Part B - Questions for Contracting States

Apostille sample

Please submit a **sample**(preferably in .PDF, .JPEG or .TIFF format) of the Apostille Certificate used by your Competent Authority(ies).

If YOUR STATE issues both paper and e-Apostilles please provide us with a sample of both.

both. **⊃**c.f. Q 8.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire This Apostille Certificate will be used for internal purposes only. Section 1 Joining the Apostille Convention 1.1 Changes to internal law \boxtimes a) Was implementing legislation necessary Yes to give the Apostille Convention force of Please specify the provision(s) or law in YOUR STATE (including regulations implementing legislation, and the date of relating to the establishment and entry into force: functioning of Competent Authorities)? Law No. 50/72 dated 30/6/1972, as amended with Law No. 91/72 dated **೨**c.f. Q 1.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire 11/12/1972 and Law No. 6(III)/2005 dated 31/9/2015 Please specify how the legislation may be accessed (e.g., include a copy or provide a link to an online version): www.mjpo.gov.cy П Comments: 1.2 Foreign direct investment a) Is YOUR STATE aware that international Yes organisations, such as the World Bank No and the International Chamber of Commerce, have recognised the Comments: importance and efficacy of the Apostille Convention in the promotion and development of international trade and investment and have urged States that have not done so to join the Convention? **೨**c.f. Q 1.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire See C&R No 4 of the 2012 SC. of the World Bank Group released the Investing Across Border Report, which is an initiative that compares the regulation of foreign direct investment ("FDI") around the world. One of the indicators used in the report to measure the ease with which a foreign company can start a business in a given economy was whether or not the Apostille Convention was in force for that economy. Accordingly, by being party to the Apostille Convention, a State can improve its FDI competitiveness. For more information, click here item urging States to join the Apostille Convention, click here. ⇒see also para. 23 of the Apostille HB

1.3 Other relevant instruments, laws and practices a) Is the production of any types of foreign Yes – please specify: public documents in YOUR STATE 1. Bilateral Convention between Cyprus and exempted from / not subject to Greece for legal assistance in civil, family, legalisation or similar formality, or commercial and criminal law issues (Law otherwise facilitated, by virtue of: 55/1984) any internal law or practice that YOUR 2. Bilateral convention between Cyprus and STATE has introducedor intends to Former Soviet Union (Russian Federation, introduce? Ukraine, Belarus, Georgia) for legal assistance in civil and criminal matters (Law 172/1986) · any bilateral, regional or global instrument to which YOUR STATE is 3. Bilateral convention between Cyprus and Former Yugoslavia (Serbia, Slovenia) for party or to which it intends to become legal assistance in civil and criminal matters party? (Law 179/1986) 4. Bilateral Convention between Cyprus and **೨**c.f. Q 1.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire Libya (The Great Socialist People's Libyan ⇒see also paras 18-20 of the Apostille HB Arab Jamahiriya) for legal assistance in Civil, Commercial and Criminal Matters (Law 32/2005) 5. Bilateral Convention between Cyprus and Hungary for legal assistance in civil and criminal matters (Law 7/1983) 6. Bilateral convention between Cyprus and Ukraine for legal cooperation in civil matters (Law 8/2005) 7. Bilateral convention between Cyyprus and Poland for legal cooperation in civil and criminal matters (Law 10/1997) 8. Bilateral convention between Cyprus and Former Czechoslovakia (Czech Republic, Slovak Republic) for legal assistance in civil and criminal matters (Law 68/1982) 9. Bilateral convention between Cyprus and Bulgaria for legal assistance in matters of civil and criminal law (Law 18/1984) П No Comments: In practice, the Apostile Convention is preferred. In this respect, we requested the abrogation of the relevant provision in a number of bilateral conventions and application in lieu of the Apostille Convention

Section 2 Objections to accessions Revisiting objections Only for States that have objected to one or Yes - please specify: more accessions a) If YOUR STATE has objected to the No accession of one (or more) Contracting State(s)under Article 12 of the Convention, has your State revisited its Comments: position on this issue in the last five years, or does YOUR STATE intend to do so in the near future? *⊃c.f. Q 2.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire*

The Special Commission has invited States that have objected to continue assessing whether conditions for withdrawing their objections are met (see C&R No 7 of the 2012 SC).	
⇒see also paras 91-95 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	
Section 3 The Apostille Section and public	cations
3.1 Content of the Apostille Section	
a) How useful is the information provided on the <u>Apostille Section</u> of the Hague Conference website?	✓ Very useful☐ Useful☐ Not useful
⊃ c.f. Q 3.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	Comments or suggestions for improvement:
The Special Commission has noted that the Apostille Section continues to be a most useful resource of information (see C&R No 8 of the 2012 SC)	

⇒see also para. 33 of the Apostille HB

b)	How often do staff of the Competent Authority(ies) of YOUR STATE consult the Apostille Handbook?	⊠ F	Daily Trequently Seldom Never
	The Apostille Handbook was published in 2013 and is available in English and Frenchon the Apostille Section. A revised Spanish version willbeavailable soon on the Apostille Section (for a provisional version, click here).	_	ents or suggestions:
c)	Does YOUR STATE have any suggestions or comments concerning the publications	□ Y	'es – please specify:
	of the Permanent Bureau, the <u>ABCs of Apostilles</u> , the <u>Brief Implementation Guide</u> , or the <u>Apostille Handbook</u> , available on the <u>Apostille Section</u> of the Hague Conference website?	⊠ N	No
	⊃ c.f. Q 3.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire		
d)	Does YOUR STATE have any suggestions future publications that could assist	□ Y	'es – please specify:
	the promotion, implementation, or operation of the Apostille Convention?	⊠ N	lo
	೨ c.f. Q 3.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire		
Se	ection 4 Operation and statistics		
4.	1 General evaluation		
a)	How does YOUR STATE rate the overall operation of the Apostille Convention?		xcellent
	operation of the Apostine Convention:		Good Satisfactory
	⊃ c.f. Q 4.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		Insatisfactory
		Comme	ents or suggestions for improvement:
b)	Has YOUR STATE encountered any persistent difficulties, issues or challenges	□ Y	es – please specify:
	in the operation of the Apostille Convention?	⊠ N	lo
	Serveriner.	_ u	Jnknown
	⊃ c.f. Q 4.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire ⊃ see also para. 36 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	Comme	ents:
_			
4			I
a)	How many Apostilles were issued in YOUR STATE for each of the following years? Under Art. 7(1) of the Apostille Convention, each Competent Authority must keep a register in which to record each Apostille issued.	Year	Number If you wish to provide detailed information per Competent Authority, please identify the number of Apostilles separately in the space below, or attach a detailed breakdown of the statistics as a separate document.
	⊃ c.f. Q 4.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	2015	452,730
	See also para. 46 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	2014	412,092
		2013	474,829
		2012	441,864
			Unknown – please explain:

		Comments:				
b)	Can any trend(s) be discerned from these statistics? • c.f. Q 4.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	 ✓ Yes – please specify, including possible reasons for the trend(s): Steady flow ☐ No ☐ Unknown 				
	What are the principal States of destination for Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE?	If possible, please indicate an estimation of the proportion/percentage of total Apostilles issued by YOUR STATE that are for use in these States of destination.				
	⊃ c.f. Q 4.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire					
d)	Iy for States that issue e-Apostilles How many e-Apostilles were issued in YOUR STATE for each of the following years?	Year Number If you wish to provide detailed information Competent Authority, please identify the ne Apostilles separately in the space below				
	years:	2015				
	⊃ c.f. Q 4.2 d) of the 2012 questionnaire	2014				
		2013				
		2012				
			Unknown – <i>please explain</i> :			
		Comme	nts:			
On	ly for States that issue e-Apostilles					
e)	What are the principal States of destination for e-Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE?					
	೨ c.f. Q 4.2 e) of the 2012 questionnaire					
f)	Please identify the three categories of public document that are most frequently requested to be apostillised in YOUR		Civil status documents (e.g., birth, death and marriage certificates) and certificates of non-impediment			
	STATE. Please indicate these from "1" to "3" in descending order of frequency, with "1" being the most frequent.		Other administrative documents (including decisions from administrative tribunals or decision making bodies)			
	If a category of document is not listed, please specify it at the bottom of the list in the space provided.	2	Extracts from commercial registers and other registers			
	provided.	1	Notarial authentications of signatures			
	೨ c.f. Q 4.2 f) of the 2012 questionnaire	3	Other notarial acts			
			Diplomas and other education documents			
			Court documents, including judgments			
			Patents or other documents pertaining to intellectual property rights			
			Documents relating to adoptions			
			Translations			
	,		Medical or health certificates			
		_	Criminal records			
			Import or export licences			

		Certificates of		
		Certificates of conformity		
	Other d	Other documents – please specify		
g) Please mark with an "X" the categories of documents that are issued in YOUR STATI in <i>electronic</i> format(even if this is the case for only a few documents within that	Ξ		cuments ($e.g.$, birth, death certificates) and certificates nent	
category) and for which an Apostille is issued (whether as ane-Apostille or in paper form)?		(including deci	rative documents sions from administrative cision making bodies)	
If a category of document is not listed, please		Extracts from other registers	commercial registers and	
specify it at the bottom of the list in the space provided.		Notarial auther	ntications of signatures	
/see also SectionO Electronic documents and		Other notarial	acts	
electronic signatures		Diplomas and o	other education documents	
⇒see also paras 170-171 of the Apostille HB		Court documer	nts, including judgments	
		Patents or othe intellectual pro	er documents pertaining to perty rights	
		Documents rela	ating to adoptions	
		Translations		
		Medical or heal	Ith certificates	
		Criminal record	ds	
		Import or expo	ort licences	
		Certificates of	origin	
		Certificates of	conformity	
	Other d	ocuments / more	e information – <i>please</i>	
4.3 Legalisations				
a) How many legalisationswere performed in 2015 by the authorities of YOUR STATE? If no statistics are kept, please provide a rougle estimate •c.f. Q 4.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire	Legalisations performed by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs on documents executed in YOUR STATE and bound for a non-Contracting State to the Legalisations performed a consulates/embassies of STATE located in another on documents executed in State and bound for a no		Legalisations performed by consulates/embassies of YOUR STATE located in another State on documents executed in that State and bound for a non-Contracting State to the Apostille Convention	
4.4 Public information				
 a) Is practical information (such as informational brochures or information provided on government websites) on the operation of the Apostille Convention made available to Apostille users? C.f. Q 4.4a) of the 2012 questionnaire see also paras 55-57 of the Apostille HB 	P a o	vailable (if availa nline at www.mj	online, please submit a	

4.5 Published works on the Apostille Convention				
a) Has the Apostille Convention been the subject of any articles, books or other works published in YOUR STATE?		Yes – please provide full citation (if possible please provide a summary in English or French):		
≎c.f. Q 4.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		No Unknown		
Section 5 Competent Authorities				
5.1 Contact details				
a) Please indicate how many Competent Authorities YOUR STATE has designated under the Apostille Convention (see also Questions 7.2 and 7.3). If your State is unable to specify the exact	1			
number, please provide a rough estimate.		Vac		
b) Are the contact details and practical information provided on the Apostille		Yes		
Section for the Competent Authorities of YOUR STATE accurate and complete?		No – please supply the correct information to be uploaded to the Apostille Section in Annex A or in a separate Word or PDF file:		
೨ c.f. Q 5.1a) of the 2012 questionnaire				
See also para. 67 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
5.2 Training and support				
a) Have guidelines, desk instructions, or similar documentation been prepared to assist staff at Competent Authorities in the performance of their functions under the Apostille Convention?		Yes - please submit a copy of thisdocumentation, if possible, with a summary in English or French (for internal use only)		
	\boxtimes	No		
೨ c.f. Q 5.2a) of the 2012 questionnaire ೨ see also para. 47 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
b) How often does YOUR STATE provide training to staff at Competent Authorities?		Regularly As required Seldom		
೨ c.f. Q 5.2b) of the 2012 questionnaire ೨ see also para. 48 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		Never		
	Comn	ments:		
	on the	e job training		

Section 6 Substantive scope of the Apostille Convention			
6.1 Definition of "public document"			
a) Is the concept of a "public document" defined in the internal law of YOUR STATE?	Yes – please specify (including reference to the relevant law(s)):		
◆ C.f. Q 6.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire If the Apostille Convention applies to "public documents". Art. 1(2) lists certain categories of documents that are deemed to be public documents. The Special Commission has noted that it is for the law of the State of origin to determine the public nature of a document. It also recalled that the list of public documents in Art. 1(2) is not exhaustive (see C&R No 72 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 12 of the 2012 SC). See also para. 110 et seq. of the Apostille HB	No – please explain: Comments: For the purpose of the convention, Cyprus is guided by the categories listed in Art. 1		
b) Has YOUR STATE experienced any difficulties with characterising a document as a "public document" for the purposes of the Apostille Convention (see also Questions 6.2 and 0)? 3c.f. Q 6.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire *Keeping in mind the purpose of the Apostille Convention, the Special Commission has suggested that States Parties should give a broad interpretation to the category of public documents (see C&R No 72 of the 2009 SC and	 Yes − please specify which documents have led to difficulties and how such difficulties have been addressed: No Comments: 		
C&R No 12 of the 2012 SC).6.2 Exclusion of documents executed by	diplomatic or consular agents		
a) Has the exclusion of "documents executed	Yes – please specify:		
by diplomatic or consular agents" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?	No Comments:		
⊃ c.f. Q 6.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire			

6.	6.3 Exclusion of administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations			
a)	Has the exclusion of "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" from the scope of the Apostille Convention given rise to any difficulties in YOUR STATE, either as a State of origin or a State of destination?		Yes – please specify (including the category of document concerned and the steps taken to address the difficulty/ies): No	
		Comn	nents:	
	◆ c.f. Q 6.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ✓ The Special Commission has noted that some States issue Apostilles for import/export licences, health certificates or certificates of origin/conformity (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).			
	See also paras 135-138, 146 et seq. of the Apostille HB			
b)	Does YOUR STATE issue Apostilles for any of the following documents? This question concerns outgoing documents C.f. Q 6.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire The Special Commission has reconfirmed that the exception for "administrative documents dealing directly with commercial or customs operations" is to be interpreted narrowly (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC). see also paras 148 et seq. of the Apostille HB		Certificates of origin Export licences Import licences Health and safety certificates issued by the relevant government authorities or agencies Certificates of products registration Certificates of conformity End user certificates (<i>i.e.</i> , documents certifying that the buyer is the end user of acquired goods) Commercial invoices	
			nents: general	
c)	Does YOUR STATE accept Apostilles issued for any of the following documents? This question concerns incoming documents C.f. Q 6.3 c) of the 2012 questionnaire The Special Commission has encouraged States to accept, to the extent possible, Apostilles issued for documents such as import / export licenses, health certificates and certificates of origin even if that State would not itself issue Apostilles for such documents (C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC). See also paras 148 et seq. of the Apostille HB		Certificates of origin Export licences Import licences Health and safety certificates issued by the relevant government authorities or agencies Certificates of products registration Certificates of conformity End user certificates (i.e., documents certifying that the buyer is the end user of acquired goods) Commercial invoices	
		n/a		
6.	4 Copies			
a)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to a <i>simple</i> copy of a public document (<i>i.e.</i> , may a simple copy of a public document be also regarded as a public document)? \$\textstyle c.f. \ Q 6.4 a \) of the 2012 questionnaire		Yes – please specify: No – please specify/explain: A copy can only be regarded as a "public document" under the convention, provided that it bears an original signature	
	See also para, 157 of the Apostille HB	1		

b)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to a certified copy of a public document? <i>□c.f. Q 6.4 b) of the 2012 questionnaire □see also para. 154</i> et seq. of the Apostille HB	Comr	Yes – but an Apostille may be issued for the certification <i>only</i> , not the copied public document. Yes – an Apostille may be issued either for the certification or for the copied public document – <i>please specify/explain</i> : No – an Apostille may not be issued for the certification nor for the copiedpublic document – <i>please specify/explain</i> :
6.	5 Translations		
a)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is		Yes – please specify/explain:
	the Convention considered to apply to a simple translation of a public document?		
			No – please specify/explain: Apostille is issued on the public document,
	<i>⇒c.f.</i> Q 6.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire <i>⇒see also paras 195-197 of the <u>Apostille HB</u></i>		before being translated
		Comi	ments:
b)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to a		Yes – please specify/explain:
	certified translation (i.e., a translation executed by a sworn/affirmed/accredited		Only if the document to which the certified translation relates is a public document
	translator)?	\boxtimes	No – please explain:
	3 c.f. Q 6.5 b) of the 2012 questionnaire 3 see also paras 195-197 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		Apostille is issued on the public document, before being translated
		Comi	ments:
6.	6 Extradition documents	•	
a)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to		Yes – please specify/explain:
	extradition documents?	\boxtimes	No – please specify/explain:
	₱ The Special Commission has recognised that		
	the Apostille Convention may apply to extradition requests (C&R No 16 of the 2012	Comi	ments:
	SC) ⇒see also paras 160-162 of the Apostille HB		elevant provisions under the specific legal
			apply (EAW, CoE Convention on Extradition, eral Treaties).
6.	7 Medical certificates		
a)	Under the internal law of YOUR STATE, is the Convention considered to apply to medical certificates?		Yes – please specify/explain:
	medical certificates?		No – please specify/explain
	⇒see also para. 182 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		
			ments:
Ì		i iyo in	formation available

6.	8 Electronic documents and signatures	6	
a)	 Canpublic documents executed in electronic form be considered "public documents" in YOUR STATE for the purposes of the issuance of an Apostille? Dc.f. Q 6.6 a) of the 2012 questionnaire Description see also paras 170-173 of the Apostille HB. 		Yes – please specify (then go toQuestion 6.8b)):
			No – please explain (then go toQuestion 6.8c)):
			Public documents are never executed in electronic form – <i>go to Question 6.8c)</i>
		Comr	ments:
b)	If a public document is executed in		An e-Apostille is issued
	electronic form, how does YOUR STATE issue an Apostille for that document?		A paper Apostille is attached to the printout of the electronic public document (if so,
			please explain the rationale of this practice
	⇒ c.f. Q 6.6 b) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒ see also paras 235-237 of the Apostille HB		and whether YOUR STATE envisages the issuance of e-Apostilles for public documents executed in electronic form):
			Other – please specify:
		Comr	ments:
c)	Canscanned copies of public documents that were executed in paper form be considered "public documents" in YOUR		Yes – please specify the manner in which the scanned public document circulates (e.g. electronically or as a printout):
	STATE for the purposes of the issuance of an Apostille?		No – please specify/explain:
	• 6 0 ((a) a 6 th a 2010 amount frame in		Only true copies of public documents bearing
	⇒c.f. Q 6.6 c) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒see also paras 158-159, 173 of the Apostille HB		original signature can be certified
	riposinio TID	Comr	ments:
4)	Are electronic signatures recognised in		Yes – please specifythe legal basis
u)	YOUR STATE as functionally equivalent to handwritten signatures (<i>i.e.</i> , can a public		(e.g., legislation):
	document be signed electronically)? •c.f. Q 6.6 d) of the 2012 questionnaire •see also para. 261 of the Apostille HB	\boxtimes	No – please specify/explain:
			pending applicable legislation
		Comr	ments:

Casting 7 Assess to Assestilla compiler					
Section 7 Access to Apostille services					
7.1 One-step vs multi-step process		Cortification	n is not required fo	or any public	
a) Does a public document executed in YOUR STATE need to be somehow certified	Certification is not required for ar document – <i>go to Question 7.2</i>				
before the issuance of an Apostille?			n is required for so		
≎ c.f. Q 7.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		Question	of public document 7.1b)	s – <i>90 to</i>	
				s required for all categories of ents – <i>go to Question 7.1b</i>)	
Special Commission has invited States Parties to consider removing any unnecessary	public documents go to adoction 7.72)				
obstacles to the issuance of Apostilles while maintaining the integrity of authentications					
(see C&R No 79 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 19 of the 2012 SC).					
⇒see also paras 14-16of the <u>Apostille HB</u>					
Only for States that require certification b) What certification process is involved (in		gory of public locument	Number of certifications	Certifying authority	
particular, how many certifications are required before the issuance of an		ocuments	One	Respective Ministries	
Apostille)?	,	ssued by various		MILLISTITES	
೨ c.f. Q 7.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire		vermental partments			
If necessary, use the space provided in Question 12.1b) to respond to this question	Documents emanating from legal persons of		One	Court	
adestion (2.12) to respond to this question				Registrar	
	р	ublic law			
Only for States that require certification		VOLID STAT	E has designated a	a single	
c) Why is certification required?		Competent	Authority but the	signatures,	
೨ c.f. Q 7.1 c) of the 2012questionnaire		authorities	tamps of local office are subject to a ce	ertification by a	
Self. 27.1 b) of the 2012questionnaire			thority, whose cer at to an Apostille is		
		Competent	Authority (in othe not issued for the	r words, the	
			out for the (final) of		
			ve circumstances, he stille be issued for		
		document?		and and any mg	
			TE has designated :		
			Authorities but the tamps of local office		
			are nonetheless รเ า by a regional aut		
		certificate i	s in turn subject to he relevant Compe	an Apostille	
		(in other w	ords, the Apostille	is not issued	
		for the und (final) certi	erlying document lification).	out for the	
			ve circumstances, he stille be issued for		
		document?		and drivering	
		YOUR STAT	TE has a special, m	ulti-step_	

		procedure for the authentication of diplomas and other education documents that are issued in your State and need to be produced abroad – please explain the nature and content of that process:
		Other – please specify:
Only for States that require certification		Yes – please specify/explain:
d) Does YOUR STATE plan to make changes to the certification process (e.g., adopting a one-step process for all or certain categories of public documents)?		No – please explain why not:
೨ c.f. Q 7.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire		
7.2 Decentralisation of Apostille services		
Only for States that have designated ONE	\boxtimes	Yes – please specify/explain:
Competent Authority a) Has YOUR STATE considered decentralising the Apostille services by establishing regional offices or by designating additional Competent Authorities?		Apostille services are planned to be decentralized to the regional Civil Service Centers No – please explain why not:
The Special Commission has welcomed and encouraged efforts designed to decentralise the provision of Apostille services. Such efforts have been shown to increase efficiency in the provision of services while reducing the burden on the public (C&R No 18 of the 2012 SC) See also para. 218 of the Apostille HB		
7.3 Diplomatic missions as Competent A	uthorit	tion
a) A few Contracting States have recently designated their diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities (e.g., Australia and Austria). Does YOUR STATE consider there to be any advantages or disadvantages		iles
with regard to this practice, in particular in light of Art. 1(3)(a) of the Convention?		
The Special Commission has confirmed that the exception for "documents executed by diplomatic or consular agents" is to be interpreted narrowly (see C&R No 77 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 15 of the 2012 SC).		
⊃ see paras 139-140of the <u>Apostille HB</u> ,		
Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities b) For which of the following documents do your diplomatic missions issue Apostilles?		Documents executed in YOUR STATE (i.e. the State that the consular or diplomatic agent represents), which are subsequently presented for apostillisation to the diplomatic mission
●see also paras 144-145 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		Documents handled by consular or diplomatic agents but executed by another authority in YOUR STATE, which are reprinted or reissued by thediplomatic mission (i.e., the consular or diplomatic agent merely acts as an intermediary for a document that has been effectively issued in the State (s)he represents) Documents executed by consular or

	diplomatic status doc	agents that ai or consular na uments or not ease specify	ature (<i>e.g.</i> , civil
Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities c) Has YOUR STATE experienced any practical difficulties withthe designation of diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities?	☐ Yes – pleas ☐ No – pleas Comments:	se specify/exp se explain:	olain:
Only for States that have designated diplomatic missions as Competent Authorities d) Please explain how, in practice, Apostille services are provided by the diplomatic missions of YOUR STATE (e.g. via retrieval from a database of public documents in YOUR STATE or using physical documents presented at the diplomatic mission, and how the origin of a public document is verified).			
7.4 Apostille requests			
a) In YOUR STATE, how can an Apostille be requested? □c.f. Q 7.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire □see also the Model Apostille Request Form at Annex III of the Apostille HB	Apostille) Through a	•	issuance of an e-
	Comments:		
 b) In YOUR STATE, do Competent Authorities enquire about the State of destination of the public document to be apostillised? ⇒c.f. Q 7.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒see also para. 203 of the Apostille HB 	in ar □ The the / □ The kept □ Occasional	n application for State of destina Apostille Certific enquiry is made	tion is mentioned on ate e orally and no record is ecify any
c) How long does it take for an Apostille to be issued?		In-person request	Other requests (from the time of receipt of request to the time of
≎c.f. Q 7.2 e) of the 2012 questionnaire	Less than one hour		dispatch / collection)

		Less th hours	an two		
		On the	same day		
		By the following working day			
		Within two to three working days			
		Within one working week			
		Other - specify	- please		
7.	5 Fees				
a)	Do Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE impose a fee for issuing an Apostille?		Yes. Please No	e specify the fe	ee in Annex A.
	C.f. Q 7.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire✓ The 2009 Special Commission encouragedStates to ensure that any fee imposed forissuing Apostilles be reasonable (see C&RNo 94).⇒see also paras 274-277 of the Apostille HB	Comm	ents:		
Se	ection 8 Issuance of Apostilles				
8.	1 Verification of public documents				
a)	Doall Competent Authorities of YOUR STATE have access to a register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents, which the Competent Authority may consult before issuing an Apostille?		Authority signature documen	e / stamp / sea	rertain(s) whether a al on a public nd comes from an
	<i>⊃c.f. Q 8.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ⊃see also paras 219</i> et seq. of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	Com	ments:		
b)	What is the form of the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents?		Electronic Paper for Electronic		orm
	⇒ c.f. Q 8.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	Com	ments:		
c)	How is the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents maintained and updated?		updates i Compete	ts own registe nt Authorities	rity maintains and er or database maintain and ster or database
	⊃ c.f. Q 8.1c) of the 2012 questionnaire ⊃ see also paras 223-225 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	used	to obtain s		r a standard form is ures / seals stamps s):

d)	How does a Competent Authority of YOUR STATE address situations where the public document for which an Apostille is requested bears a signature, stamp or seal that does not match the sample in the register or database containing sample signatures / stamps / seals of the officials / authorities that execute public documents? ⇒c.f. Q 8.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒see also para. 227 of the Apostille HB	depar	rect communication with the respective tment/body which is asked to confirm by ned fax the originality of the signature, seal imp
8.:	2 The Apostille		
a)	What stationery is used for the Apostille? <i>c.f.</i> Q 8.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire <i>see also paras 245, 248-249 of the Apostille HB</i>		Standard paper Paper with security features – please specify (e.g., watermarks, holograms, barcodes):
			Rubber stamp Self-adhesive stickers Other – please specify:
		Comn	nents:
b)	Does YOUR STATE use a bilingual or trilingual Apostille Certificate or is it planningto introduceone?		Yes- please specify in which languages:
	◆c.f. Q 8.2 c) of the 2012 questionnaire *The Special Commissionwelcomed the development of multilingual Model Apostilles by the Permanent Bureau and encouraged their use by Competent Authorities(see C&R No 20 of the 2012 SC). The billingual and trilingual Certificates are available on the Apostille Section \$\text{see} also paras 241-243 of the Apostille HB}	Comn	No – please specify why not: nents:
c)	Does YOUR STATE use the Apostille Certificate to authenticate the origin of public documents even when the Apostille Convention does not apply (e.g. using the Apostille Certificate for legalisations or other certifications)?		Yes—if applicable, please specify the disclaimer included in the Apostille: No
	⇒see also paras 87 et seq. of the <u>Apostille HB</u>	Comn	nents:
8.3	3 Completing the Apostille		
a)	How are Apostilles filled in? <i>c.f. Q 8.3 a) of the 2012 questionnaire</i>	Comm	By hand Using a typewriter Using computer software – please specify: ments:

b)	In what language are the blanks of Apostilles usually filled in ?		In one language –please specify: English
	Please note that this questiondoes not refer to the 10 standard informational itemsof		In two languages –please specify:
	the Apostille Certificate, which was the subjectof Question 8.2b). In other words, how does YOUR STATE complete its Apostille certificates?		In three languages – please specify:
	Apostine certificates.		Other – please specify:
	೨ c.f. Q 8.3 b) of the 2012 questionnaire		
	The Special Commission has encouraged States to consider that, in addition to a language used by the State of origin, if not English or French, the information in Apostilles be completed in one of these languages (see C&R No 90 of the 2009 SC).	Comr	nents:
	⇒see also para. 259 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		
c)	How are Apostilles numbered?		Consecutively
	೨ c.f. Q 8.3 c) of the 2012 questionnaire		Randomly – please specify how the number is generated:
	⇒see also paras 262-264 of the Apostille HB		
			Other – please specify:
		Comm	nents:
		Comin	nents.
d)	Where an item of the Apostille is not		Yes
	applicable (e.g.the underlying public document is not signed or does not bear a		No - Please specify your current practice:
	seal), does YOUR STATE write in the relevant item "not applicable" or "n/a"?	\boxtimes	Such situations do not arise in practice
		Comr	nents:
	importance of completing the 10 numbered standard informational items in every Apostille. No item should be left blank. Where an item is not applicable this should be indicated by writing "not applicable" or "n/a" (C&R No 21 of the		
	2012 SC)		
0	see also para. 258 of the Apostille HB uestion 8.3e) – for Apostilles issued in paper	form	
			Py hand ("wot" signature)
e)	How is a paper Apostille signed?		By hand ("wet" signature) By rubber stamp
	⊃ c.f. Q 8.3 e) of the 2012 questionnaire		By printer (e.g., a facsimile signature or
		П	PDF/JPEG image of the signature)
	determined by the law applicable to the Competent Authority issuing the Apostille (C&R		By electronic signature - please specify:
	No 22 of the 2012 SC) ⇒see also para. 261 of the Apostille HB		Other – please specify:
		Comn	nents:

Question 8.3f) and g) – for Apostilles issued in electronic form (e-Apostilles)				
f) How is an e-Apostille signed?	Please describe the technology used for applying the electronic signature:			
೨ c.f. Q 8.3 f) of the 2012 questionnaire				
⇒see also paras 348 et seq. of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
g) Doesthe law of YOUR STATE allow for a printout of an electronic document or an e- Apostille (both of which have been digitally signed) to be circulated?	Yes- please specify:			
signed) to be circulated:	□ No – please specify:			
	Comments:			
8.4 Additional text				
a) Do Competent Authorities include other information on the Apostille (in addition to the entries of the10 numbered standard informational items)? □ C.f. Q 8.4 a) of the 2012 questionnaire □ The Special Commission has recognised the usefulness of additional text outside the area of the 10 numbered standard informational items of the Apostille and that States are free to employ text as necessary to provide clarifications regarding the Apostilles they issue. The Permanent Bureau has developed model additional text, which is includedon the bilingual and trilingual Certificates, available on the Apostille Section of the Hague Conference website under "Model Apostille Certificate" □ see also paras 253 et seq. of the Apostille HB	☐ Yes ☐ Information relating to the limited effect of an Apostille (see Art. 3 of the Convention) ☐ Information relating to the nature or content of the underlying public document ☐ Information relating to the effect of Apostilles on certified copies ☐ Information relating to the person who requested the Apostille ☐ Information relating to the State of destination ☐ Information relating to fees ☐ Information relating to the e-Register of the Competent Authority (e.g., the URL of the relevant website) ☐ Information relating to adigital signature ☐ Identification of a code to access the e-Register of the Competent Authority ☐ Other — please specify: ☐ No Comments:			

8.5 Affixing the Apostille			
Questions 8.5a) to d) – for Apostilles issued in paper form			
 a) Is the Apostille placed on the document itself or on a separate slip of paper (an allonge)? ⇒c.f. Q 8.5 a) of the 2012 questionnaire ⇒see also paras 265 et seq. of the Apostille HB 	 ☐ The Apostille is placed on the document itself by:		
	The Apostille is placed on a separate slip of paperby (see also Question 8.5b)) self-adhesive sticker glue rubber stamp printing it on the separate slip of paper seals other – please specify:		
	Comments (in particular, please specify what criteria are applicable to determine whether the Apostille is placed on the document itself or on an allonge):		
b) If a separate slip of paper is used (an allonge), how is itattached to the document? •c.f. Q 8.5 b) of the 2012 questionnaire •The Special Commission has encouraged the use of methods that would evidence any tampering with the method of affixation (see C&R No 91 of the 2009 SC and C&R No 24 of the 2012	 Staples Grommet Ribbons Glue Tape Other − please specify: 		
SC) ⇒see also paras 268-269 of the Apostille HB.	Comments:		
c) In the case of a one-page public document, where is the Apostille placed / allonge attached?	☐ The front of the document ☐ The back of the document		
೨ c.f. Q 8.5 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	Comments:		
d) In the case of a multi-page document, where is the Apostille placed / allonge attached? •c.f. Q 8.5 d) of the 2012 questionnaire •The Special Commission has recommended that the Apostille be placed on the signature page and, if an allonge is used, that the allonge be attached to the front or back of the document (see C&R No 17 of the 2003 SC) •see also paras 271-272 of the Apostille HB	☐ The first page of the document ☐ The last page of the document ☑ The page with the signature / seal / stamp ☐ Other – please specify: Comments:		

Question 8.5e) and f) – for Apostilles issued in electronic form (e-Apostilles)				
e) What technology does YOUR STATE use to affix or logically associate the Apostille to the underlying public document?				
≎ c.f. Q 8.5 e) of the 2012 questionnaire ≎ see also para. 270 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>				
f) How does that technology ensure that the Apostille is not tampered with?				
<i>♦see also para. 267 of the <u>Apostille HB</u></i>				
Section 9 Register of Apostilles				
9.1 Maintaining the register				
a) Does each Competent Authority of YOUR STATE record particulars of each Apostille issued in a register? □ c.f. Q 9.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire □ The Special Commission has recalled that Article 7 of the Convention requires each Competent Authority to establish and maintain a Register of Apostilles containing the information required by that Article (C&R No 25 of the 2012 SC) □ see also para. 278 of the Apostille HB	Yes – what information is recorded? the number and date of the Apostille (requirement of Art. 7(1)) the name and capacity of the person signing the document and/or the name of authority whose seal or stamp is affixed thereto (requirement of Art. 7(1)) the name and/or type of the underlying document a description of the contents of the underlying document the name of the applicant the State of destination a copy of the Apostille a copy of the Apostille other – please specify: No – please explain (including how Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE respond to requests for verification of Apostille – then go to Question 10.1):			
	Comments:			
b) In what form is the register kept?	☐ Electronic form, not publicly accessible online			
C.f. Q 9.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	☐ Electronic form, publicly accessible online (an "e-Register") – with the following features: ☐ the URL for the relevant website is indicated on the Apostille (see			
	the register allows users to access the			

	Apostille, or an image thereof the register allows users to access the underlying document, or an image thereof the register allows users to verify the signature on the Apostille Paper form Comments:
c) How is the register maintained?	Each Competent Authority maintains its own register
೨ c.f. Q 9.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire	Competent Authorities maintain a common register
d) For how long do Competent Authorities retain records of particulars in their register? •c.f. Q 9.1 d) of the 2012 questionnaire *Although the Special Commission has not suggested a minimum period during which records in a register should be kept, it has concluded that it was a matter for each State	□ Less than one year □ Between one and five years □ Between five and ten years □ Ten years or more □ Indefinitely Comments (including specific detailed regarding records retention):
party to develop objective criteria in this respect, and that holding of information in electronic form would facilitate thestorage and retrievalof records. (C&R No 21 of the 2003 SC) See also paras 289-290 of the Apostille HB	records retention):
9.2 Checking the register	
Only for States in which Competent Authorities keep a Register in paper or in electronic form BUT it is not publicly accessible online (i.e. not an e-Register) a) How frequently is a request made to the Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE to check the register of Apostilles? • c.f. Q 9.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire • Under Art. 7(2) of the Apostille Convention, the Competent Authority that issued the Apostille must, at the requestof any interested person, verify whether the particulars of the Apostille correspond with those in the register. • see also paras 286-288 of the Apostille HB	 □ Never □ Once per year □ Between 2 and 10 times per year □ More than 20 times per year – pleasespecify approximately how many: □ Unknown Comments: □ Yes – please specify the number of
Only for States in which Competent Authorities keep an <u>e-Register</u>	Yes – please specify the number of

Section 10 The effect of Apostilles	
10.1 Apostilles issued in YOUR STATE	
a) Hasthe effect of a paper Apostille ore-Apostille issued by a Competent Authority of YOUR STATE ever been refused to be given in other Contracting States (i.e., has the Apostille been rejected)? □c.f. Q 10.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire □see also paras 293-320 of the Apostille HB	the Apostille was not square-shaped the Apostille did not have sides at least nine centimetres long the Apostille did not have a border the colour of the ink used to print the Apostille the Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature) the Apostille was not signed at all the Apostille was issued in electronic form (an e-Apostille) the Apostille had expired the manner in which the Apostille was numbered − please specify: the manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document − please specify: the Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document was not a public document under the law of the State of destination the person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille the Apostille did not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed (i.e., standard informational item no 2 and 3 are not filled in) the please specify: No Unknown
b) If an Apostille was rejected, what action did YOUR STATE take? •c.f. Q 10.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	 □ The Apostille was reissued □ The receiving authority was contacted □ The CompetentAuthority of the State of destination was contacted □ Diplomatic mission of the State of destination in YOUR STATE was contacted □ Diplomatic mission of YOUR STATE in the State of destination was contacted □ The Permanent Bureau was contacted □ No action taken □ Other −please specify:

c)	Has a Competent Authority in YOUR STATE ever been requested by the authorities in		Yes – please elaborate:
	another Contracting State to certify or confirm its procedures for issuing	\boxtimes	No
	Apostilles?	Comr	ments (including views on this practice):
	೨ c.f. Q 10.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire		
10	0.2 Foreign Apostilles produced in YOUR S	STATE	
a)	On which of the following grounds has the		The Apostille was not square-shaped
	effect of a foreign Apostille in fact been refused to be givenin YOUR STATE?		The Apostille did not have sides at least nine centimetres long
	⇒ c.f. Q 10.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		The Apostille did not have a border
	See also paras 293-320 of the Apostille HB		The colour of the ink used to print the Apostille
			The Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature)
			The Apostille was not signed at all
			the Apostille was issuedin electronic form (an e-Apostille)
			The Apostille had expired
			The manner in which the Apostille was numbered – <i>please specify</i> :
			The manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document – please specify:
			The Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document
			The underlying document was not a public document under the law of YOUR STATE
			The person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille
			The Apostille does not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed (<i>i.e.</i> , standard informational items no 2 and 3 are not filled in)
			Other – please specify:
			None of the above Unknown
		Comr	ments:
l		Ī	

b)	On which of the following grounds <i>might</i> the effect of a foreign Apostillepossiblybe refused to be given, in YOUR STATE?		The Apostille was not square-shaped The Apostille did not have sides at least nine centimetres long
	⊃ c.f. Q 10.2 a) of the 2012 questionnaire		The Apostille did not have a border
	⇒see also paras 293-320 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		The colour of the ink used to print the Apostille
			The Apostille was signed other than by hand ("wet" signature)
			The Apostille was not signed at all
			The Apostille was issuedin electronic form (an e-Apostille)
			The Apostille had expired
			The manner in which the Apostille was numbered – <i>please specify</i> :
			The manner in which the Apostille was affixed to the underlying document – please specify:
			The Apostille was physically detached from the underlying document
			The underlying document was not a public document under the law of YOUR STATE
			The person that signed the underlying document no longer acts in the capacity certified in the Apostille
			The Apostille does not certify the authenticity of the signature or the capacity in which the person signing the underlying document had acted, whether or not the document was signed (<i>i.e.</i> , standard informational items no 2 and 3 are not filled in)
			Other – please specify:
		\boxtimes	None of the above
			Unknown
		Comr	ments:
c)	Does YOUR STATE apply any time limit on the effect of foreign Apostilles and/or		Yes – please specify:
	foreign public documents that are produced in YOUR STATE?	\boxtimes	No
	⇒ c.f. Q 10.2 b) of the 2012 questionnaire	Comr	ments:
	⇒see also paras 28, 174, 186-187 of the Apostille HB		

Section 11 Theelectronic Apostille Program	(e-APP)
11.1 Implementation	
a) Has either component of the e-APP been implemented by the Competent Authorities of YOUR STATE? <i>c.f.</i> Q 11.1 a) of the 2012 questionnaire <i>see also paras 333</i> et seq. of the Apostille HB	☐ Yes ☐ the e-Apostille component ☐ the e-Register component − please specify the URL(s) for the e-Register(s):
	⊠ No
 Only for States that have not implemented either component of the e-APP b) If neither component of the e-APP has been implemented, has the e-APP been studied by Competent Authorities in YOUR STATE? ▶ For information on the e-APP, see paras 321-363 of the Apostille HB Dc.f. Q 11.1 b) of the 2012 questionnaire 	 Yes □ and both components are being considered for implementation – please specify: □ and only the e-Apostille component is being considered for implementation – please specify: □ and only the e-Register component is being considered for implementation – please specify: □ but neither component is being considered for implementation – please explain why not: □ No – please explain why not:
	Comments:
Only for States that have implemented either component of the e-APP or are actively considering implementing it c) Has YOUR STATE contacted other Competent Authorities that already operate an e-APP component and asked for any relevant information or exchange of experience?	☐ Yes − please specify:☐ NoComments:
⇒see also para 339 of the Apostille HB	
Only for States that have implemented the e-Apostille component d) For which of the following documents does YOUR STATE issue e-Apostilles? ◆ see also paras 171, 346 et seq. of the Apostille HB	 ☐ Electronic public documents. Please specify: ☐ Paper public documents that have been subsequently scanned ☐ Both Comments:

e) Are there any issues (legal or otherwise) that may affect the implementation of the e-APP in YOUR STATE? •c.f. Q 11.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire •see also paras 345, 358 of the Apostille HB	 Yes − please specify: Special legislation is need for e-signature No Unknown Comments: not a priority yet 	
Section 12 Other		
12.1 Additional comments and information	١	
a) If you need more space for your response to one or more of the questions above, please specify the question number(s) and add your comments below:		
b) If you would like to make any additional comment or provide information relating to the practical operation of the Apostille Convention (including the implementation of the e-APP) that has not been reflected in your responses above, please do so below:		
c) Are there any specific topics or practical issues that YOUR STATE would like to have discussed at the 2016 Special Commission?	☐ Yes – please specify: ☑ No	
೨ c.f. Q 12.1 c) of the 2012 questionnaire ೨ see also paras 38-39 of the <u>Apostille HB</u>		