Part II on Implementing Measures.

n/a

c. Part III on Preventive Measures.

n/a

d. Part IV on Enforcement.

n/a

e. Part V on Mediation

n/a

f. Part VI on Article 13(1)(b)

n/a

- g. Transfrontier Contact Concerning Children General Principles and Guide to Good Practice n/a
- 55. How has your Central Authority ensured that the relevant authorities in your State have been made aware of, and have had access to the Guides to Good Practice?

As the Guide to Good Pactice is available on www.hcch.net, it has not been further promoted. However, the PCA informs judges and other authorities of its availability on a case-by-case basis, if necessary.

56. Do you have any other comments about any Part of the Guide to Good Practice?

n/a

All Parts of the Guide to Good Practice under the 1980 Convention are available on the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

57. In what ways have you used the *Practitioner's Tool: Cross-Border Recognition and Enforcement of Agreements Reached in the Course of Family Matters Involving Children*²³ to assist in improving the practical operation of the 1980 Convention in your State?

n/a

Other

- 58. What other measures or mechanisms would you recommend:
 - a. to improve the monitoring of the operation of the 1980 Convention; $\ensuremath{\text{n/a}}$
 - b. to assist States in meeting their Convention obligations; and $\ensuremath{\text{n/a}}$
 - c. to evaluate whether serious violations of Convention obligations have occurred? $\ensuremath{\text{n/a}}$

The *Practitioner's Tool* is available at the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Guides to Good Practice".

PART III - NON-CONVENTION STATES

59. Are there any States that you would particularly like to see become a Contracting Party to the 1980 Convention? If so, what steps would you suggest could be taken to promote the Convention and encourage ratification of, or accession to, the Convention in those States?

Please explain:

n/a

60. Are there any States which are not Party to the 1980 Convention or not Members of the HCCH that you would like to see invited to the SC meeting in 2023?

Please indicate:

n/a

The "Malta Process"24

61. Do you have any suggestions of activities and projects that could be discussed in the context of the "Malta Process" and, in particular, in the event of a possible Fifth Malta Conference?

Please explain:

n/a

The "Malta Process" is a dialogue between certain Contracting Parties to the 1980 and 1996 Conventions and certain States which are not Parties to either Convention, with a view to securing better protection for cross-border rights of contact of parents and their children and addressing the problems posed by international abduction between the States concerned. For further information see the HCCH website at www.hcch.net under "Child Abduction Section" then "Judicial Seminars on the International Protection of Children".

PART IV - PRIORITIES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE 2023 SC AND ANY OTHER MATTERS

Views on priorities and recommendations for the SC

62. Are there any particular issues that your State would like the SC meeting to discuss in relation to the 1980 Convention?

Please specify and list in order of priority if possible: n/a

63. Are there any proposals your State would like to make concerning any particular recommendation to be made by the SC?

Please specify: n/a

Bilateral meetings

64. Should your State be interested in having bilateral meetings during the SC meeting, please indicate, for the PB's planning purposes, an estimate of how many States with which it intends to meet:

Please insert number: n/a

Any other matters

65. States are invited to comment on any other matters which they may wish to raise at the 2023 SC meeting concerning the practical operation of the 1980 Convention.

Please provide comments: n/a