COUNTRY PROFILE

TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY VIDEO-LINK UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 18 MARCH 1970 ON THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE ABROAD IN CIVIL OR COMMERCIAL MATTERS

STATE NAME: Belarus

PROFILE UPDATED ON (DATE): 23/06/2017

PART I: STATE

1. Contact details

The contact details provided in this section <u>will be published</u> on the Hague Conference website

CHAPTER I (LETTERS OF REQUEST)

As with any other Letter of Request under Chapter I of the Evidence Convention, the requesting authority should contact the Central Authority(ies) of the requested State when seeking to obtain evidence by means of a Letter of Request, whether using video-link or not.

	-
a) Are the contact details of the Central Authority(ies) designated by YOUR STATE up- to-date on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website?	 Yes. No. Please provide the contact details on a separate Word or PDF document for uploading on the Evidence Section of the Hague Conference website.
b) Would Your STATE be in favour of specifying a person or department within the Central Authority(ies) who would assist in processing Letters of Request where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (e.g., to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	 Yes. If Your STATE has already done so, please specify the contact details: Division of Execution of International Treaties International Cooperation Department Ministry of Justice E-mail: <u>403@minjust.by</u> Fax: +375 017 211 01 85 No. Please explain why: Comments: Division of Execution of International Treaties of the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Belarus receives Letters of Request and transmits them to the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus. The <u>Supreme Court</u> arranges the execution of requests.
c) What arrangements are there for ensuring	

that there is a contact person with whom the requesting authority can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities (*e.g.* is there a booking system)?

CHAPTER II (TAKING OF EVIDENCE BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS)

Permission by a designated authority may be required to apply certain provisions under Chapter II. To know if such a permission is required for a particular State, see the practical information chart (accessible from the <u>Authorities</u> page) AND / OR the declarations (accessible from the <u>Status Table</u> page) of the relevant State available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.

If permission is not required, applicants should contact the diplomatic and consular mission (Arts 15/16) or the commissioner (Art. 17) to explore whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

If permission is required, applicants should contact the authority that was designated to grant permission AND the relevant diplomatic and consular mission or commissioner, to explore, where necessary, whether or not evidence may be obtained by video-link under this Chapter.

d) Would Your STATE be in favour of specifying an entity or authority, in addition to the relevant authority / diplomatic or consular agent / commissioner, that would assist in processing applications where the use of video-links has expressly been requested (<i>e.g.</i> , to arrange the video-link or provide technical assistance)?	 Yes. If YOUR STATE has already done so, please specify the contact details: No. Please explain why: Comments:
e) What arrangements are there for ensuring that there is a contact person with whom the Court of Origin can liaise and who is available on the day of the hearing to operate the video-link facilities (<i>e.g.</i> is there a booking system)?	

PART II: RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND COURT SYSTEM

Legal basis	
a) Does Your STATE, in the application of Article 27 (<i>i.e.</i> internal law or practice), allow for a foreign Court to directly take evidence by video-link?	 Yes. Please specify: ✓ No. Please specify: Comments:
 b) Please indicate the legal basis or applicable protocols (<i>i.e.</i>, relevant laws, regulations, practice, etc.) for the use of video-links in the taking of evidence in YOUR STATE, either under the Convention or independent of the Convention (see, <i>e.g.</i> Art. 27 (b) and (c)): <i>Please also attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French.</i> 	The Chapter 22 of the Civil Procedural Code of the Republic of Belarus (hereinafter "CPC"), in particular the Article 185-1. The Chapters 8 and 20 of the Code of Commercial Procedure of the Republic of Belarus (hereinafter "CCP"), in particular the Article 176-1. http://pravo.by/pravovaya- informatsiya/normativnye-dokumenty/kodeksy- respubliki-belarus/
c) Does Your STATE have any agreements with other Contracting States that derogate from the Convention when taking evidence by video-link (see Art. 28 and Art. 32)?	 Yes. Please attach a copy of, or provide a link to, the relevant provisions, where possible in English or French: ✓ No. Comments:
Court system	
 d) Please indicate which courts permit, or have the facilities for, the taking of evidence by video-link. If possible, indicate where relevant information on videoconferencing facilities in courts can be found online: 	 ☐ All courts. ✓ All courts of a specific type / level. Please specify: The Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus, regional courts (Minsk Municipal Court), economic regional courts (the same of the city of Minsk). ☐ Only specific courts. Please specify which courts, or provide a link to/attach a full list: ☐ None. Comments: All Courts of General Jurisdiction of the Republic of Belarus have juridical opportunity to obtain evidence via video conferencing. Currently this technical capability is available for Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus, regional courts (Minsk City Court), economic regional courts (of the city of Minsk)

PART III: TECHNICAL AND SECURITY ASPECTS (APPLICABLE TO BOTH CHAPTERS)

a) Does YOUR STATE use licensed software (which ensures support for technical and security matters) for the taking of evidence by video- link?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: LifeSize □ No. Comments:
b) What are the specifications of the video-link technology in use in YOUR STATE, including, if any, the minimum standards or mechanisms used to secure the communications and any recordings made?	Codec (<i>i.e.</i> , manufacturer, model, transmission speed, bandwidth): LifeSize (the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus, regional courts (Minsk Municipal Court), Tanberg (economic regional courts (the same of the city of Minsk); bandwidth is up to 2 Mbps.
States are encouraged to provide as much information as possible when responding to this question. As such, it may be useful to consider	Definition, High Definition, etc.):
liaising with the relevant IT experts.	Type of network (<i>e.g.</i> , ISDN, IP, etc.): IP.
	Type of encryption for signals in secure transmissions: AEC Encryption.
	Split screen capability: Available.
	Document cameras: no.
	Multipoint connections: available.
	Additional specifications or capabilities: no.
	Protocols or other practices: Protocols H/323 (IP).
	Comments:
c) Can evidence be taken via commercial providers (<i>e.g.</i> , Skype [™])?	☐ Yes. Please specify: ✓ No.
	<i>Comments</i> : According to the CPC and the CCP evidence can only be taken via video conferencing
d) Does Your STATE have a procedure for testing connections and the quality of transmissions before the hearing?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: The preliminary connection and transmission quality testing is available on request before hearings open □ No. Comments:
e) Does Your STATE have any requirements as to the hearing room, <i>e.g.</i> , should be located in a court, should have a camera view of the whole room or a view of all the parties, etc.?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: □ No. Comments: the CPC Article 268, the CCP Articles 170 and 176

PART IV: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER BOTH CHAPTERS – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Restrictions	
a) Must a court order directing the use of video- links first be obtained from the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II)?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: □ No. ✓ Comments: The state of origin should file a letter of request with the demand of the taking of evidence or other procedural actions by using video conferencing.
b) Are there any restrictions on what type/s of evidence can be taken by video-link or how it is to be taken?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: □ No. <i>Comments</i>: The CPC Articles 178, 185-1, the CCP Articles 83 and 176-1
c) Are there any specific restrictions on how evidence gathered via video-link can be handled and distributed, or do the usual rules for evidence obtained in person apply?	 ✓ Yes, there are specific restrictions. Please specify: □ No, the normal rules for evidence apply. <i>Comments</i>: The CPC Article 185-1, the CCP Article 176-1
d) Are there any restrictions on the type of person who may be examined by video-link?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: □ No. <i>Comments</i>: The CCP Chapters 6 and 7
e) Is it necessary to seek the consent of the parties to use video-link to take evidence?	 Yes. Please specify the conditions under which parties may refuse the use of video-link: No. <i>Comments</i>: the matter is not regulated by the national law
 f) Are there any restrictions on the location where the person should be examined (<i>e.g.</i> in a courtroom, on the premises of an Embassy or diplomatic mission)? 	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: The CPC Article 268, the CCP Article 170 and 176 □ No. Comments:
 g) Can a witness / expert be compelled to use video-links to give evidence? h) Please briefly outline the procedure/s, under 	 Yes. If so, please specify what coercive measures may be used: ✓ No. Please explain: <i>Comments</i>: The CPC Articles 93, 94, 98, 196 and the CCP Articles 72, 179 Chapter I: Witnesses and experts are sent

Chapter I and Chapter II, for actually notifying or summoning the witness / expert to give evidence by video-link, including any references to relevant laws, regulations or practice. Please also include, where applicable, the differences between notifying or summoning a willing witness / expert and notifying or summoning a witness / expert that is to be compelled.	summons/notices to appear before court. Notices are sent by means of registered mail with return receipt, telephone message or telegram, SMS, by facsimile, Internet including e-mail, and other communication means that enable summons or notices registering. If a witness failed to appear in court he may be forced to do it except for some categories (minors, pregnant women, temporarily disabled). Chapter II: <i>Comments</i> :
 i) The law of which State governs the use of privileges? Please tick all that apply. See Articles 11 and 21(e) of the Convention 	<pre>Chapter I: The law of the Requesting State. The law of the Requested State. The law of another State. Please specify: Chapter II: The law of the State of Origin. The law of the State of Execution. The law of another State. Please specify: Comments: </pre>

PART V: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER I</u> (LETTERS OF REQUEST) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

Legal obstacles		
a) Does YOUR STATE consider that there are legal obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention?	 ☐ Yes. Please specify: ✓ No. 	
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (see C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments:	
Direct and indirect taking of evidence		
 b) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does YOUR STATE allow for the direct taking of evidence by judicial personnel of the requesting State (<i>i.e.</i>, the State in which the proceedings are pending)? 	 ☐ Yes. ✓ No. <i>Comments</i>: 	
c) Under which provisions of Chapter I of the Convention is indirect taking of evidence by video-link possible in YOUR STATE?	 Art. 9(1) - The judicial authority of the requested State obtains evidence (<i>e.g.</i>, a witness / expert examination) which is located in a (distant) location within its own State. Art. 9(2) - As a special method or procedure. Please also outline whether any specific conditions must be satisfied: 	
	<i>See also questions on presence.</i> <i>Comments:</i>	
Legal safeguards for witness / expert		
 d) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in YOUR STATE when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter I (<i>e.g.</i> protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)? 	The CPC Articles 14, 95 and 189, the CCP Articles 20, 96-2	
Presence		
 e) Are the rules for the presence of the parties and their representatives when physically in a single location the same for when evidence is taken via video-link? See Article 7 of the Convention 	 ✓ Yes. If so, please speciy if they are allowed to actively participate: The CPC Article 269, the CCP Article 100 □ No. 	
	Comments:	
f) Under Chapter I of the Convention, does YOUR STATE allow for the cross-examination of a witness / expert by video-link by the representatives located in the <i>requesting</i> State (<i>i.e.</i> , the State in which the proceedings are pending)?	 ☐ Yes. ✓ No. <i>Comments</i>: the matter is not regulated by the national law 	

g) Does Your STATE allow for the presence of the judicial personnel of the requesting State via video-link? See Article 8 of the Convention Please note that a declaration may be made under this provision.	 ✓ Yes. If so, please specify if they are allowed to actively participate: pursuant to the declaration of the Republic of Belarus, in accordance with the Convention Article 8 the Officers of justice of another contracting state can be present at the execution of the letter of request concerning civil or commercial affairs with consent of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus □ No. Comments:
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

PART VI: USE OF VIDEO-LINKS UNDER <u>CHAPTER II</u> (BY DIPLOMATIC OFFICERS, CONSULAR AGENTS AND COMMISSIONERS) – LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

П

The questions in this Part are only for States that have not wholly excluded the application of Chapter II	
Please note that Chapter II may be subject to a reservation in whole or in part under Article 33. Check the reservations that Your STATE has made under this Chapter in the status table, available on the <u>Evidence Section</u> of the Hague Conference website.	
Legal obstacles and legal framework	
a) Does YOUR STATE consider there to be any legal obstacles to the taking of evidence by video-link under Chapter II of the Convention?	 ☐ Yes. Please specify: ✓ No.
The Special Commission has noted that the use of video-link and similar technologies is consistent with the current framework of the Convention (C&R No 55 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 20 of the 2014 SC).	Comments:
b) Under which provisions of Chapter II of the Convention is taking of evidence by video-link possible in Your State?	 ✓ Art. 15 ✓ Art. 16 ✓ Art. 17 <i>Comments</i>: according to the Articles 16 and 17 of the Convention the Republic of Belarus declared that the agents of diplomatic missions or consulates as well as authorized persons can take evidence concerning civil or commercial affairs within the Republic of Belarus without enforcement and with the authorization of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus and pursuant to specified terms
c) Is prior permission from Your STATE required when taking evidence under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of Your STATE?	 ✓ Yes. Please outline the procedure for seeking such permission, including any specific conditions that must be satisfied: the preliminary authorization is requested from the Supreme Court of the Republic of Belarus in written manner □ No. Comments:
d) Please indicate who administers the oath or affirmation and how perjury and contempt are dealt with when evidence is taken under Chapter II of the Convention on the territory of YOUR STATE.	Administration of the oath or affirmation: Dealing with perjury and contempt:
Direct and indirect taking of evidence	
e) Diplomatic and consular agents are usually located in the State where the witness / expert resides. It may be, however, that a witness / expert is located in a neighbouring country or in a place distant from the Embassy or Consulate. In these	 Yes. Please specify: No. Comments:

circumstances, does YOUR STATE consider it possible to use video-link to obtain evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	
Legal safeguards for witness / expert	
f) What are the legal safeguards in place for witnesses / experts in Your STATE when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II (<i>e.g.</i> protective measures for the witness / expert, provision of interpretation, right to legal counsel, etc.)?	
Presence	
 g) Under the law of YOUR STATE, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by diplomatic and consular agents? Please tick all that apply. 	 The parties. The parties' representatives. Judicial personnel. Someone else. Please specify:
	Comments:
 h) Under the law of YOUR STATE, who may be present via video-link when evidence is taken by commissioners? Please tick all that apply. 	 The parties. The parties' representatives. Judicial personnel. Someone else. Please specify:
Applicable law	
 The law of which State governs the administration of an oath or affirmation when evidence is taken by video-link under Chapter II? 	 The law of the State of Origin The law of the State of Execution It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:
	Comments:
j) The law of which State governs perjury and contempt when evidence is taken by video- link under Chapter II?	 The law of the State of Origin The law of the State of Execution It depends on whether evidence is taken by a consular or diplomatic agent or a commissioner. Please specify:
	Comments.

PART VII PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

COMMON TO BOTH CHAPTERS	
Notice	
a) What does YOUR STATE consider to be the minimum amount of time required between the request and the actual hearing in order to make the arrangements to take evidence by video-link?	Chapter I: six months after the request received Chapter II:
Interpretation services	
b) Who is responsible, under Chapter I and Chapter II, for the use of interpretation services and who arranges these services in YOUR STATE when video-link is used?	Chapter I: the requesting authority Chapter II:
c) Are professional accredited interpreters required in YOUR STATE, and where can relevant contact details be found?	 ✓ Yes. Please specify: □ No. Comments:
d) Under the law of YOUR STATE, is interpretation to be <i>simultaneous</i> or <i>consecutive</i> when a witness / expert is examined via video-link?	any variant is acceptable
e) Where may the interpreter be located when a witness / expert is examined via video-link? <i>Please check all that apply.</i>	 ✓ In the room with the witness / expert. ✓ In the room with those conducting the examination. □ Elsewhere in the requesting State (Chapter I) / State of Origin (Chapter II). □ Elsewhere in the requested State (Chapter I) / State of Execution (Chapter II). □ In a third State. □ Other. Please specify:
Reporting and recording	-
f) Is a written report of the video-link hearing or testimony prepared?	 Yes. Please specify by whom: Please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the report: No. Comments:

g) Are facilities and equipment made available in order to record the hearing or testimony?	 ✓ Yes, with audio and video. ☐ Yes, only with video. ☐ Yes, only with audio. ☐ No, but the recording of hearings/testimonies is permitted.
	If a recording is produced, please also outline the specific rules or regulations, if any, that are applicable to the handling/storage/distribution of the recording: The CPC Article 175 and the CCP Article 189 No, because the recording of hearings/testimonies is not permitted under internal law.
Documents and exhibits	
 h) What arrangements are to be made for showing or referring to documents or exhibits when taking evidence by video-link? 	According to the Part 4 of the CPC Article 185-1 evidence presented at court hearing with video conferencing is sent to the competent court during the day next to the day of hearing. According to the Part 4 of the CCP Article 176-1 evidence presented at court hearing concerning commercial affairs and organizing video conferencing is sent to the economic court during the day next to the day of hearing

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER I		
Practical obstacles		
 Does YOUR STATE consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter I of the Convention? 	 Yes. Please specify: No. 	
	Comments:	
Identification of all relevant actors		
j) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your STATE when video-link is used under Chapter I?	According to the Part 2 of the CPC Article 275 the chief justice identifies appeared persons, verifies their identifying papers, powers of legal entities heads and the same of their representatives. According to the Part 2 of the CCP Article 176 the judge of the commercial court (the chief justice) checks the persons appeared in court and taking part in the case, their representatives and other participants of a commercial affair as well as their identifying and confirming powers papers.	
Standard Forms		
 k) Do the authorities of YOUR STATE use a standardised request form under Chapter I that makes specific reference to the use of video-links? The use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I. 	 Yes. Please specify: ✓ The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link. No standardised form is used. 	
While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form.	Comments:	
 Does YOUR STATE require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the requesting State in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness / expert examination by video-link under Chapter I? (<i>e.g.</i> contact details for IT support, technical specifications, etc) 	 Yes. Please specify: No. Comments: This question is not regulated by the national law. In reality it is desirable to present technical specifications as well as to realize preliminary connection and transmission quality testing. 	
Costs		
m) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter I in Your STATE?	 Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs: No. Comments: 	
n) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter I in YOUR STATE? See Art. 14(2) of the Evidence Convention	 □ The moving party (requesting the use of video-link). ✓ The requesting authority (in the requesting State). □ The requested authority (in the requested State). 	

	Other. Please specify: Comments:
 o) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed? 	 Payment in cash Payment by (credit) card Electronic/wire transfer Other. Please specify: Comments:
p) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter I in Your STATE when video-link is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?	

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS UNDER CHAPTER II		
Only for States that have not excluded in whole the application of Chapter II		
Practical obstacles		
q) Does YOUR STATE consider that there are practical obstacles to using video-link to assist in the taking of evidence under Chapter II of the Convention?	 Yes. Please specify: ✓ □ No. Comments: 	
Identification of all relevant actors		
 r) What is the procedure for verifying the identity of the parties, the witness / expert, and all relevant actors in Your STATE when video-link is used under Chapter II? 		
Standard Forms		
 s) Do the authorities of YOUR STATE use a standardised request form under Chapter II that makes specific reference to the use of video-links? Although the use of the Model Form of the Evidence Convention is recommended when taking evidence under Chapter I, it may also be used, with the necessary amendments when applying for permission to take evidence under Chapter II. While the Model Form has no explicit reference to the use of video-link, a request to this effect may be included in item 13 of the Form 	 Yes. Please specify: The standardised form used makes no reference to video-link. No standardised form is used. <i>Comments</i> :	
be included in item 13 of the Form. Assistance and facilities		
 t) Are the Embassies and Consulates of Your STATE (acting as the State of Execution) able to assist applicants in arranging a video-link? 	 Yes. Please specify how, <i>e.g.</i>, via a booking system: No. Please specify who else would assist, if anyone: 	
	Comments:	
u) Is it possible to hold a video-link session requested under the Convention at the premises of the Embassies or Consulates of YOUR STATE abroad?	 Yes. Please specify: No. 	
v) Does Your STATE require the inclusion of any particular practical or technical information from the State of Origin in the request in order to conduct / arrange a witness or expert examination by video-link under Chapter II? (<i>e.g.</i> the use of interpreters, stenographers, or recording devices)	 Yes. Please specify: No. <i>Comments</i>: 	

PART VII - PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS (CHAPTER II)

Costs	
 w) Are there any costs associated with the taking of evidence via video-link under Chapter II in Your STATE? 	 Yes. Please provide an approximate estimate of these costs and / or specify the criteria used to determine these costs:
	□ No.
	Comments:
 x) Who is responsible for bearing the costs occasioned by the use of video-link under Chapter II in Your State? 	 The moving party (requesting the use of video-link). The State of Origin The Diplomatic mission or Consulate in the State of Execution. The commissioner Other. Please specify:
y) How are these costs generally expected to be paid and/or reimbursed?	 Payment in cash Payment by (credit) card Electronic/wire transfer Other. Please specify: Comments:
z) Who pays for the interpretation services under Chapter II in YOUR STATE when video- link is used and how are these costs to be paid and/or reimbursed?	